

**Minimally Invasive Aortic Valve Surgery through  
Right Anterior Thoracotomy versus Conventional  
Approach through Median Sternotomy  
(Retrospective Study)**

*Thesis*

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.Sc Degree in  
Cardiothoracic Surgery*

*By*

**Kareem El-Sayyed Muhammed El-Toukhy**  
*MB.B.ch., Ain Shams University*

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Dr. Walaa Ahmed Saber**

*Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Brigadier Dr. Tamer Mansour Ayed**

*Head of Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery  
Al-Galaa Military Medical Compound*

**Assis. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Helmy Ali Omar**

*Assistant Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery  
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University*

**2018**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا انك لا تعلم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I remain always indebted to **ALLAH**; the most compassionate and most merciful, that this research was achieved with **ALLAH's** will and helps.*

*It is a great honor to express my deepest gratitude, my sincerest feelings and my cordial appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Walaa Ahmed Saber**, Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain shams University, for his kind supervision, deep interest, fatherly encouragement and wise criticism.*

*I can't find words to express my gratitude and thanks to **Brigadier Dr. Tamer Mansour Ayed**, Head of Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery at Al-Galaa Military Medical Compound, my Godfather and my leader who taught me my first crawls in cardiac surgery whose consistent supervision, kind advice and fruitful orientation and guidance has been of great help to me in completing this work.*

*I can't also forget one of the greatest minimalists cardiac surgeons in USA and the whole world **Prof. Dr. Mark B. Anderson** who introduced the minimal invasive cardiac surgery program in ElGalaa Armed forces cardiac center 2010 and followed up it's upgrade closely till now.*

*The endless support of **Assistant Prof. Dr. Ahmed Helmy Ali Omar** Assistant Professor of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain shams University and continuous guidance, advice and kind supervision during all stages of the work. I have learned much from him.*

*Finally, I would also seize the opportunity to dedicate this work to my family & my colleagues for their continuous help, encouragement, support, and valuable remarks.*

**Kareem El-Toukhy**

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures .....	iii
List of Abbreviations .....	v
Abstract .....	vii
Introduction .....	1
Aim of the Work.....	10
Review of Literature	
☞ Historical Background .....	11
☞ Surgical Anatomy .....	16
☞ Indications of Aortic Valve Surgery .....	23
☞ Surgical Techniques .....	36
☞ Complications .....	66
Patients and Methods .....	74
Results .....	96
Discussion .....	121
Conclusion.....	141
Limitation of the Study.....	144
Recommendation .....	145
Summary .....	146
References .....	148
Arabic Summary	

## *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Carpentier's reconstructive valve surgery textbook, Alain Carpentier, MD .....	12
<b>Table (2):</b>	Stages of Progression of VHD.....	24
<b>Table (3):</b>	Stages of Valvular AS .....	25
<b>Table (4):</b>	Stages of Valvular Chronic Aortic Regurgitation.....	31
<b>Table (5):</b>	Demographic data and clinical characteristics of the patients .....	97
<b>Table (6):</b>	Pre-operative NYHA classification (Number & % ).....	98
<b>Table (7):</b>	Types of valve lesion.....	99
<b>Table (8):</b>	Pre-operative echo-cardiographic data.....	100
<b>Table (9):</b>	Pre-operative spirometric study in both groups.....	101
<b>Table (10):</b>	Cross clamp & total bypass time in both groups.....	102
<b>Table (11):</b>	Length of skin incision in both groups .....	103
<b>Table (12):</b>	Total operation time in both groups .....	103
<b>Table (13):</b>	Patients requiring inotropic, DC shock during weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass .....	104
<b>Table (14):</b>	Cannulation type.....	105
<b>Table (15):</b>	Ventilation, blood loss, blood transfusion and total ICU stay.....	107
<b>Table (16):</b>	Pain score among the two groups (mean $\pm$ SD).....	108
<b>Table (17):</b>	Post-operative complications of both approaches.....	110
<b>Table (18):</b>	Total hospital stay of both groups .....	111

## *List of Tables (cont...)*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (19):</b>	Patient's satisfaction about their wound scar .....	112
<b>Table (20):</b>	Hypertrophic scar and patient satisfaction about their wound in both groups after 3 months post-operative.....	113
<b>Table (21):</b>	3 months Chest x-ray finding in both groups .....	114
<b>Table (22):</b>	Three months follow up echo-cardiographic assessments in both groups .....	114
<b>Table (23):</b>	Comparison between pre and post-operative trans-thoracic echo-cardiography in group "A" ...	115
<b>Table (24):</b>	Comparison between pre and post-operative trans-thoracic echo-cardiography in group B .....	115
<b>Table (25):</b>	Pain score after 3 months in both groups .....	116
<b>Table (26):</b>	Post-operative pulmonary functions in both groups (Mean $\pm$ SD) .....	117
<b>Table (27):</b>	Operative costs for both groups (mean $\pm$ SD) .....	118
<b>Table (28):</b>	Package cost between the two groups by thousand L.E .....	119
<b>Table (29):</b>	Operative and Post-operative parameters in both groups that shows the upper hand of minimally invasive surgery .....	120

## *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Minimally invasive approach .....	14
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Gray's Anatomy 39e, Anatomy of the Heart, cardiac skeleton. ....	21
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Anatomy of aortic valve and relation to other valves.....	22
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Atlas of human anatomy .....	22
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Indications for AVR in Patients with AS.....	29
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Indications for AVR for Chronic AR.....	33
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Skin incision in traditional sternotomy, limited upper sternotomy and right mini-thoracotomy. ....	42
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Shows limited upper mini-sternotomy incision and femoral venous cannulation. ....	44
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Right mini-thoracotomy criteria .....	49
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Positioning of the surgical team in the operating room .....	50
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Metallic multiuse retractor for mini-thoracotomy incision.....	53
<b>Figure (12):</b>	The Direct Arterial Cannula.....	56
<b>Figure (13):</b>	The EasyFlow ® Aortic cannula .....	57
<b>Figure (14):</b>	An open femoro-femoral cannulation. After isolation of both artery and vein, the cannulas are inserted .....	58
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Right mini-thoracotomy Cannulation.....	59
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Aortic cross-clamping .....	60
<b>Figure (17):</b>	The Cygnet ® clamp.....	61

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Two Blake ® pericardial silicone drains .....	63
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Typical instrumentation used for the minimally invasive procedures, a complete needle holder .....	64
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Loose sternal wiring .....	70
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Surgical skin marker.....	77
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Defibrillator external pads.....	77
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Exposure of femoral vessels.....	81
<b>Figure (24):</b>	Cannulation of both femoral artery and vein. ....	82
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Using soft tissue retractor.....	83
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Using the multiuse retractor without soft tissue retractor only in thin patients.....	83
<b>Figure (27):</b>	Use of cygnet cross clamp.....	85
<b>Figure (28):</b>	Length of the wound.....	88
<b>Figure (29):</b>	The use of silicon chest tube. ....	88
<b>Figure (30):</b>	Cosmetic results after a right mini-thoracotomy aortic valve replacement. ....	89



## *List of Abbreviations*

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Full term</b>
<b><i>ABG</i></b> .....	<i>Arterial Blood Gases</i>
<b><i>ACT</i></b> .....	<i>Activated Clotting Time</i>
<b><i>AR</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Regurge</i>
<b><i>ARDS</i></b> .....	<i>Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome</i>
<b><i>AS</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Stenosis</i>
<b><i>AV</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Valve</i>
<b><i>AV</i></b> .....	<i>Atrio-Ventricular</i>
<b><i>AVA</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Valve Area</i>
<b><i>AVD</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Valve Disease</i>
<b><i>AVR</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Valve Replacement</i>
<b><i>AVS</i></b> .....	<i>Aortic Valve Surgery</i>
<b><i>BMI</i></b> .....	<i>Body Mass Index</i>
<b><i>CBC</i></b> .....	<i>Complete Blood Count</i>
<b><i>COPD</i></b> .....	<i>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease</i>
<b><i>CPAP</i></b> .....	<i>Continuous Positive Airway Pressure</i>
<b><i>CPB</i></b> .....	<i>Cardiopulmonary Bypass</i>
<b><i>DC</i></b> .....	<i>Direct Current</i>
<b><i>DVT</i></b> .....	<i>Deep Venous Thrombosis</i>
<b><i>ECG</i></b> .....	<i>Electrocardiogram</i>
<b><i>EF</i></b> .....	<i>Ejection Fraction</i>
<b><i>ESD</i></b> .....	<i>End Systolic Dimension</i>
<b><i>FEV</i></b> .....	<i>Forced Expiratory Volume</i>
<b><i>FEV1</i></b> .....	<i>Forced Expiratory Volume in one second</i>
<b><i>FVC</i></b> .....	<i>Forced Vital Capacity</i>
<b><i>HS</i></b> .....	<i>Highly Significant</i>
<b><i>ICS</i></b> .....	<i>Intercostal Space</i>
<b><i>ICU</i></b> .....	<i>Intensive Care Unit</i>

## *List of Abbreviations (cont...)*

Abb.	Full term
<b>LA</b> .....	<i>Left Atrium</i>
<b>LUS</b> .....	<i>Limited Upper Sternotomy</i>
<b>LV</b> .....	<i>Left Ventricle</i>
<b>MAVR</b> .....	<i>Minimally Invasive Aortic Valve Replacement</i>
<b>MAVS</b> .....	<i>Minimally Invasive Aortic Valve Surgery</i>
<b>NS</b> .....	<i>Not Significant</i>
<b>NYHA</b> .....	<i>New York Heart Association</i>
<b>PS</b> .....	<i>Pressure Support</i>
<b>RFTs</b> .....	<i>Respiratory Function Tests</i>
<b>SD</b> .....	<i>Standard Deviation</i>
<b>SPSS</b> .....	<i>Statistical Package for Social Science</i>
<b>SVC</b> .....	<i>Superior Vena Cava</i>
<b>TOE</b> .....	<i>Trans Oesophageal Echocardiography</i>
<b>TTE</b> .....	<i>Trans Thoracic Echocardiography</i>
<b>VAS</b> .....	<i>Visual Analog Scale</i>
<b>VHD</b> .....	<i>Valvular Heart Disease</i>

# *Abstract*

**BACKGROUND& OBJECTIVES:** Minimally invasive aortic valve surgery has evolved into a well-tolerated, efficient surgical treatment option in experienced centers, providing greater patient satisfaction and lower complication rates. Potential advantages of minimally invasive aortic valve replacement (MIAVR) arise from the concept that patient morbidity and potential mortality could be reduced without compromising the excellent results of the conventional procedure which include improved cosmetic results, safer access in the case of re-operation, less post-operative bleeding, fewer blood transfusions, lower intensive care unit and in-hospital stays, as well as the absence of sternal wound infection. These results were achievable also in high-risk patients. Reduced pain and hospital length of stay, decreased time until return to full activity, and decreased blood product use have also been demonstrated.

**METHODOLOGY:** Eighty patients with aortic valve disease randomized into two equal groups; group “A” underwent aortic valve surgery through a minimally invasive right mini-thoracotomy. Group “B” underwent aortic valve surgery through a full median sternotomy. The Pain was evaluated on 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> day post-operatively and at the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> month after discharge. Echo-cardiographic data were performed pre-operatively and at the 3<sup>rd</sup> month after discharge in all patients. Standard aortic and bicaval cannulation with antegrade blood cardioplegia was adopted in group “B”, while in group “A” femoral arterial and venous cannulation was adopted with antegrade blood cardioplegia.

**RESULTS:** There was no statistical difference between the two groups pre-operatively regarding their age, sex, NYHA class, EF% and spirometric study. There was no operative mortality in both groups but few post-operative complications occurred in both groups. Total hospital stay, ICU stay, post-operative bleeding, inotropic requirement, ventilatory support, blood transfusion was less in group “A”, with better cosmetic appearance, more cost effective.

**CONCLUSIONS:** right mini-thoracotomy minimally invasive technique for aortic valve replacement provides excellent exposure of the aortic valve and offers a better cosmetic scar. In addition, minimally invasive right mini-thoracotomy is as safe as full median sternotomy for aortic valve surgery, with fewer complications and post-operative pain, less ICU and hospital stay, fast recovery to work with limited movement restriction after surgery. It can be used safely as an approach for aortic valve surgery.

**KEY WORDS:** Minimally Invasive - Right Mini-Thoracotomy - Median Sternotomy - Aortic Valve Surgery.

## INTRODUCTION

Successful cardiac surgeons know that a standardized routine for cardiac operations is essential. An established routine makes every operation more efficient and, in the case of an emergency, allows one to proceed with speed and accuracy. a midsternal incision is made for nearly all cardiac Operations. The midsternal incision begins below the sternal notch over the sternal manubrium and extends to the xiphoid process the incision is taken through the periosteum of the anterior table of the sternum using electrocautery dissection. Ventilation of the patient is stopped momentarily to allow the lungs to deflate and retract away from the anterior chest wall as the sternum is divided with the sternal saw (*Doty and Doty, 2012*).

Sternotomy has been the gold standard in cardiac surgery and generally provides an unobstructed view of the heart. This is the currently used method in which all surgeons are trained and perform cardiac surgery across the world. However, expertise in this traditional method may no longer suffice for the professional survival of cardiac surgeons. Owing to mounting evidence of fast recovery and cosmetic appeal, percutaneous approaches mostly spear-headed by cardiologists, including transcatheter aortic valve replacement and MitraClip, are replacing traditional methods. This transition may threaten the role of surgeons in treating diseases of the heart. To remain relevant, we must acknowledge patient demand for less

invasive procedures and proactively learn and adopt new effective technologies into our practices. In addition to percutaneous procedures, minimally invasive valve surgery is the much-needed paradigm shift for our continued central role in patient care (*Joseph and Tom, 2015*).

The quest to reduce patient morbidity from cardiac operations led surgeons to develop approaches to avoid a complete sternotomy in performing aortic valve surgery. Various surgical incisions have been proposed, including an inverted (T) partial upper sternotomy, (J) upper partial sternotomy, (T) sternotomy performed between the second and fifth intercostal spaces, midline lower-half sternotomy, and a (C) mini-sternotomy, leaving the upper and lower ends of the sternum intact. Right parasternal approaches have also been described, with or without resection of costal cartilages. All of these approaches permit central cannulation and good exposure of the left ventricular outflow track, aortic valve, and ascending aorta. Continuous carbon dioxide is infused into the mediastinum to minimize the risk of air embolization after aortic unclamping. Following the initiation of Cardiopulmonary bypass, the aortic valve operation is conducted using standard techniques (*Svensson, 1997*).

Right anterior thoracotomy avoids division of the sternum, but it must be located precisely for adequate exposure. The right third interspace is used for aortic valve operations. Adequate exposure of the heart and intracardiac structures is

facilitated by retraction systems. Soft tissue retractors open the intercostal space and keep the tissues out of the visual field. Rib resection may be done to facilitate exposure. Flexible aortic cross-clamp can be inserted through separate stab wounds to prevent cluttering of the operative field (*Doty and Doty, 2012*).

The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) database defines minimally-invasive cardiac surgery as “any procedure not performed with a full sternotomy and Cardio-pulmonary bypass support.” Minimally-invasive valve surgery should not be defined in terms of a specific procedure, but rather a “philosophy” that requires an operation-specific strategy. Each minimally-invasive strategy introduces alternatives for Cardio-pulmonary bypass cannulation (central or peripheral), aortic occlusion (endovascular or transthoracic), and cardioplegia delivery (antegrade, atrial retrograde, or trans jugular retro-retrograde) (*STS National Database. Spring 2003*).

Various locations on the ascending aorta and aortic arch are most often used as sites for insertion of the arterial cannula because of the simplicity and maintenance of antegrade blood flow. Multiple other locations can be good alternatives, including the common femoral, axillary, and innominate arteries; descending thoracic aorta; and rarely the left ventricular (LV) apex. To cannulate the ascending aorta, most surgeons place two purse-string sutures with or without pledgets partially through the aortic wall. Next, with a systolic blood pressure preferably less than 100 mm Hg, a 4- to 5-mm

full-thickness stab wound is made, occasionally dilated, and then controlled under finger or forceps pressure. The cannula is then inserted under the finger or forceps approximately 1 to 2 mm into the lumen of the aorta. The common femoral artery is probably the second most common location for Cardio-pulmonary bypass cannulation. Exposure of the femoral artery is through a small oblique incision just below the inguinal ligament. Only the anterior surface of the vessel needs to be exposed. After placement of a 5-0 monofilament purse-string suture, the common femoral artery is punctured and a guidewire is passed to the aorta. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) guidance can be used to confirm the location of the wire. A long, thin-walled cannula is then passed over the wire into the distal aorta (*Edward and John, 2012*).

Three basic approaches for central venous cannulation are used: bicaval, single atrial, or cavoatrial (“two stages”). Single venous cannulation is adequate for most aortic valve and coronary artery surgery; however, usually a cavoatrial cannula (“two-stages”) is employed. Placement of a single venous cannula is most easily accomplished through the right atrial appendage. After application of a vascular clamp, the right atrial appendage is excised, or it may be opened longitudinally when the appendage is small. A purse-string suture is then placed just below the free edges, which are controlled with forceps. After release of the clamp, the cannula is inserted toward the Inferior vena cava. At times, venous cannulation is