Effect of Shade, Increment Thickness and Light Curing Distance on Dentin Bond Strength, Degree of Conversion and Cytotoxic Behavior of Resin Composite Core Build-Up Material

Thesis submitted to the faculty of dentistry,
Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of
Doctor degree in operative dentistry.

BY

Mohammed Nasser Mohammed Anwar

B.D.S., Ain Shams University (2006) M.Sc., Ain Shams university (2011)

Supervisors

Dr. Mokhtar Nagy Ibrahim

Professor, Operative Dentistry Department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Farid Mohammed Sabry El-Askary

Professor and Head of Operative Dentistry Department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

I am greatly honored to express my gratitude to **Dr**. **Mokhtar Nagi Ibrahim**, Professor of operative dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his continuous encouragement and guidance.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and deep gratitude to **Dr. Farid Sabry El Askary**, Professor and head of operative dentistry department, Faculty of dentistry Ain Shams University, for his valuable unlimited guidance, and mentor attitude. I benefited greatly from his experience and knowledge throughout the research work.

I would like to thank **Dr. Serag El-Dien Mohammed** for his help and technical support during the cytotoxic testing within this study.

Finally, I would like also to thank **VOCO Company** (VOCO GmbH. Cuxhaven, Germany) for supplying the materials used in this study.

I wish to dedicate this work to

My Great Parents,

My Always Supporting Wife,

My Lovely Daughters,

and My little Baby Boy.

Table of contents

List of tables	i
List of figures	iii
Introduction	1
Review of literature	4
Aim of the study	25
Materials and methods	26
Results	43
Discussion	77
Summary and Conclusions	86
References	89
Arabic summary	

List of tables

Table1: Materials (manufacturer), description, compositions	
and their lot numbers.	26
Table 2: Variables investigated.	30
Table 3: Interactions between tested variables.	30
Table4: Three way ANOVA for the effect of shade, increment	
thickness, light curing distance and their interactions on	
shear bond strength of dual-cure resin composite to	
bovine dentin.	44
Table5: Means ±Standard Deviations (MPa) for the effect of	
resin composite shade within each increment thickness	
and light curing distance on the shear bond strength of	
dual-cure resin composite to bovine dentin.	45
Table6: Means ±Standard Deviations (MPa) for the effect of	
increment thickness within each resin composite shade	
and light curing distance on the shear bond strength of	
dual-cure resin composite to bovine dentin	47
Table7: Means ±Standard Deviations (MPa) for the effect of	
light curing distance within each resin composite shade	
and increment thickness on the shear bond strength of	
dual-cure resin composite to bovine dentin.	49
Table8: Showing the percentage of each type of shear bond	
failure (adhesive and mixed with no cohesive failure).	51
Table9: Three way ANOVA for effect of shade, increment	
thickness, light curing distance and their interactions on	
degree of conversion of resin composite.	63

Table 10: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of resin	
composite shade within each increment thickness and	
light curing distance on the degree of conversion of	
dual-cure resin composite.	64
Table11: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of	
increment thickness within each resin composite shade	
and light curing distance on the degree of conversion of	
dual-cure resin composite.	66
Table12: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of light	
curing distance within each resin composite shade and	
increment thickness on the degree of conversion of dual-	
cure resin composite.	68
Table13: Three way ANOVA of shade, increment thickness, light	
curing distance and their interactions on cytotoxic	
behavior of resin composite.	71
Table14: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of resin	
composite shade within each increment thickness and	
light curing distance on the cytotoxicity of dual-cure	
resin composite.	72
Table15: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of	
increment thickness within each resin composite shade	
and light curing distance on the degree of conversion of	
dual-cure resin composite.	74
Table16: Means ±Standard Deviations (%) for the effect of light	
curing distance within each resin composite shade and	
increment thickness on the cytotoxicity of dual-cure	
resin composite.	75

List of figures

Figure 1: Illustrating diagram for the components of Fourier	
transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy.	12
Figure 2: Graph illustrating the output of the Fourier transform	
infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. Peaks of aliphatic C=C	
and aromatic C=C are presented.	14
Figure 3: Diagram illustrating various methods of structuring the	
material-cell interface during in vitro tests.	21
Figure 4: Flat dentine specimen embedded in acrylic resin.	29
Figure 5: (a,b): Double-faced adhesive tape with a 5mm	
diameter hole placed on flat dentine surface.	31
Figure 6 : Teflon tube placed on flat dentine surface to serve as a	
mold for dual cured resin composite.	33
Figure 7: a) Curing dual cured composite specimen at 0mm	
distance. b) and c) The copper ring used to guide the	
light curing tip for 10mm curing distance.	33
Figure 8: The whole assembly used for shear bond strength	
testing.	34
Figure 9: Specimen preparation for degree of conversion	
measurement. a) Copper mold of 2mm height for	
2mm increment thickness groups, copper ring of	
8mm height for 10mm light curing distance groups.	
b) Copper mold of 4mm height for 2mm and 2mm x	
2mm increment thicknesses groups, copper ring of	
6mm height for 10mm light curing distance groups.	37

Figure 10 : Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) spectrometer.	
(Thermo-Nicolet Nexus 670 FTIRc).	38
Figure 11: Ultra violet sterilizer used to ensure the absence of	
any biological contaminants. (Steribeam, Germany).	39
Figure12: Ultra violet laminar flow. (Airstream®, horizontal	
laminar flow, ESCO corp. USA).	40
Figure 13: a) 96 well micro titer plates. b) culture media added	
and its volume is adjusted using multi-channel	
micro-pipette.	41
Figure 14: The optical density (OD) measurements spectro-	
photometrically using an ELISA microplate reader	
(ELx800, Bio-Tek Gen, USA).	42
Figure 15: Bar chart for the effect of shade within each	
increment thickness and light curing distance on the	
shear bond strength of dual-cure resin composite to	
bovine dentin.	46
Figure 16: Bar chart for the effect of increment thickness within	
each resin composite shade and light curing distance	
on the shear bond strength of dual-cure resin	
composite to bovine dentin.	48
Figure 17: Bar chart for the effect of light curing distance within	
each resin composite shade and increment thickness	
on the shear bond strength of dual-cure resin	
composite to bovine dentin.	50
Figure 18: Bar chart representing the percentage of adhesive and	
mixed failures in all tested groups.	52

Figure 19: Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 2mm	
increment thickness and 0mm light curing distance. A-	
showed a mixed type of failure. B- showed an adhesive	
type of failure.	52
Figure 20: Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 4mm	
increment thickness and 0mm light curing distance.	
A- showed a mixed type of failure. B- showed an	
adhesive type of failure.	53
Figure 21: Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 2mm	
x 2mm increment thickness and 0mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	53
Figure 22: Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 2mm	
increment thickness and 10mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	54
Figure 23 : Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 4mm	
increment thickness and 10mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	54
Figure 24: Stereomicroscope picture for group blue shade 2mm	
x 2mm increment thickness and 10mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	55

Figure 25: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
2mm increment thickness and 0mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	55
Figure 26: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
4mm increment thickness and 0mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	56
Figure 27: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
2mm x 2mm increment thickness and 0mm light	
curing distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure.	
B- showing an adhesive type of failure.	56
Figure 28: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
2mm increment thickness and 10mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	57
Figure 29: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
4mm increment thickness and 10mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	57
Figure 30: Stereomicroscope picture for group dentin shade	
2mm x 2mm increment thickness and 10mm light	
curing distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure.	
B- showing an adhesive type of failure.	58

Figure 31: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 2mm	
increment thickness and 0mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	56
Figure 32: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 4mm	
increment thickness and 0mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	57
Figure 33: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 2mm	
x 2mm increment thickness and 0mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	57
Figure 34: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 2mm	
increment thickness and 10mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	58
Figure 35: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 4mm	
increment thickness and 10mm light curing distance.	
A- showing a mixed type of failure. B- showing an	
adhesive type of failure.	58
Figure 36: Stereomicroscope picture for group white shade 2mm	
x 2mm increment thickness and 10mm light curing	
distance. A- showing a mixed type of failure. B-	
showing an adhesive type of failure.	59

Figure 37: Bar chart for the effect of shade within each	
increment thickness and light curing distance on the	
degree of conversion of dual-cure resin composite.	63
Figure 38: Bar chart for the effect of increment thickness within	
each resin composite shade and light curing distance	
on the degree of conversion of dual-cure resin	
composite.	65
Figure 39: Bar chart for the effect of light curing distance within	
each resin composite shade and increment thickness	
on the degree of conversion of dual-cure resin	
composite.	67
Figure 40: Bar chart for the effect of shade within each	
increment thickness and light curing distance on the	
cytotoxicity of dual-cure resin composite.	71
Figure 41: Bar chart for the effect of increment thickness within	
each resin composite shade and light curing distance	
on the cytotoxicity of dual-cure resin composite.	73
Figure 42: Bar chart for the effect of light curing distance within	
each resin composite shade and increment thickness	
on the cytotoxicity of dual-cure resin composite.	74

Since the chemists produced composite materials in their laboratories, they have been increasingly applied in dental field. Resin composite restorative materials represent one of the many successes of modern biomaterials research, as they replace biological tissues in both appearance and function. At least half of the posterior direct restoration placements now rely on composite materials. Unfortunately, demands on these restorations with regard to mechanical properties, placement and need for *in-situ* curing leave significant room for advancements, particularly with respect to their mechanical properties, polymerization-induced stresses, thermal expansion mismatch, fracture, abrasion and wear resistance, marginal leakage, and toxicity. Ultimately, these shortcomings reduce a restoration's lifetime and represent the driving force for improvement in dental composites.

Chemical-cured resin composites were introduced firstly and due to their drawbacks, light-cured resin composites were introduced afterwards. Light-cured resin composites have largely superseded the use of chemical-cured ones in aesthetic applications. They offer distinct advantages of improved storage stability, extended working time, increased degree of conversion, reduced air porosities caused by mixing and enhanced physical properties.³ However, light-polymerizable materials need sufficient light energy to be transmitted through the material in order to initiate polymerization reaction and to reach the recommended degree of conversion (DC).⁴ When applying light-cured

resin composite material into deep cavities, its adequate polymerization is a crucial factor to ensure optimal bond strength at the resin-dentin interface, as well as ensuring optimal physical and biological properties. Many previous studies demonstrated incomplete polymerization of purely light-activated resin composites due to attenuation of the light energy by the restorative material and the distance from light curing source. The degree of this light attenuation is primarily dependent on the light curing distance, shade and thickness of the restorative material.⁵

In an effort to overcome the limitations of light-polymerized resin composites, there is a trend towards the use of the dual-polymerized ones for restorations thicker than 2 mm. Dual-cured resin composites were introduced to ensure proper DC. However the situation in the clinical field is more complicated due to various intraoperative difficulties. These variables include the design and size of the light guide, distance of the light guide tip from the resin composite material, power density, exposure duration, shade and opacity of the resin composite, increment thickness as well as material composition.

Core build-up resin composite being dual-cured, encourages its usage as a foundation restoration for both vital and endodontically treated teeth. Many manufacturers recommend placing the resin composite in more than a 2-mm-increment thickness yet maintaining acceptable DC. Dual-cured resin composites showed lower mechanical and biological properties when their curing is performed via chemical mode only.^{4,7} Bond strength testing is one of the most important points of view for researchers