

Conventional Lung Protective Strategy Versus Airway Pressure Release Ventilation In Acute Lung Injury : Effects On Cardiac Performance And Lung Mechanics

*Thesis Submitted To the Faculty of Medicine
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
Master Degree in Critical Care Medicine*

By

Khaled Hussein Magdy Zeineldin, MSc.
Demonstrator of Critical Care Medicine. Cairo University

Supervisors

Dr. Gamal Hamed, MD

Asst. Professor of Critical Care Medicine
Critical Care Medicine Department
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Tarek El Gohary Dr. Hassan Effat

Asst. Prof. of Critical Care Medicine
Critical Care Medicine Department
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Lecturer of Critical Care Medicine
Critical Care Medicine Department
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Cairo University

2008

Table of Contents

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>Aim of the Work</i>	6
<i>Review of Literature</i>	7
♦ Chapter I	7
♦ Chapter II	30
♦ Chapter III	46
<i>Patients & Methods</i>	78
<i>Results</i>	92
<i>Discussion</i>	142
<i>Conclusion</i>	159
<i>Summary</i>	161
<i>References</i>	167
<i>Arabic Summary</i>	7-1

Abstract

Introduction: Since its initial description lung protective strategy using tidal volumes of less than or equal to 6 ml/kg predicted body weight, with high respiratory rates and maintaining plateau pressures less than or equal to 30 cmH₂O has been the standard ventilator management for ALI/ARDS patients, where a large number of randomized controlled clinical trials have proven it to reduce the mortality rates.

Airway pressure release ventilation (APRV) has been successfully used in neonatal, pediatric, and adult forms of respiratory failure. Experimental and clinical use of APRV has been shown to facilitate spontaneous breathing and is associated with decreased peak airway pressure and improved oxygenation¹.

Methods: Our study was conducted on twenty patients admitted in the Critical Care Department, Cairo University Hospital with proven diagnosis of ALI/ARDS, who were ventilated sequentially for twelve hours using Volume Control – Assisted Controlled mechanical ventilation with lung protective strategy settings and Airway pressure release ventilation. Every three hours haemodynamic variables, arterial blood gases, lung mechanics and need for sedation were assessed. Aiming to compare and evaluate the two modes as regards haemodynamic effects, impact on arterial blood gases, need for sedation and the effects on respiratory mechanics.

Results: We did not demonstrate any significant change in the haemodynamic variables (heart rate, mean blood pressure, central venous pressure, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure) between both modes of ventilation. (p-value>0.05) Partial pressure of carbon dioxide, acid-base status, and serum bicarbonate level did not change significantly between the two modes of mechanical ventilation (p-value>0.05), there was a significant decrease in partial pressure of oxygen with APRV compared to twelve hours of controlled mechanical ventilation with lung protective strategy with a 21.3% reduction (CMV 166.1±46.3, APRV 130.8±47.9) p-value 0.046, there was a highly significant 20.1% reduction in Hypoxic Index after twelve hours of APRV following twelve hours of CMV (CMV 275±80.7, APRV 219.9±86.8) p-value 0.001, there was a significant increase in respiratory rate and minute ventilation by 29.3% and 26.7% respectively during the twelve hours of APRV compared to twelve hours of CMV with respiratory rate increase from 21.5±6.9 with CMV to 27.8±6.2 APRV, p-value 0.007. There was no significant changes in dynamic compliance between both modes of ventilation (53±25.8 CMV, 52.9±26.9 APRV) p-value > 0.718. Highly significant decrease of 38.9% in peak airway pressure was noted during ventilation with APRV compared to CMV, (APRV 19.8±4, 32.4±6.7 CMV), p-value 0.0001, Associated with that there was also a highly significant 19% decrease in mean airway pressure during ventilation with APRV compared to CMV with lung protective strategy (CMV 18.9±3.7, APRV 15.3±3.3), p-value 0.0001. The need for sedation by propofol during the twelve hour period of APRV significantly decreased by 56.2% compared to the twelve hours of application of lung protective ventilation using CMV, where the dosage of propofol decreased from 1.6±0.5mg/kg/hr with CMV to 0.7±0.8mg/kg/hr with APRV, p-value 0.0001

Conclusion: APRV can be used safely as one of the optimum ventilatory strategies in patients with ALI/ARDS as it decreases airway pressures significantly and decreases the need for sedation while maintaining adequate oxygenation without altering haemodynamics.

Acknowledgement

For **ALLAH** the merciful, the compassionate, I kneel to express my gratitude for all the countless gifts I have been offered, including those who gave their hands to enable me to fulfill this work.

No words are sufficient to express my deep appreciation and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Sherif Mokhtar**, Professor of Critical Care Medicine, **Prof. Dr. Hassan Khaled**, Professor of Critical Care Medicine and Cheif of Critical Care Medicine Department and **Prof. Dr. Alia Abd El Fattah** Professor of Critical Care Medicine for their abundant encouragement, continuous support and endless giving.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Gamal Hamed**, Assistant Professor of Critical Care Medicine for his great help, outstanding support and active participation, and for his extreme patience and understanding.

My true appreciation is due to **Dr. Tarek El Gohary**, Assistant Professor of Critical Care Medicine for his meticulous supervision, for his kind guidance, valuable instructions, generous help, his sincere efforts and fruitful encouragement.

I would deeply like to thank **Dr. Hassan Effat**, Lecturer of Critical Care Medicine for his help, support and his simplicity in handling matters.

A special thanks for both my brothers **Dr. Yasser Nassar and Dr. Mahmoud Khaled** for their great efforts and support in helping me understand the basic concepts of ventilation and thesis work up.

I owe a lot to my colleagues who contributed in the intervention part of this thesis.

I am very thankful to **Dr. Lamiaa Hamed**, Lecturer of Critical Care Medicine Department, for her efforts in performing the statistical work of this thesis.

I wish to thank deeply Mrs. **Manal Youssef**, Critical Care Medicine Department for her patience in performing the computer work of this thesis.

Finally I am so thankful and honored to belong to the critical care medicine department, the land of innovation and fruitful research.

Khaled Laineeldin

List of Abbreviation

AFC: Alveolar Fluid Clearance
ALI: Acute Lung Injury
APRV: Airway Pressure Release Ventilation
ARDS: Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome
ARDSNet: Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network
CO₂: Carbon Dioxide
CPAP: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
CT: Computed Tomography
EEL: End Expiratory Lung Volume
ERLV: End Release Lung Volume
FiO₂: Fractional inspired oxygen
FRC: Functional Residual Capacity
HFOV: High Frequency Oscillatory Ventilation
HFV: High Frequency Ventilation
I:E: Inspiratory: Expiratory ratio
ICU: Intensive Care Unit
IL: Interleukin
INO: Inhaled Nitric Oxide
IVC: Inferior Vena Cava
MSP: Mean Systemic Pressure
MV: Minute Ventilation
NIH: National Institute of Health
NMBA: Neuromuscular Blocking Agents
NO: Nitric oxide

PaCO₂: Partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide
PaO₂: Partial pressure of arterial oxygen
PBW: Predicted Body Weight
PEEP: Positive End Expiratory Pressure
PEFR: Peak Expiratory Flow Rate
Phigh: High set pressure
Plow: Low set pressure
Pmean: Mean airway pressure
PMN: Polymorphonuclear neutrophil
Ppeak: Peak airway pressure
Pplat: Plateau pressure of the airway
P-V: Pressure-Volume
RA: Right Atrium
RM: Recruitment Maneuvers
SIMV: Synchronized Intermittent Mandatory Ventilation
STC: Shock Trauma Center
TOP: Threshold Opening Pressure
TRALI: Transfusion Related Lung Injury
V/Q: Ventilation/Perfusion ratio
VAP: Ventilator Associated Pneumonia
VC-CMV: Volume control- Assisted control Mandatory Ventilation
VILI: Ventilator Induced Lung Injury
Vt: Tidal volume
vWF: Von Willebrand Factor
WOB: Work Of Breathing

List of Tables

Item	Page
Table 1: Setting for positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP), according to the required fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO ₂)	40
Table 2: Mean Blood Pressure	94
Table 3: Heart Rate	95
Table 4: Central Venous Pressure	96
Table 5: Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure	97
Table 6: Arterial Partial Pressure Of Oxygen	97
Table 7: Partial Pressure Of Carbon Dioxide	98
Table 8: Serum Bicarbonate Level:	99
Table 9: Acid-Base Status	100
Table 10: Shunt Fraction	101
Table 11: Minute Ventilation	102
Table 12: Compliance	103
Table 13: Airway Resistance	104
Table 14: Peak Airway Pressure	105
Table 15: Mean Airway Pressure	106
Table 16: Mean Blood Pressure	107
Table 17: Heart Rate	108
Table 18: Central Venous Pressure	109
Table 19: Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure:	110
Table 20: Arterial Partial Pressure Of Oxygen:	110
Table 21: Partial Pressure Of Carbon Dioxide	111
Table 22: Acid-Base Balance	112

Item	Page
Table 23: Serum Bicarbonate Level	113
Table 24: Shunt Fraction	114
Table 25: Minute Ventilation	114
Table 26: Compliance	115
Table 27: Airway Resistance	116
Table 28: Peak Airway Pressure:	117
Table 29: Mean Airway Pressure	117
Table 30: Respiratory Rate	118
Table 31: Mean Blood Pressure	119
Table 32: Heart Rate	120
Table 33: Central Venous Pressure	121
Table 34: Pulmonary Capillary Wedge Pressure	123
Table 35: Partial Pressure Of Oxygen	124
Table 36: Shunt Fraction:	126
Table 37: Partial Pressure Of Carbon Dioxide	127
Table 38: Acid- Base Balance	128
Table 39: Hco ₃	130
Table 40: Tidal Volume	131
Table 41: Compliance	132
Table 42: Resistance	134
Table 43: Minute Ventilation	135
Table 44: Respiratory Rate	136
Table 45 : Peak Airway Pressure	137
Table 46: Mean Airway Pressure	139
Table 48: Sedation Requirements	140

List of Figures

Item	Page
Figure 1: Normal Rat lungs and rat lungs after receiving high-pressure mechanical ventilation at a peak airway pressure of 45 cm of water.	31
Figure 2: Conventional Ventilation as compared with protective ventilation	34
Figure 3: Effects of recruitment maneuvers to promote homogeneity within the lung	43
Figure 4. Airway pressure release ventilation is a form of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP).	47
Figure 5. Ventilation during airway pressure release ventilation is augmented by release volumes and is associated with decreasing airway pressure and lung distension.	49
Figure 6. Gas exchange during airway pressure release ventilation.	54
Figure 7. A, pressure tracing represents a pressure support ventilation (PSV) breath at the P _{high} level.	64
Figure 8. The demographic distribution of gender in our study population	93
Figure 9. The variable aetiologies of lung injury in our study group	93
Figure 10. Shows the incidence of mortality in our study population	94
Figure 11. Shows the trend of Mean arterial blood pressure throughout the 1 st twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline readings	95
Figure 12. The variability of Heart rate during the 1 st twelve hours of our study during VC-CMV compared to the baseline readings	96
Figure 13. Showing the trend of Central venous pressure readings during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV compared to the baseline reading	97
Figure 14. The trend of measurements of Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure readings during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline reading	98
Figure 15. Showing the variability of the Partial pressure of oxygen during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	99

Item	Page
Figure 16. The trend Partial pressure of carbon dioxide readings during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline reading	99
Figure 17. Showing the variability of the serum bicarbonate level during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	100
Figure 18. The trend of Acid-base balance readings during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline reading	101
Figure 19. Showing the variability of the shunt fraction during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	102
Figure 20. Showing the variability in Minute ventilation during twelve hours of VC-CMV and baseline readings	103
Figure 21. Showing the variability of the compliance during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	104
Figure 22. The trend of Airway resistance measurements during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline reading	105
Figure 23. Showing the trend of Peak airway pressure readings during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	106
Figure 24. Showing the variability of the Mean airway pressure during twelve hours of VC-CMV compared to the baseline	107
Figure 25. Shows the trend of Mean arterial blood pressure throughout the 2nd twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline readings	108
Figure 26. The variability of Heart rate during the 2 nd twelve hours of our study during APRV compared to the baseline readings	109
Figure 27. The variability of Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure measurements during twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline measurement	110
Figure 28. Showing the trend of partial pressure of oxygen during the twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline readings	111

Item	Page
Figure 29. Showing the status of Partial pressure of carbon dioxide during ventilation for twelve hours with APRV compared to baseline	112
Figure 30. Showing the trend of Acid- Base balance during the twelve hours of APRV	113
Figure 31. Showing the trend of Serum bicarbonate level during the twelve hours of APRV	113
Figure 32. The variability of Shunt fraction during ventilation for twelve hours with APRV compared to the baseline readings taken	114
Figure 33. The trend of measurements of Minute ventilation during twelve hours of APRV	115
Figure 34. Showing the change in Compliance during twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline readings	116
Figure 35. Showing the trend in Airway resistance during the twelve hours of APRV	116
Figure 36. Showing the change in Peak airway pressure during twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline	117
Figure 37. Showing the change in Mean airway pressure during twelve hours of APRV compared to the baseline	118
Figure 38. Showing the trend of Respiratory rate during ventilation with APRV compared to the baseline	119
Figure 39. Comparing the trend of Mean arterial blood pressure during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	120
Figure 40. Comparing the trend of Heart rate during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	121
Figure 41. Comparing the trend of Central venous pressure during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	122
Figure 42. Comparing the trend of Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	124

Item	Page
Figure 43. Comparing the trend of Partial pressure of oxygen during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	125
Figure 44. Comparing the trend of Shunt fraction during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	127
Figure 45. Comparing the trend of Partial pressure of carbon dioxide during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	128
Figure 46. Comparing the trend of Acid-Base balance during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	129
Figure 47. Comparing the trend of Serum bicarbonate level during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	131
Figure 48. Comparing the trend of Tidal volume during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	132
Figure 49. Comparing the trend of Compliance during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	133
Figure 50. Comparing the trend of Airway resistance during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	134
Figure 51. Comparing the trend of Minute ventilation during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	136
Figure 52. Comparing the trend of Respiratory rate during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	137
Figure 53. Comparing the trend of Peak airway pressure during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	138
Figure 54. Comparing the trend of Mean airway pressure during twelve hour ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	140
Figure 55. Comparing the Need for sedation during twelve hours ventilation with VC-CMV and APRV	141

Introduction

Since its initial description in 1967, several definitions have been proposed for the diagnosis of ARDS and for acute lung injury (ALI). At the present, the most commonly used definitions are those proposed by the American-European Consensus Conference^[1]. ALI is defined as a “syndrome of inflammation and increasing permeability that is associated with a constellation of clinical, radiographic and physiologic abnormalities that cannot be explained by, but may coexist with, left atrial or pulmonary capillary hypertension.” Based on the severity of hypoxemia, ARDS is defined as a severe form of ALI {i.e., an arterial partial pressure of oxygen/fraction of inspired oxygen ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$) ratio less than 200 rather than 300 mmHg}^[1].

ARDS and ALI are diffuse parenchymal injuries that have specific diagnostic criteria

- Acute onset disease
- $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 < 200$ (ARDS) < 300 mmHg (ALI)
- Bilateral lung infiltrates on chest radiograph
- Injury not reflecting cardiogenic pulmonary edema (PCWP < 18 mmHg)^[2].

The overall goals in mechanical ventilatory support in parenchymal lung injury are to provide adequate gas exchange while minimizing any potentially iatrogenic lung injury. Although many variables can be

monitored during this process, clinical decisions generally involve balancing four important factors: arterial pH, arterial hemoglobin saturation (SaO_2), lung stretch, and lung exposure to oxygen ^[2].

- pH:7.20-7.45
- SaO_2 :>88%
- P plat:<35 cmH₂O
- FiO_2 :<0.6

The lung is subject to stretch injury in one of two ways: a shear stretch injury from repeated opening and closing of diseased alveoli and an overstretch injury induced by excessive distension at end inspiration. Addressing these two issues is the concept behind lung protective strategies ^[2].

In general, the first goal is to provide enough PEEP to recruit the recruitable alveoli while simultaneously not applying so much PEEP that healthier regions are over distended unnecessarily. The second goal is to avoid a PEEP/Tidal volume combination that unnecessarily over distends the lung at end inspiration. In summary, balancing these four clinical goals of pH, Sao_2 , lung stretch, and FiO_2 constitutes the art of mechanical ventilation in parenchymal lung injury ^[1].

Generally, severe respiratory failure is managed during the acute phases with an assist/control (A/C) mode of ventilation. This ensures that all breathes have positive pressure supplied by the ventilator to provide virtually all the work of breathing. The assist capabilities of A/C ventilation allow the patient to trigger breaths. This may help in controlling CO_2 and

improving patient comfort. If an inappropriate respiratory drive exists or patient triggering of assisted breaths is uncomfortable, sedation or paralysis or both may be needed such that only the control breaths of A/C ventilation are provided ^[2].

The tidal breath in volume controlled ventilation should be set in such a way that the plateau pressure is less than 35 cmH₂O, Tidal volume as low as 5 to 6 ml/kg may be needed ^[1].

A reasonable starting point is a normal frequency of between 12 to 20 breaths per minute. Increasing the frequency increases minute ventilation and generally increases CO₂ clearance. At some point; however, air trapping develops because of inadequate expiratory times. Setting the inspiratory time and the I: E ratio involves several considerations. The normal I: E ratio is roughly 1:2 to 1:4 ^[2].

Use of pressure-volume curves to set the PEEP/Tidal volume combination between the upper and lower inflection points. A modification of the conventional static approach uses very slow inspiratory flow and then measures upper and lower inflection points from the resulting dynamic pressure-volume curves. Gas exchange criteria to guide PEEP application involve several potential strategies. In general, commonly used “operational” ranges for PEEP in parenchymal lung injury are from 8 to 25 cm H₂O ^[2].

APRV is a ventilatory support pattern that provides a moderately high (i.e., 15 to 25 cm H₂O) level of continuous baseline airway pressure that is