



Cairo University

# **EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON CRACKED STEEL PLATES WITH DIFFERENT DAMAGE LEVELS STRENGTHENED BY CFRP LAMINATES**

By

**Mohamed Ahmed Shafiq**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
**Structural Engineering**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

# **EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON CRACKED STEEL PLATES WITH DIFFERENT DAMAGE LEVELS STRENGTHENED BY CFRP LAMINATES**

By

**Mohamed Ahmed Shafiq**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
**Structural Engineering**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Sherif A. Mourad**

**Dr. Maha Moddather Hassan**

.....  
Professor of Steel Structures and Bridges  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....  
Assistant Professor  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

# **EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON CRACKED STEEL PLATES WITH DIFFERENT DAMAGE LEVELS STRENGTHENED BY CFRP LAMINATES**

By

**Mohamed Ahmed Shafiq**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
in  
**Structural Engineering**

Approved by the  
Examining Committee

---

**Prof. Dr. Sherif A. Mourad**

(Thesis Main Advisor)

---

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Farouk**

(Internal Examiner)

---

**Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki**  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

(External Examiner)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2018

**Engineer's Name:** Mohamed Ahmed Shafiq  
**Date of Birth:** 17/9/1992  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** Mohamed.a.shafiq@gmail.com  
**Phone:** 01148479773  
**Address:** 5<sup>th</sup> District, 6 October City, Egypt  
**Registration Date:** 01/10/2014  
**Awarding Date:** ....../....../2018  
**Degree:** Master of Science  
**Department:** Structural Engineering



**Supervisors:**

**Prof. Dr. Sherif A. Mourad**  
**Dr. Maha Moddather Hassan**

**Examiners:**

**Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki** (External Examiner)  
Structural Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Farouk** (Internal Examiner)  
**Prof. Dr. Sherif A. Mourad** (Thesis Main Advisor)

**Title of Thesis:**

**Experimental Study on Cracked Steel Plates with Different Damage  
Levels Strengthened by CFRP Laminates**

**Key Words:**

Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer Laminates, Fatigue, Repaired Fatigue cracks, Repaired steel plates

**Summary:**

Strengthening steel structure using Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Laminates has attracted attention in recent years owing to their potential for fatigue crack repair. However, little is known about the efficiency of this strengthening technique when applied to steel plates with different levels of damage. Available studies focused on efficiency of this strengthening technique under cyclic normal stresses. In this study, fatigue behavior of cracked steel plates strengthened by CFRP laminates is experimentally investigated. Different parameters are considered including damage level and strengthening theme. The study included 12 test units, divided into 3 groups, representing a single edge-notch specimen. Specimens were tested under eccentric axial fatigue cyclic loading till failure. The study lists the details of testing including: Material identification; Configuration of cracked steel Specimens; Applied load history and frequency; Adhesive Type; CFRP patch geometries (strip length/width); and Strengthening schemes (single/double-sided repair).



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

My deepest thanks and extreme gratitude are due to Prof. Sherif Ahmed Mourad my main advisor for his continuous encouragement, support, and valuable comments. Also, I would like to thank Dr. Maha moddather Hassan, my advisor for her continuous support. I must say that this thesis would have not reached this level if it were not for their immense efforts and time spent throughout the course of this research.

I would also like to thank Prof. Shahinaz and Dr. Sahour for allowing me to utilize the Shimadzu fatigue machine, which is a facility of the Mechanical department laboratory.

Special thanks to Basf Egypt company for providing me with the CFRP laminates and adhesive required for testing specimens.

Last but not least, my greatest thanks go to my beloved family. I cannot help but feel immense gratitude towards my parents and my Wife for encouraging and supporting me in all means possible throughout the course of my life.

# Table of Contents

|                                                      |      |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| AKNOWLEDGEMENT .....                                 | I    |
| Table of Contents .....                              | II   |
| List of Tables .....                                 | V    |
| List of Figures .....                                | VII  |
| Abstract .....                                       | XIII |
| Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION .....                         | 1    |
| 1.1 Background .....                                 | 1    |
| 1.2 Scope .....                                      | 1    |
| 1.3 Objectives .....                                 | 2    |
| 1.4 Thesis Organization .....                        | 2    |
| Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....                    | 3    |
| 2.1 General .....                                    | 3    |
| 2.2 Experimental Work Back Ground .....              | 3    |
| 2.2.1 Study performed by Liu et al. (2009) .....     | 3    |
| 2.2.2 Study performed by Björn Täljsten (2009) ..... | 5    |
| 2.2.3 Study performed by Xiao-Ling Zhao (2012) ..... | 8    |
| 2.2.4 Study performed by Xiao-Ling Zhao (2014) ..... | 10   |
| 2.2.5 Study performed by Colombi (2016) .....        | 11   |
| 2.3 Analytically Work .....                          | 13   |
| 2.3.1 Fatigue load .....                             | 13   |
| 2.3.2 Fatigue limit .....                            | 14   |
| 2.3.3 The stress intensity factor .....              | 14   |
| 2.3.4 (S-N)-curve .....                              | 14   |
| 2.3.5 Influence of average stress .....              | 15   |
| 2.3.6 (P-S-N)-curve .....                            | 16   |
| 2.3.7 High/low cycle fatigue .....                   | 17   |
| 2.3.8 Crack growth .....                             | 19   |
| 2.3.9 Crack growth models .....                      | 19   |
| 2.3.10 Paris law .....                               | 20   |
| 2.3.11 Limits of Paris law .....                     | 20   |

|                                                                     |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.3.12 Example for Paris law parameters values .....                | 21 |
| 2.4 Compact tension specimen.....                                   | 22 |
| 2.5 Development for crack growth laws.....                          | 23 |
| Chapter 3 EXPERIMENTAL STUDY .....                                  | 38 |
| 3.1 General.....                                                    | 38 |
| 3.2 Experimental Program .....                                      | 38 |
| 3.3 Material.....                                                   | 39 |
| 3.3.1 Steel .....                                                   | 39 |
| 3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Laminates.....         | 41 |
| 3.3.3 Epoxy properties .....                                        | 42 |
| 3.3.4 Grips .....                                                   | 43 |
| 3.3.5 Bolts and Nuts .....                                          | 44 |
| 3.3.6 Spacers .....                                                 | 44 |
| 3.4 Fatigue testing Machine.....                                    | 45 |
| 3.5 Fabrication of Grips.....                                       | 48 |
| 3.6 Fabrication of compact steel Specimens C (T) .....              | 50 |
| 3.7 Test Loading History.....                                       | 54 |
| 3.8 Measurement of fatigue crack propagation .....                  | 55 |
| 3.9 Repairing Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Laminates..... | 56 |
| 3.10 Preparation of Grips.....                                      | 57 |
| 3.11 Preparation of steel specimens .....                           | 58 |
| 3.12 Preparation of Epoxy Adhesive .....                            | 59 |
| 3.13 Preparation of CFRP laminates .....                            | 60 |
| 3.14 Preparation of test specimens .....                            | 62 |
| 3.15 Software program .....                                         | 64 |
| 3.16 Test program.....                                              | 71 |
| 3.16.1 First Time .....                                             | 71 |
| 3.16.2 Usual use .....                                              | 76 |
| Chapter 4 Results .....                                             | 77 |
| 4.1 Introduction .....                                              | 77 |
| 4.2 Crack Propagation.....                                          | 77 |
| 4.2.1 BS 22.....                                                    | 77 |
| 4.2.2 CSRO 22.....                                                  | 81 |
| 4.2.3 CSO 22 .....                                                  | 84 |

|                                                          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.2.4 CSD22 .....                                        | 87  |
| 4.2.5 BS 30.....                                         | 89  |
| 4.2.6 CSRO 30.....                                       | 91  |
| 4.2.7 CSO 30 .....                                       | 93  |
| 4.2.8 CSD30 .....                                        | 96  |
| 4.2.9 BS 40.....                                         | 97  |
| 4.2.10 CSRO 40.....                                      | 100 |
| 4.2.11 CSO 40 .....                                      | 102 |
| 4.2.12 CSD 40 .....                                      | 105 |
| 4.3 Fatigue propagation life improvement.....            | 107 |
| 4.3.1 Specimens with constant damage degree .....        | 107 |
| 4.3.2 Specimens with similar strengthening schemes ..... | 111 |
| 4.3.3 All specimens .....                                | 115 |
| Chapter 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....                  | 120 |
| 5.1 General.....                                         | 120 |
| 5.2 Summary .....                                        | 120 |
| 5.3 Conclusions .....                                    | 121 |
| 5.4 Future Research and Studies .....                    | 122 |
| REFERENCES .....                                         | 123 |

## List of Tables

|                                                                                                       |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 2.1: Strengthened Specimens Configuration [ Björn Täljsten (2009)] .....                        | 6   |
| Table 2.2: Example for Paris law parameters values .....                                              | 21  |
| Table 2.3: Crack Growth Laws .....                                                                    | 23  |
| Table 3.1: Standard Dimensions of steel Coupons (ASTM E8E8M-15a) .....                                | 39  |
| Table 3.2: Report received from Head of Materials Testing Lab .....                                   | 41  |
| Table 3.3: Mechanical Properties of Used CFRP laminates .....                                         | 42  |
| Table 3.4: Epoxy properties .....                                                                     | 43  |
| Table 3.5: Dimension limits of C(T) specimen .....                                                    | 51  |
| Table 3.6: Applied dimension of C(T) specimen .....                                                   | 52  |
| Table 3.7: Table summarizing specimen name and properties. ....                                       | 54  |
| Table 3.8: Force Variable Data .....                                                                  | 65  |
| Table 4.1: BS 22 crack propagation rate .....                                                         | 77  |
| Table 4.2: CSRO 22 crack propagation rate.....                                                        | 81  |
| Table 4.3: CSO 22 crack propagation rate .....                                                        | 84  |
| Table 4.4: CSD 22 crack propagation rate .....                                                        | 87  |
| Table 4.5: BS 30 crack propagation rate .....                                                         | 89  |
| Table 4.6: CSRO 30 crack propagation rate.....                                                        | 91  |
| Table 4.7: CSO 30 crack propagation rate .....                                                        | 94  |
| Table 4.8: BS 40 crack propagation rate .....                                                         | 97  |
| Table 4.9: CSRO 40 crack propagation rate.....                                                        | 100 |
| Table 4.10: CSO 40 crack propagation rate .....                                                       | 102 |
| Table 4.11: CSD 40 crack propagation rate .....                                                       | 105 |
| Table 4.12: Crack propagation length for “22” specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type .....      | 108 |
| Table 4.13: Crack Propagation Length for “30” specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type .....      | 109 |
| Table 4.14: Crack propagation length for “40” specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type .....      | 110 |
| Table 4.15: Crack propagation length for “BS” specimens, Number of cycles and Fatigue life ratio..... | 112 |
| Table 4.16: Crack propagation length for “CSRO” specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type .....    | 113 |
| Table 4.17: Crack propagation length for “CSO” specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type .....     | 114 |
| Table 4.18: Crack Propagation Length for “CSD” specimens, Number of cycles and .....                  | 115 |

|                                                                                                 |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 4.19: Crack propagation length for all specimens, Number of cycles and Failure type ..... | 116 |
| Table 4.20: Fatigue propagation life improvement taking “BS” control specimen...                | 118 |
| Table 4.21: Fatigue propagation life improvement taking “40” control specimen....               | 119 |

## List of Figures

|                                                                                                              |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 2.1: Bare Steel and Strengthened Specimens Dimensions [ Liu et al. (2009)]...                         | 5  |
| Figure 2.2: Loading History [ Liu et al. (2009)].....                                                        | 5  |
| Figure 2.3: Specimen Dimensions [ Björn Täljsten (2009)] .....                                               | 7  |
| Figure 2.4: Loading History [ Björn Täljsten (2009)].....                                                    | 7  |
| Figure 2.5: Sample of strain gauge location [ Björn Täljsten (2009)] .....                                   | 8  |
| Figure 2.6: Dimension of Specimens and.....                                                                  | 9  |
| Figure 2.7: Strengthening Schemes [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2012)].....                                              | 9  |
| Figure 2.8: Load history [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2012)].....                                                       | 10 |
| Figure 2.9: Bare Steel Specimen Dimension [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2014)] .....                                     | 11 |
| Figure 2.10: Strengthening Schemes [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2014)].....                                             | 11 |
| Figure 2.11: Load history [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2014)].....                                                      | 11 |
| Figure 2.12: Crack propagation gauges CPC03 [ Xiao-Ling Zhao (2014)].....                                    | 11 |
| Figure 2.13: Bare Steel Specimen Dimensions [ Colombi (2016)].....                                           | 12 |
| Figure 2.14: Strengthened specimens dimension and strengthening schemes [ Colombi (2016)] .....              | 12 |
| Figure 2.15: Harmonic Stress .....                                                                           | 13 |
| Figure 2.16: Harmonic Stress and Fatigue Limit .....                                                         | 14 |
| Figure 2.17: S-N-curve with Fatigue Limit.....                                                               | 15 |
| Figure 2.18: The effect of the average stress on the Sa-N-curve [Schreurs 2012]. ....                        | 16 |
| Figure 2.19: P-S-N-curves [Schreurs 2012] .....                                                              | 17 |
| Figure 2.20: Low and High Cycle Fatigue regimes. [L. F. Coffin, Jr., Met. Eng. Q., vol. 3, p. 22, 1963]..... | 18 |
| Figure 2.21: Coffin-Manson relation. [L. F. Coffin, Jr., Met. Eng. Q., vol. 3, p. 22, 1963].....             | 18 |
| Figure 2.22: Crack length increase with number of cycles. [ Paris 1973] .....                                | 19 |
| Figure 2.23: Paris Law Parameters fit. [ Schreurs 2012] .....                                                | 20 |
| Figure 2.24: Paris law Limits [ Forman <i>et.al.</i> 72] .....                                               | 21 |
| Figure 2.25: Compact Tension Specimen (CTS). Comparison to center cracked specimen (CCT) [ASTM-E647]. .....  | 22 |
| Figure 2.26: Element of volume at distance r of the head of the crack.....                                   | 34 |
| Figure 3.1: Tension Test Machine - Capacity = 5 tons .....                                                   | 40 |
| Figure 3.2: Specimens for tension test .....                                                                 | 40 |
| Figure 3.3: Tensile test specimens.....                                                                      | 40 |
| Figure 3.4: CFRP Laminates .....                                                                             | 42 |
| Figure 3.5: Grips and chrome Rod of machine .....                                                            | 44 |
| Figure 3.6: Bolts and nuts of grips .....                                                                    | 44 |
| Figure 3.7: Spacers .....                                                                                    | 45 |

|                                                                                            |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 3.8: Hydraulic Pump (Model 3112) .....                                              | 46 |
| Figure 3.9: Appearance Drawing of the loading frame for the Shimadzu Pulser E series ..... | 46 |
| Figure 3.10: Shimadzu Servo-hydraulic Testing Machine model EN-10 .....                    | 47 |
| Figure 3.11: Front and side views of 25mm plate for Grips .....                            | 48 |
| Figure 3.12: Front and side views of 50mm plate for grips .....                            | 49 |
| Figure 3.13: Standard dimension of C(T) specimen (ASTM E647-13a <sup>ε1</sup> ) .....      | 50 |
| Figure 3.14: 22% Damage degree specimens.....                                              | 52 |
| Figure 3.15: 30% Damage degree specimens.....                                              | 53 |
| Figure 3.16: 40% Damage degree specimens.....                                              | 53 |
| Figure 3.17: Test loading history.....                                                     | 55 |
| Figure 3.18: Stress range of “beach marking” technique. ....                               | 55 |
| Figure 3.19: CSD specimens .....                                                           | 56 |
| Figure 3.20: CSO specimens .....                                                           | 56 |
| Figure 3.21: CSRO specimens .....                                                          | 56 |
| Figure 3.22: Machine screw .....                                                           | 57 |
| Figure 3.23: Spacers .....                                                                 | 57 |
| Figure 3.24: Dummy specimen .....                                                          | 58 |
| Figure 3.25: BS (22,30&40) without surface preparation.....                                | 58 |
| Figure 3.26: BS(22,30&40),CSRO(22,30&40)&CSO(22,30&40) after the surface preparation. .... | 58 |
| Figure 3.27: Master-Brace ADH 4000 two components.....                                     | 59 |
| Figure 3.28: Mixing of Adhesive Components .....                                           | 60 |
| Figure 3.29: CFRP specimens .....                                                          | 61 |
| Figure 3.30: Cleaning steel specimens .....                                                | 61 |
| Figure 3.31: Applying adhesive .....                                                       | 62 |
| Figure 3.32: Installing CFRP on specimen.....                                              | 63 |
| Figure 3.33: Control specimen on top of CFRP plates.....                                   | 63 |
| Figure 3.34: Steel specimen after 7 days of curing .....                                   | 64 |
| Figure 3.35: Welcome window .....                                                          | 65 |
| Figure 3.36: Fatigue test setup.....                                                       | 66 |
| Figure 3.37: Start Window for fatigue test.....                                            | 66 |
| Figure 3.38: Initial window .....                                                          | 67 |
| Figure 3.39: Comment window .....                                                          | 67 |
| Figure 3.40: Specimen information window .....                                             | 68 |
| Figure 3.41: Load window .....                                                             | 68 |
| Figure 3.42: Alarm data window .....                                                       | 69 |
| Figure 3.43: Graph window.....                                                             | 69 |
| Figure 3.44: Report window .....                                                           | 70 |
| Figure 3.45: End window .....                                                              | 70 |

|                                                                  |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 3.46: Opening test excution window .....                  | 70 |
| Figure 3.47: Test excution window .....                          | 71 |
| Figure 3.48: Water valve .....                                   | 72 |
| Figure 3.49: Master electricity key.....                         | 72 |
| Figure 3.50: Machine electricity key.....                        | 73 |
| Figure 3.51: Machine controller and computer .....               | 73 |
| Figure 3.52: Pump switch on program .....                        | 73 |
| Figure 3.53: Pressure valve .....                                | 73 |
| Figure 3.54: Stroke adjustment.....                              | 74 |
| Figure 3.55: Grips adjusment .....                               | 74 |
| Figure 3.56: Fixing dummy specimen.....                          | 74 |
| Figure 3.57: Appling manual load.....                            | 74 |
| Figure 3.58: Appling tension load .....                          | 75 |
| Figure 3.59: Ring wedge before adjustment.....                   | 75 |
| Figure 3.60: Ring wedge after adjustment .....                   | 75 |
| Figure 4.1: BS 22 before test.....                               | 78 |
| Figure 4.2: BS 22 intial crack.....                              | 78 |
| Figure 4.3: BS 22 cycles from 75000 to 150000 .....              | 78 |
| Figure 4.4: BS 22 cycles from 150000 to 225000.....              | 78 |
| Figure 4.5: BS 22 cycles from 225000 to 300000.....              | 79 |
| Figure 4.6: BS 22 cycles from 300000 to 316841.....              | 79 |
| Figure 4.7: BS 22 after failure.....                             | 79 |
| Figure 4.8: BS 22 beach marks.....                               | 79 |
| Figure 4.9: BS 22 beach marks with corresponding cycles .....    | 80 |
| Figure 4.10: BS 22 crack propagation curve.....                  | 80 |
| Figure 4.11: CSRO 22 before start.....                           | 82 |
| Figure 4.12: CSRO 22 cycles from 0 to 75000 .....                | 82 |
| Figure 4.13: CSRO 22 cycles from 75000 to 150000 .....           | 82 |
| Figure 4.14: CSRO 22 cycles from 150000to 225000 .....           | 82 |
| Figure 4.15: CSRO 22 cycles from 225000 to 300000 .....          | 82 |
| Figure 4.16: CSRO 22 cycles from 300000 to 333949 .....          | 82 |
| Figure 4.17: CSRO 22 final failure .....                         | 83 |
| Figure 4.18: CSRO 22 beach marks with corresponding cycles ..... | 83 |
| Figure 4.19: CSRO 22 crack propagation curve .....               | 83 |
| Figure 4.20: CSO 22 before start.....                            | 85 |
| Figure 4.21: CSO 22 cycles from 0 to 75000.....                  | 85 |
| Figure 4.22: CSO 22 cycles from 75000 to 225000.....             | 85 |
| Figure 4.23: CSO 22 cycles from 225000 to 375000.....            | 85 |
| Figure 4.24: CSO 22 cycles from 375000 to 378287.....            | 85 |
| Figure 4.25: CSO 22 final failure .....                          | 85 |

|                                                                         |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 4.26: CSO 22 beach marks with corresponding cycles.....          | 86  |
| Figure 4.27: CSO 22 crack propagation curve .....                       | 86  |
| Figure 4.28: CSD 22 before test .....                                   | 88  |
| Figure 4.29: CSD 22 0 to 350000 .....                                   | 88  |
| Figure 4.30: CSD22 from 350000 to 425000 .....                          | 88  |
| Figure 4.31: CSD 22000 from 425000 to 473473 .....                      | 88  |
| Figure 4.32: CSD 22 final failure after removing one side of CFRP ..... | 88  |
| Figure 4.33: CSD 22 beach marks with corresponding cycles.....          | 88  |
| Figure 4.34: CSD 22 crack propagation curve .....                       | 89  |
| Figure 4.35: BS 30 before start.....                                    | 90  |
| Figure 4.36: BS 30 from 0 to 150000.....                                | 90  |
| Figure 4.37: BS 30 from 150000 to 169000 cycles.....                    | 90  |
| Figure 4.38: BS 30 beach marks with corresponding cycles .....          | 90  |
| Figure 4.39: BS30 crack propagation curve .....                         | 91  |
| Figure 4.40: CSRO 30 before start .....                                 | 92  |
| Figure 4.41: CSRO 30 after 197382 cycles.....                           | 92  |
| Figure 4.42: CSRO 30 at failure.....                                    | 92  |
| Figure 4.43: CSRO 30 beach marks with corresponding cycles .....        | 92  |
| Figure 4.44: CSRO 30 crack propagation curve .....                      | 93  |
| Figure 4.45: CSO 30 before start.....                                   | 94  |
| Figure 4.46: CSO 30 cycles from 50000 to 75000.....                     | 94  |
| Figure 4.47: CSO 30 cycles from 125000 to 150000 .....                  | 95  |
| Figure 4.48: CSO 30 from 150000 to 196903 cycles.....                   | 95  |
| Figure 4.49: CSO 30 failure .....                                       | 95  |
| Figure 4.50: CSO 30 beach marks with corresponding cycles.....          | 95  |
| Figure 4.51: CSO 30 crack propagation curve .....                       | 96  |
| Figure 4.52: CSD 30 before start.....                                   | 96  |
| Figure 4.53: CSD 30 after testing.....                                  | 96  |
| Figure 4.54: BS 40 before start.....                                    | 98  |
| Figure 4.55: BS 40 cycles from 0 to 20000.....                          | 98  |
| Figure 4.56: BS 40 cycles from 20000 to 50000.....                      | 98  |
| Figure 4.57: BS 40 cycles from 20000 to 62000.....                      | 98  |
| Figure 4.58: BS40 beach marks with corresponding cycles .....           | 99  |
| Figure 4.59: BS 40 crack propagation curve .....                        | 99  |
| Figure 4.60: CSRO 40 before start .....                                 | 100 |
| Figure 4.61: CSRO 40 after 20000 cycles.....                            | 100 |
| Figure 4.62: CSRO 40 cycles from 75000 to 78994 .....                   | 101 |
| Figure 4.63: CSRO 40 failure.....                                       | 101 |
| Figure 4.64: CSRO 40 beach marks with corresponding cycles .....        | 101 |
| Figure 4.65: CSRO 40 crack propagation curve .....                      | 101 |