



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
@ ASUNET



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

Preventive Resin Restoration Versus
Preventive Amalgam Restoration in
Permanent molars Using the Atraumatic
Restorative Treatment Technique.
A Comparative Study

Thesis
Submitted To The Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University
in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements
of the Master Degree in

Pediatric Dentistry

by

Ghada Mohamed Mahmoud
(B.D.S,1993)

Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University
2001

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdella

Professor of Pedodontics and Community & Preventive Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Mona H Mohy El-Dine

Professor of Dental Materials
Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University

Dr. Nadia Aziz

Assistant Professor of Pedodontics
Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University

*To my husband, for his endless support
and to my kids, Mohamed and Hana*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First,I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **ALLAH** whose magnificent help was the main factor in accomplishing this work.

I would like to express my feelings of gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdella**, Professor of Pedodontics and Community and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for his kind guidance ,constant supervision and valuable scientific views. His constructive criticism was of essential help in revising this research.

My sincere thanks to **Dr Nadia Aziz** Assistant Professor of Pedodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for her extensive, dynamic help and valuable co-operation in prosecuting this research. And whose effort in following up and reading were greatly profitable.

My special thanks to **Prof. Dr Mona H.Mohy El-Dine** Professor of Dental Materials, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for her generous effort, honest help and close supervision of every step throughout the laboratory work of this study.

To All of them ,I thankfully acknowledge my great indebtedness.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
AIM OF THE WORK.....	30
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	31
RESULTS.....	63
DISCUSSION.....	86
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	99
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	102
REFERENCES.....	103

PROTOCOL OF THESIS

ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Amalgambond Plus	AP
Atraumatic Restorative Treatment	ART
High power additive	HPA
Hydroxyethyl methacrylate	HEMA
Mega Pascal	MPa
4-Methacryloxyethyl trimellitate anhydride	4-META
Mobile Dental System	MDS
N-phenyl glycine and glycidyl methacrylate	NPG-GMA
Post-transfusion non-A,non-B Hepatitis	NANB
Preventive Amalgam Restoration	PAR
Preventive Resin Restoration	PRR
Resin-modified Glass Ionomer Cements	RM GICs
Tributyl borane oxide	TBB
U.S. Public Health Service	US PHS

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Title	Page
1	Caries in lower left first permanent molar.	39
2	Pre-operative Bite-wing radiograph(Right and left).	39
3	Cotton roll holder(Right and Left)	40
4	Pair of single-ended Enamel Hatchets	40
5	Enamel chisels.	40
6	Cavity preparation in lower left first permanent molar.	41
7	Cavity preparation in lower left first permanent molar.	41
8	Prepared cavity in lower left first permanent molar.	41
9	Vitremer.	42
10	Primed inner surface of the cavity	42
11	Light-curing unit.Degulux.	43
12	Cavity filled with Vitremer.	43
13	Delton Direct Delivery System.	43
14	Etched glass ionomer and adjacent occlusal surface.	44
15	Sealant application over the glass ionomer filling and the adjacent occlusal surface.	44
16	Restorative Z100.	44
17	Composite Z100 condensed on top of the sealant.	45
18	Light curing of the composite reinforced resin sealant.	45
19	Checking of occlusion.	45
20	Caries in lower right first permanent molar.	46
21	Cavity preparation in lower right first permanent molar.	46
22	Amalgambond Plus.	46
23	Activator applied to cavity and tooth surface.	47
24	Silamat amalgamator.	47
25	Condensed amalgam inside the cavity and on adjacent pits and fissures.	48
26	Checking of occlusion .	48
27	Stereomicroscope.	57

Figure No	Title	Page
28	The metallic mold for preparation of shear bond strength test specimens.	57
29	Diamond disc.	57
30	The prepared specimen with a flattened enamel surface.	58
31	The prepared specimen with a flattened enamel surface (lateral view).	58
32	A diagram showing the specimen mounted in the mold.	59
33	Reinforced resin sealant specimen inside the mold.	60
34	The finished reinforced resin specimen after removal from the mold.	60
35	The thermocycling machine.	60
36	Universal testing machine.	61
37	The specimen under testing .	61
38	An extracted third molar mounted in an acrylic resin block.	62
39	The finished PAR specimen.	62
40	Bar graph showing retention of reinforced resin sealant and bonded amalgam sealant.	68
41	Bar graph showing marginal integrity of reinforced resin sealant and bonded amalgam sealant.	74
42	Bar graph showing shear bond strength of reinforced resin sealant and bonded amalgam sealant before and after thermocycling.	77
43	Mixed failure pattern in reinforced resin sealant specimen.	79
44	Cohesive failure pattern in reinforced resin sealant specimen.	79
45	Adhesive failure pattern in bonded amalgam sealant specimen.	80
46	Cohesive failure pattern in bonded amalgam sealant specimen.	80
47	Bar graph showing marginal microleakage scores of both reinforced resin sealant and bonded amalgam sealant before and after thermocycling.	83
48	Reinforced resin sealant specimen showing no dye penetration before thermocycling .	84
49	Reinforced resin sealant specimen showing no dye penetration after thermocycling .	84
49	Reinforced resin sealant specimen showing dye penetration after thermocycling (score 2).	84

Figure No	Title	Page
51	Bonded amalgam sealant specimen showing no dye penetration before thermocycling .	85
52	Bonded amalgam sealant specimen showing no dye penetration after thermocycling .	85
53	Bonded amalgam sealant specimen showing dye penetration after thermocycling (score 3).	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
I	Comparison between retention of reinforced resin sealant in preventive resin restorations in males and females after 1,3 and 6 months.	65
II	Comparison between retention of bonded amalgam sealant in preventive amalgam restorations in males and females after 1,3 and 6 months.	65
III	Effect of time on retention of reinforced resin sealant in preventive resin restorations.	66
IV	Effect of time on retention of bonded amalgam sealant in preventive amalgam restorations.	66
V	Comparison between retention of reinforced resin sealant and bonded amalgam sealant in preventive restorations after 1,3 and 6 months.	67
VI	Comparison of marginal integrity of reinforced resin sealant in preventive resin restorations in males and females after 1,3 and 6 months.	71
VII	Comparison between marginal integrity of bonded amalgam sealant in preventive amalgam restorations in males and females after 1,3 and 6 months.	71
VIII	Effect of time on marginal integrity of reinforced resin sealant in preventive resin restorations.	72
IX	Effect of time on marginal integrity of bonded amalgam sealant in preventive amalgam restorations.	72