



**“GEOPHYSICAL EXPLORATION FOR MINERAL ORE DEPOSITS AT
KORABKANSI, SOUTH EASTERN DESERT AND ABU ZENEIMA,
SOUTH SINAI, EGYPT”**

A Thesis

Submitted for the of Ph.D. Degree of Science in Geophysics (applied Geophysics)

By

MOHAMED ABD ELSABOUR IBRAHIM AL DEEP

(B.Sc. in geology)

(M.Sc. in Geophysics)

To Geophysics department, faculty of science, Ain Shams University

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Salah El-Deen A. Mousa

Vice Dean of Faculty of Science, Ain Shams
University, Cairo

Prof. Dr. Sami Hamed Abdel Nabi

Prof. of geophysics, geophysics department, Faculty
of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo

Prof. Dr. Sultan Awad Sultan Arafa

Prof. of geophysics, National Research Institute of
Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan, Cairo.

Prof. Dr. Taha Tawfik Taha Rabeh

Prof. of geophysics, National Research Institute of
Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan, Cairo.

Dr. Salah Ahmed Mansour

General manager of Geophysics, EMRA of Egypt, Abaasia, Cairo.

2016

NOTES

Some results of this Thesis have been presented in **The Fifth Arab conference on Astronomy and Geophysics** which held in Cairo, Egypt from 17 to 20 October 2016

Under the title:

“ORE MINERAL INVESTIGATION BY USING MAGNETIC AND GEOELECTRIC DATA: CASE STUDY, MANGANESE-IRON ORE IN WADI AL SAHU, EAST SINAI, EGYPT”

This paper presents the most important results of the interpretation of the magnetic data on the area of Wadi Al Sahu, East Sinai, Egypt, which discussed in details in the present thesis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All gratefulness is due to Almighty **ALLAH**, who guided and aided me to bring force to make this work. I bow to him thanking his magnificence, and to the light of human beings, our prophet Mohammed prayer; peace is upon him, who urged to exert efforts for seeking knowledge.

The present work is dedicated to **my parents, brothers, and sisters** who have given me so very much I could't even begin to list it all.

Great thanks to **Ain Shams University, Faculty of Science, and Geophysics Department** for scientific and administrative facilities provided during the preparation of this study

I wish to articulate my truthful acknowledge to **Prof. Dr. Salah El-Deen A. Mohamed Mousa** the head of Geophysics department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University and also one of my supervisor and mentor

I would like to express my great indebtedness and my deepest thanks to **Prof. Dr. Sami Hamed Abdel Nabi** Professor of Geophysics – Geophysics Department - Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Special thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Sultan Awad Sultan Arafa**, Prof. of geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, who has been my true support without his expert guidance this work would not have been completed. He always spent his valuable time to motivate me and clear our doubts whenever I needed it at any hour of the day.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Taha Tawfik Taha Rabeh** Prof. of geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan, and many thanks to **Dr. Salah Ahmed Mansour**, General manager of Geophysics, EMRA of Egypt, Abaasia, Cairo. Finally; many thanks are due to the staff members of Geophysics Department, Faculty of science, Ain shams University.

MOHAMED ABD EL SABOUR IBRAHEIM

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CONTENTS.....	I
LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
ABSTRACT.....	XI

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL STATEMENT	1
1.2 KORABKANSI AREA	1
1.2.1 LOCATION	1
1.2.2 TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY.....	2
1.2.3 PREVIOUS STUDY.....	2
1.3 ABU ZENEMA (WADI AL SAHU AREA)	5
1.3.1 LOCATION.....	5
1.3.2 TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY.....	6
1.3.3 PREVIOUS STUDY	7

CHAPTER TWO

GEOLOGIC SETTING

2.1 GEOLOGICAL AND MINERALOGICAL STUDIES OF TITANOMAGNETITE IN KORABKANSI AREA.....	9
2.1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.1.2 THE STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.....	10
2.1.3 PETRO PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE ORES.....	13
2.1.4 PETROGRAPHIC STUDY.....	13
2.1.5 GEOCHEMISTRY OF THE TITANOMAGNETITE ORE.....	15
2.1.6 MINERALOGY.....	16
2.2 GEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL STUDY OF THE MANGANESE-IRON ORE IN WADI AL SAHU	17
2.2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	17

2.2.2	UM BOGMA FORMATION.....	17
2.2.3	MINERALOGY OF THE MANGANESE-IRON ORE DEPOSITS....	18
2.2.4	SURFACE GEOLOGY OF STUDIED AREA.....	19
2.2.5	ORIGIN OF THE MANGANESE-IRON ORE DEPOSITS.....	19
2.2.6	GEOCHEMISTRY OF MANGANESE IRON ORE.....	23
CHAPTER THREE		
DATA ACQISITION ANDMETHODOLOGY		
3.1	GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY OF KORABKANSI AREA	25
3.1.1	GEOPHYSICAL DATA ACQUISITION.....	25
3.1.2	MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS.....	25
3.1.3	MAGNETIC INSTRUMENTS.....	25
3.1.4	MAGNETIC DATA CORRECTION AND PRESENTATION.....	26
3.1.5	RESISTIVITY AND INDUCED POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTS	27
3.1.6	RESISTIVITY AND INDUCED POLARIZATION MEASUREMENTS	27
3.1.7	DIPOLE-DIPOLE ARRAY	28
3.2	GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS OF WADI AL SAHU AREA.....	29
3.2.1	MAGNETIC INSTRUMENTS.....	29
3.2.2	MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS.....	31
3.2.3	MAGNETIC DATA CORRECTION AND PRESENTATION.....	31
3.2.4	RESISTIVITY AND INDUCED POLARIZATION INSTRUMENTS	31
3.2.5	DIPOLE-DIPOLE ARRAY.....	32
3.3	DATA PREPARATION AND PROCESSING.....	32
3.3.1	GRIDDING.....	32
3.3.2	DATA PROCESSING.....	33
3.3.3	GRID DISPLAY AND MAPPING.....	33
3.3.4	APPLICATION OF GIS SOFTWARE.....	33
3.3.5	PROFILING.....	34
3.3.6	2-D MODELING.....	34
3.4	THEORITICAL ASPECTS OF MAGNETIC METHOD.....	34
3.4.1	FOUREIR ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS.....	35

3.4.2	THE APPARENT MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY.....	36
3.4.3	ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TOMOGRAPHY.....	37
3.4.4	TIME DOMAIN INDUCED POLARIZATION.....	39
3.4.5	RESISTIVITY AND IP DATA INVERSION.....	39

CHAPTER FOUR

INTERPRETATION OF KORABKANSI GEOPHYSICAL DATA

4.1	GROUND MAGNETIC DATA.....	41
4.1.1	QUALITATIVE INTERPRETATION.....	41
4.1.1.1	THE TOTAL MAGNETIC FIELD MAP.....	42
4.1.1.2	THE REDUCED TO THE POLE MAP.....	44
4.1.1.3	THE FIRST VERTICAL DERIVATIVE MAP.....	46
4.1.1.4	THE APPARENT MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY MAP.....	46
4.1.1.5	DOWNWARD CONTINUATION MAGNETIC MAPS.....	49
4.1.1.6	SOURCE EDGE DETECTION (SED) MAPS.....	53
4.1.2	QUANTITATIVE MAGNETIC INTERPRETATION.....	56
4.1.2.1	TWO DIMENSIONAL RADIAL AVERAGED POWER SPECTRUM	56
4.1.2.2	MAGNETIC PROFILE INVERSION.....	57
4.1.2.3	EXTENDED EULER DEPTH CALCULATION.....	67
4.1.2.4	2-D DEPTH MAGNETIC CALCULATION USING 2-D ANALYTICAL SIGNAL.....	70
4.1.2.5	3-D EULER DECONVOLUTION FOR THE MAGNETIC DATA.	71
4.1.2.6	SOURCE PARAMETER IMAGING (SPI).....	76
4.1.2.7	MULTI-SCALE EDGE DETECTION.....	77
4.2	INTERPRETATION OF GEO-ELECTRIC DATA.....	82
4.2.1	VERTICAL ELECTRIC SOUNDING (VES).....	82
4.2.2	INTERPRETATION OF DIPOLE-DIPOLE CROSS-SECTIONS.....	84
4.2.3	GEO-ELECTRIC 2-D INVERSION OF (VES) DATA.....	89
4.3	GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	95

CHAPTER FIVE

INTERPRETATION OF ABU ZENEIMA (WADI AL SAHU AREA) GEOPHYSICAL DATA

5.1	INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC DATA.....	97
5.1.1	QUALITATIVE INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC DATA.....	97
5.1.1.1	THE TOTAL MAGNETIC FIELD AND RTP MAPS.....	97
5.1.1.2	THE TOTAL VERTICAL GRADIENT AND THE HORIZONTAL GRADIENT.....	99
5.1.1.3	SOURCE EDGE DETECTION TECHNIQUES.....	100
5.1.1.4	THE ANALYTICAL SIGNAL MAP.....	101
5.1.2	QUANTITATIVE INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC DATA.....	103
5.1.2.1	2-D DEPTH MAGNETIC CALCULATION USING 2-D ANALYTICAL SIGNAL.....	103
5.1.2.2	3-D EULER DECONVOLUTION FOR THE MAGNETIC DATA..	106
5.1.2.3	SOURCE PARAMETER IMAGING.....	108
5.1.2.4	3-D ANALYTICAL SIGNAL.....	110
5.2	INTERPRETATION OF DIPOLE-DIPOLE GEO-ELECTRICAL SECTIONS IN WADI ELSAHU AREA.....	113
5.2.1	DIPOLE-DIPOLE CROSS-SECTION NO.1.....	113
5.2.2	DIPOLE-DIPOLE CROSS-SECTION NO.2.....	114
5.2.3	DIPOLE-DIPOLE CROSS-SECTION NO.3.....	115
5.3	INTEGRATED INTERPRETATION BETWEEN MAGNETIC AND GEO-ELECTRIC DATA.....	116
5.3.1	MODEL NO.1.....	117
5.3.2	MODEL NO.2.....	118
5.3.3	MODEL NO.3.....	120
5.5	GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	121
CHAPTER SIX		
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....		123
REFERENCES.....		135
ARABIC SUMMARY.....		1

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. (1.1):	Location map of korabkansi area.....	3
Fig (1.2):	Topography map showing the rough terrain in the study area which constructed from Landsat DEM	4
Fig. (1.3):	Location map of the Wadi al Sahu area.	5
Fig. (1.4):	Topography map of Wadi al Sahu showing the rough terrain of the studied area, constructed from Landsat DEM.	6
Fig. (2.1):	Geological map of South Korabkansi area constructed from Egypt geological map (EGPC and CONOCO (1987), sheet NF 36 NE).....	11
Fig. (2.2):	The Wrench Model of the E-W dextral mega-shears and the associated structures applied for the Eastern Desert of Egypt, EMRA internal report (2004).....	12
Fig. (2.3):	Geological map of the studied area showing main lithological units constructed after EGSMa, NARSS, UNDP, UNESCO (2005)	20
Fig (2.4):	Generalized lithostratigraphy of the Paleozoic succession in the um bogma area, western central Sinai (from Kora 1984)	21
Fig. (2.5):	lithological classification of um bogma Formation showing the three members (after El-Sharkawi et al. (1990)	21
Fig. (3.1):	Location map of the detailed magnetic survey in the study area of Korabkansi area.....	26
Fig. (3.2):	The geometrical factor and configuration of dipole-dipole array.....	28
Fig. (3.3):	The arrangement of electrodes for a 2-D electrical measurements used to build up a pseudo section in dipole-dipole array	29
Fig. (3.4):	location map of the total geophysical work magnetic and dipole- dipole profile at Wadi Al Sahu area, Abu Zeneima, Sinai.....	30
Fig. (3.5):	a. an Illustration of the IP-related decay of potential after interruption of the primary current. b. Effect of the IP decay time on the potential waveform for a square-wave input current.....	39
Fig. (4.1):	The total magnetic intensity map of the study area.....	43
Fig. (4.2):	Shaded color relief map of the reduced to pole magnetic intensity, of the study area.....	42

Fig. (4.3):	Shaded color relief map of the first vertical derivative magnetic field of the studied area.	47
Fig. (4.4):	Shaded color relief map of the apparent magnetic susceptibility of the studied area	48
Fig. (4.5):	The downward continued magnetic field map of the studied area (d=-10 m.), as a color shaded relief map.....	51
Fig. (4.6):	The downward continued magnetic field map of the studied area (d=-20 m.), as a color shaded relief map.....	52
Fig.(4.7):	Shaded color relief map of the RTP map with source edge plots.....	54
Fig.(4.8):	Total horizontal gradient map as a shaded color map with the source edge symbols plots.....	55
Fig.(4.9):	The 2-d Radially average power spectrum curve of the studied area.....	57
Fig.(4.10):	Location of the selected anomalies along each measured profile with shaded relief of the total magnetic field as a background.....	59
Fig.(4.11):	Inverted model of selected anomaly along profile no L11 modeled as a tabular2...	60
Fig.(4.12):	Inverted model of selected anomaly along profile no L3100 modeled as tabular2	61
Fig.(4.13):	Simple plot of the selected anomalies and a post of thickness detect of each anomaly, the calculated thickness reveal two areas of economic importance.....	65
Fig.(4.14):	Simple contouring of the depth to the top of magnetic sources calculated by 2-D inversion method and depth posts for each anomaly with RTP as background...	66
Fig (4.15):	The extended Euler solution of line L100 with solutions of magnetic contacts and dike sources.....	68
Fig. (4.16)	The extended Euler solution of line L1000 with solutions of magnetic contacts and dike sources.	68
Fig.(4.17):	a. Depth map to the top of causative body using extended Euler deconvolution for magnetic data, b. The same map with segments of the Titanomagnetite exposures (the location of the segments traced after EMRA internal report 2004)	69
Fig.(4.18):	The analytical signal solution of line L0 with dyke, clustered dyke, and contacts symbols plotted versus depth.....	70

Fig.(4.19):	The analytical signal solution of line L2 with dyke, clustered dyke, and contacts symbols plotted versus depth.	71
Fig.(4.20):	a. Depth map to the top of causative body using analytical signal analysis for magnetic data, b. The same map with segments of the Titanomagnetite exposures (the location of the segments traced after EMRA internal report 2004).	71
Fig.(4.21):	Classified map of the Euler deconvolution calculated from the RTP magnetic map of the studied area (structural index (SI=zero))	73
Fig.(4.22):	RTP shaded relief map taking as a background to the Euler map (with structural index equal to zero for the study area.....	74
Fig.(4.23):	Classified map of Euler Deconvolution calculated from the magnetic data of the studied area (structural index (SI= 1)).....	75
Fig.(4.24):	a. Depth to the top of magnetic sources map estimated by source parameter imaging technique, b. The same depth surface map with ore outcrops plots.....	77
Fig.(4.25):	Total magnetic field with the plot of multi-scale edge detection connected points.....	80
Fig.(3.26):	multi-scale edge points as a connected lines (worms) plotted over the RTP map.....	81
Fig.(3.27):	a. Depth to magnetic sources calculated with 2-D analytical signal, b. Depth to magnetic sources map calculated with extended Euler method, worms calculated by multi scale edge detection plotted over both maps to show to how extent the magnetic sources coincident with downward contact clusters.....	82
Fig.(4.28):	Location of the VES's, and the Geo-electric cross-section with Topography in the background	88
Fig.(4.29):	a. North South resistivity cross-section with linear depth value, on the top resistivity curves of all soundings along the profile, the contour lines appear in the cross section is logarithmic and delineate zones with resistivity less than 100 Ω . B. Interpreted geological section constructed using the calculated resistivity models constructed by Rockworks software assuming each VES as a borehole.	90
Fig.(4.30):	a. The resistivity model generated by inverting profile No.1 with Topography, b.	92

	Lithological cross section constructed from interpreted resistivity value.....	
Fig.(4.31):	a. The resistivity model generated by inverting profile no 2 showing the resistivity and IP models without topography, b. Lithological cross section constructed from interpreted resistivity and IP value.	93
Fig. (5.1):	a. The total magnetic anomaly map, b. The RTP in the right side after removing the diurnal and IGRF from the measured total magnetic field.....	98
Fig. (5.2):	a. Vertical gradient map, b. The total horizontal gradient calculated from magnetic anomaly map to the right, with existing mine location symbol plot, circles and ellipses are drowning to show areas have the same magnetic characteristic's.....	99
Fig. (5.3):	RTP map with source edge symbols plot, with shaded relief image as a background to show magnetic contact.....	100
Fig. (5.4):	Total horizontal gradient map as a shaded color map with the source edge simple plotting.....	101
Fig. (5.5):	The analytical signal map showing the exact location of anomalies with a present mining location and circles to show areas with the same magnetic signature...	102
Fig. (5.6):	Selected profiles along major anomalies in the studied area, used for depth calculation by 2-d analytical signal.....	103
Fig. (5.7):	Depth map calculated along major anomalies in the studied area, calculated by 2-d analytical signal.....	104
Fig. (5.8):	Depth map calculated from all the data measured in the studied area by the 2-d analytical signal, with the same symbols in the same location to show the difference.....	105
Fig.(5.9):	Classified map of the Euler deconvolution calculated from the RTP magnetic map of the studied area (structural index (SI=zero))	107
Fig.(5.10):	Classified map of the Euler deconvolution calculated from the RTP magnetic map of the studied area (structural index (SI =one))	108
Fig.(5.11):	The source depth map estimated by the Source Parameters Imaging techniques presented as a shaded color relief map.....	109
Fig.(5.12):	Location of the dipoles detected in the study area analysis using the geosoft program, the inflection point is in the mid distance between positive and negative	111

parts of each anomaly.....	
Fig.(5.13): Calculated depth map from 3-d analytical signal dipoles using the gridded dataset of Wadi Alsahu Area.....	112
Fig.(5.14): Dipole-Dipole Cross-section No.1 with topography shows the inverted resistivity model, and the low resistivity zone supposed to be ore lenses.....	114
Fig.(5.15): Dipole-Dipole Cross-section No.2 with topography shows the inverted resistivity and IP models, we can differentiate two bodies supposed to be a disseminated ores and a single lens supposed to be massive ore.....	114
Fig.(5.16): Dipole-Dipole Cross-section No.3 with topography shows the inverted resistivity and IP models, we can differentiate multi bodies supposed to be a disseminated ore.....	115
Fig.(5.17): Integrated interpretation map for the geological and geophysical results.....	116
Fig.(5.18): a. The Magnetic Inversion Model of magnetic profile No.1 for the horizon traced from the boundary of the resistivity layers, b. Geo-electric cross-section No.1....	118
Fig.(5.19): a. The Magnetic Inversion Model of magnetic profile 2 for the horizon traced from the boundary of the resistivity and chargeability layers, b. Geo-Electric Cross-section No.2	119
Fig.(5.20): a. The Magnetic Inversion Model of magnetic profile No.3 for the horizon traced from the boundary of the resistivity and chargeability layers, b. Geoelectric cross section No.3	120

LIST OF TABLES

Table (2.1): Average density and magnetic susceptibility of the massive and disseminated Titanomagnetite deposits and host rocks	13
Table (3.1): Resistivity's of common rocks and ore minerals (ohm-meters), after Milsom John, (2003)	38
Table (4.1): Depth, thickness, and magnetic susceptibility of inverted Anomalies along measured data profiles.....	62
Table (4.2): The depths and resistivity of the supposed low resistivity Ore zone	87

ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the application of geophysical investigations for mineral exploration purpose especially Titanomagnetite and manganese-iron ores. Two selected areas of different geological setting, the first study area is bounded by latitudes $22^{\circ} 31' 06.4''$ and $22^{\circ} 38' 46.4''$ N and longitude $34^{\circ} 58' 35.86''$ and $35^{\circ} 2' 47.08''$ E, the second area selected for this study is bounded by latitudes $28^{\circ} 58' 13''$ and $28^{\circ} 59' 5''$ N and longitude $33^{\circ} 22' 15''$ and $33^{\circ} 23' 4.5''$ E. located west Sinai near to Abu Zeneima town, Egypt.

Magnetic qualitative interpretation is made for both areas for location and depth detection for supposed minerals, include the Reduction to pole and different filters like First vertical derivative, Magnetic susceptibility, analytical signal, Downward continuation at various depths, and source edge detection to detect the exact location of magnetic contacts

Quantitative magnetic processing is made for both areas to calculate the depth of the detected magnetic anomalies supposed to be ore bodies, I used 2-D extended Euler deconvolution, 2-D analytical signal, magnetic profile inversion, 3-d Euler deconvolution, source parameters imaging, and 3-d analytical signal

Vertical electrical sounding is used in the first area to detect maximum depth for low resistivity bodies assumed to be Titanomagnetite, inversion and modeling is need to estimate the equivalent geo-electric layer for each VES.

Electrical resistivity and induced polarization tomography have been made over selected profiles to detect zones of massive ore bodies characterized by low resistivity and high chargeability, and zones of disseminated ore bodies characterized by moderate to high resistivity and moderate to high chargeability, also a modeling process needed to estimate the equivalent earth model.

The subsurface presence of titanomagnetite at Korabkansi area is confirmed using different geophysical techniques magnetic and geoelectric, I can assume two different zones enriched with the ore, The presence of manganese-iron ore in the subsurface also has been detected using both tools magnetic and geo-electric methods

The results of both qualitative and quantitative interpretations of magnetic and geoelectric data are combined to get the general view of the ore deposits abundance in both areas and there highlight their economic potentiality.