



FINITE ELEMENT ESTIMATES OF PUNCHING SHEAR STRENGTH

By

Gehad Samir Mohammed Younis

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

FINITE ELEMENT ESTIMATES OF PUNCHING SHEAR STRENGTH

By
Gehad Samir Mohammed Younis

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Professor Dr. Adel Yehia Akl

Professor of Structural Engineering
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Osman M.O. Ramadan

Professor of Structural Engineering
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Kamal Ghamry Metwally

Ass. Professor of Structural Engineering
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Beni-Suef University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

FINITE ELEMENT ESTIMATES OF PUNCHING SHEAR STRENGTH

By
Gehad Samir Mohammed Younis

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee :

Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl (The main supervisor)
Professor of Structural Engineering - Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Osman M.O. Ramadan (Member)
Professor of Structural Engineering - Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Atef Samy Gendy (Internal examiner)
Professor of Structural Engineering - Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mousaa Abd El-Rahman (External examiner)
Professor of RC structures – Faculty of Engineering at Mataria - Helwan University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015

Engineer's Name: Gehad Samir Mohammed Younis
Date of Birth: 19/9/1987
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: enginegehad@hotmail.com
Phone: +201003575448
Address: 8 Afify Ramdan st., Qalioub, Qalioubia
Registration Date: 1 / 3 / 2011
Awarding Date: / ... / 2015
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl
Prof. Dr. Osman Mohamed Osman
Dr. Kamal Ghamry Metwally
(Ass. Professor of Structural Engineering, Beni-Suef University)

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl
Prof. Dr. Osman Mohamed Osman
Prof. Dr. Atef Samy Gendy
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mousaa Abd El-Rahman (External examiner)
(Professor of RC structures, Faculty of Engineering at Mataria - Helwan University)

Title of Thesis:

Finite Element Estimates of Punching Shear Strength

عنوان الرسالة:

تقدير مقاومة القص الثاقب بطريقة العناصر المحدودة

Key Words:

Flat plate; Reinforced concrete slab-column connections; Punching shear; Shear strength; ANSYS

Summary:

This study employs the finite element (FE) method to investigate the punching shear strength of RC slab-column connections focusing on the effect of moment transfer from slab to column. The objective of the study is to compare the FE results to the two methods adopted by ECP-203: the simplified approach and the detailed one. This comparison is carried out for interior, edge, and corner slab-column connections with various levels of the moment transferred from slab to column. Numerical calculations of the punching shear strength using the FE method are conducted using the ANSYS software.

Acknowledgments

Praise to **ALLAH** Almighty and Peace is upon his Prophet **Muhammad**.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Professor Dr. Adel Yehia Akl for his caring guidance, encouragement, and support.

Deep appreciation and thanks are due to my Professor Dr. Osman M. O. Ramadan for his constant supervision, planning, guidance, valuable suggestions, precise advice and constant encouragement during all stages of this research work.

I would like to thank Dr. Kamal Ghamry for his precious contribution, especially in using software package ANSYS. Without him it would have not been possible to get high accuracy of FEM results.

Finally, I dedicate this study to my dear parents, brother, and sister, for their continuous encouragement and fruitful care.

Dedication

*To my dear father, mother, brother, and
sister with all my respect and love*

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
ABSTRACT	XI
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	1
1.3 SCOPE AND CONTENTS.....	2
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW AND BASIC VARIABLES.....	4
2.1 GENERAL	4
2.2 METHODS OF PUNCHING SHEAR ANALYSIS	4
2.2.1 Mechanical Model	4
2.2.2 Broms Model	6
2.2.3 Truss Model	8
2.2.4 Strip Model	9
2.2.5 Control Surface Approach.....	11
2.3 PARAMETERS GOVERNING SHEAR STRENGTH OF FLAT SLABS	24
2.3.1 Concrete Strength	24
2.3.2 Reinforcement	24
2.3.3 In Plane Restraints	25
2.3.4 Aspect Ratio of Loaded Area (Column)	25
2.3.5 Size Effect (Span-Depth Ratio).....	25
2.3.6 Perimeter to Depth Ratio (b_0/d)	26
2.3.7 Concrete Cover	26
2.4 SLABS WITH SHEAR REINFORCEMENT.....	26
2.4.1 Shear Reinforcement Types.....	27
2.5 FAILURE MECHANISMS	30
2.5.1 Symmetrical Punching	31
2.5.2 Punching with Unbalanced Moments	31
CHAPTER 3: PUNCHING SHEAR ANALYSIS USING ECP 203-2007	35
3.1 GENERAL	35
3.2 CASE OF STUDY AND LOADS.....	35
3.3 RESULTS OF B FOR AN INTERIOR SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION ANALYSIS	36
3.3.1 Effect of f_{cu} , a/b , and $(e=M/Q)$	36

3.3.2 Effect of Column Dimensions (bxb) to Slab Thickness Ratio (b/t _s).....	41
3.4 RESULTS OF B' FOR AN EDGE SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION ANALYSIS	42
3.4.1 Effect of f _{cu} , a/b, and (e=M/Q)	42
3.4.2 Effect of Column Dimensions (bxb) to Slab Thickness Ratio (b/t _s).....	46
3.5 RESULTS OF B' FOR CORNER SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION ANALYSIS	47
3.5.1 Effect of f _{cu} , a/b, (e=M/Q)	47
3.5.2 Effect of Column Dimensions (bxb) to Slab Thickness Ratio (b/t _s).....	52
3.6 PROPOSING INTERACTION DIAGRAMS	53
3.7 RANGE OF APPLICATION	59
3.8 DISCUSSION	61
CHAPTER 4: FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS	62
4.1 GENERAL	62
4.2 TYPES OF REINFORCEMENT	63
4.3 CONCRETE CRACKING	64
4.4 ELEMENT LIBRARY	64
4.4.1 SOLID65 - 3-D Reinforced Concrete Solid	65
4.4.2 LINK180 - 3-D Spar (or Truss)	65
4.5 FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION.....	66
4.6 MODELING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE IN ANSYS.....	66
4.6.1 Reinforcement.....	66
4.6.2 Concrete	67
4.7 CHOICE OF FINITE ELEMENT MESH.....	68
4.8 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	68
4.9 THE STUDY MODELS.....	70
4.9.1 Model Properties and Loads	71
4.9.2 Analysis Result	73
4.10 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	82
CHAPTER 5: PARAMETRIC STUDY	83
5.1 INTRODUCTION	83
5.2 EFFECT OF CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH ON PURE PUNCHING STRENGTH.83	
5.2.1 Studied Models	83
5.2.2 Results of the Analysis.....	87
5.2.3 Comparison between Current Codes Design Approaches and Discussions	90
5.3 EFFECT OF ECCENTRICITY ON PUNCHING STRENGTH.....	91
5.3.1 Studied Models	91
5.3.2 Results of the Analysis.....	92
5.3.3 Comparison between Current Codes Design Approaches and Discussions	95
5.4 EFFECT OF COLUMN DIMENSION TO SLAB THICKNESS RATIO (B/T _s) ON PUNCHING STRENGTH	97
5.4.1 Studied Models	97
5.4.2 Results of the Analysis.....	100
5.4.3 Comparison between Current Codes Design Approaches and Discussions	103
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	105

6.1 GENERAL	105
6.2 SUMMARY	105
6.3 CONCLUSIONS	106
6.4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	106
REFERENCES	A
APPENDICES	D
APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL FIGURES OF FEM RESULTS FOR INTERIOR SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION.....	E
APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL FIGURES OF FEM RESULTS FOR EDGE SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION.....	I
APPENDIX C: ADDITIONAL FIGURES OF FEM RESULTS FOR CORNER SLAB-COLUMN CONNECTION.....	M
الملخص باللغة العربية	Q

List of Tables

Table 2.1: K ratios from unbalanced moment resisted by shear according to CEB-FIP model code 90.....	22
Table 3.1: Results of β' of an interior slab-column connection.....	36
Table 3.2: Results of β' of an interior slab-column connection.....	41
Table 3.3: Results of β' of an edge slab-column connection.....	42
Table 3.4: Results of β' of an edge slab-column connection.....	47
Table 3.5: Results of β' of corner slab-column connection.....	48
Table 3.6: Results of β' of corner slab-column connection.....	53
Table 4.1: Description of the analyzed specimens	71
Table 4.2: Properties of the analyzed specimens.....	71
Table 5.1: Model properties to study the effect of compressive strength of concrete (f_{cu}) for interior slab-column connection under pure punching	87
Table 5.2: Model properties to study the effect of compressive strength of concrete (f_{cu}) for edge slab-column connection under pure punching	87
Table 5.3: Model properties to study the effect of compressive strength of concrete (f_{cu}) for corner slab-column connection under pure punching.....	87
Table 5.4: Failure loads and failure deflections for interior connection (S_1 to S_3).....	88
Table 5.5: Failure loads and failure deflections for edge connection (S_4 to S_6).....	88
Table 5.6: Failure loads and failure deflections for corner connection (S_7 to S_9).....	88
Table 5.7: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing f_{cu} for interior column models (S_1 to S_3).....	90
Table 5.8: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing f_{cu} for edge column models (S_4 to S_6).....	91
Table 5.9: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing f_{cu} for corner column models (S_7 to S_9).....	91
Table 5.10: Model properties to study the effect of eccentricity (e) for interior slab-column connection	92
Table 5.11: Model properties to study the effect of eccentricity (e) for edge slab-column connection.....	92
Table 5.12: Model properties to study the effect of eccentricity (e) for corner slab-column connection	92
Table 5.13: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{10} to S_{12})	93
Table 5.14: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{13} to S_{15})	93
Table 5.15: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{16} to S_{18})	93
Table 5.16: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing eccentricity for interior column models (S_{10} to S_{12}) using $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$	95
Table 5.17: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing eccentricity for edge column models (S_{13} to S_{15}) using $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$	96

Table 5.18: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS by increasing eccentricity for corner column models (S_{16} to S_{18}) using $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$	96
Table 5.19: Model properties to study the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s) for interior slab-column connection	100
Table 5.20: Model properties to study the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s) for edge slab-column connection	100
Table 5.21: Model properties to study the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s) for corner slab-column connection.....	100
Table 5.22: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{19})	101
Table 5.23: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{20})	101
Table 5.24: Failure loads and failure deflections for (S_{21})	101
Table 5.25: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS for interior column model (S_{19}) using $b/t_s=2$ for $f_{cu}=25\text{ MPa}$	103
Table 5.26: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS for edge column models (S_{20}) using $b/t_s=2$ for $f_{cu}=25\text{ MPa}$	104
Table 5.27: Comparison between maximum punching forces (kN) predicted by different codes and ANSYS for corner column models (S_{21}) using $b/t_s=2$ for $f_{cu}=25\text{ MPa}$	104

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1: Flat Plate System.....	3
Fig. 2.1: Mechanical Punching Model by Kinnunen and Nylander (1960)	6
Fig. 2.2: High Radial Compression Stresses at Failure by Broms (1990).....	7
Fig. 2.3: Truss model by Alexander and Simmonds (1987)	8
Fig. 2.4: layout of radial strips into strip model by Alexander.....	10
Fig. 2.5: punching shear perimeter (ACI)	13
Fig. 2.6: Assumed distribution of shear stresses (ACI).....	14
Fig. 2.7: Single or multiple-leg stirrup-type slab shear reinforcement (ACI).....	16
Fig. 2.8: Arrangement of stirrup shear reinforcement for interior column (ACI).....	17
Fig. 2.9: Arrangement of stirrup shear reinforcement for edge column (ACI)	17
Fig. 2.10: punching shear perimeter (BS)	19
Fig. 2.11: Typical arrangement of shear studs and critical sections outside shear-reinforced zone (BS 8110).....	21
Fig. 2.12: punching shear perimeters (CEB-FIP model code 90)	22
Fig. 2.13: shear stress distribution due to unbalanced moment at edge column-slab connection according to CEB-FIP Code 90	23
Fig. 2.14: closed stirrups	27
Fig. 2.15: bent up bars	28
Fig. 2.16: structural steel shear heads.....	29
Fig. 2.17: shear studs (http://www.vsl.net/construction_systems/shear_rail.html).....	30
Fig. 2.18: shear failure into flat plates.....	31
Fig. 2.19: transfer of forces between floor and edge column.....	32
Fig. 2.20: transfer of forces between floor and interior column.....	33
Fig. 2.21: transfer of forces between floor and corner column	33
Fig. 2.22: punching shear failure under combined shear and unbalanced moments.....	34
Fig. 3.1: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	36
Fig. 3.2: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$..	37
Fig. 3.3: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	37
Fig. 3.4: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	38
Fig. 3.5: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$..	38
Fig. 3.6: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	39
Fig. 3.7: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	39
Fig. 3.8: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$..	40
Fig. 3.9: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	40
Fig. 3.10: β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $b/t_s = (4 \text{ to } 6)$	41
Fig. 3.11: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	42
Fig. 3.12: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$...	43
Fig. 3.13: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	43
Fig. 3.14: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	44
Fig. 3.15: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$...	44
Fig. 3.16: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	45
Fig. 3.17: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu} = 35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	45

Fig. 3.18: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$...	46
Fig. 3.19: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	46
Fig. 3.20: β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $b/t_s = (4 \text{ to } 6)$	47
Fig. 3.21: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	48
Fig. 3.22: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$	49
Fig. 3.23: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	49
Fig. 3.24: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	50
Fig. 3.25: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$	50
Fig. 3.26: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=30\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	51
Fig. 3.27: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1$	51
Fig. 3.28: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1.5$	52
Fig. 3.29: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=35\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=2$	52
Fig. 3.30: β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $b/t_s = (4 \text{ to } 6)$	53
Fig. 3.31: Interaction between Shear and Moment for Interior Column to Slab Connection.....	54
Fig. 3.32: Interaction between Shear and Moment for Edge Column to Slab Connection (moment is applied inward the slab)	55
Fig. 3.33: Interaction between Shear and Moment for Edge Column to Slab Connection (moment is applied outward the slab)	56
Fig. 3.34: Interaction between Shear and Moment for Corner Column to Slab Connection (moment is applied inward the slab)	57
Fig. 3.35: Interaction between Shear and Moment for Corner Column to Slab Connection (moment is applied outward the slab)	58
Fig. 3.36 : β' results of an interior slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1/2, 2/3, 1, 3/2$, and 2.....	59
Fig. 3.37 : β' results of an edge slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1/2, 2/3, 1, 3/2$, and 2.....	60
Fig. 3.38 : β' results of corner slab-column connection for $f_{cu}=25\text{MPa}$ and $a/b=1/2, 2/3, 1, 3/2$, and 2.....	60
Fig. 4.1: types of reinforcement (ANSYS)	63
Fig. 4.2: concrete cracking strength (ANSYS)	64
Fig. 4.3: Representation of concrete by SOLID65 - 3-D Reinforced Concrete Solid element (ANSYS)	65
Fig. 4.4: Crack plane definition in global coordinate system (ANSYS).....	65
Fig. 4.5: Representation of reinforcement steel by LINK180 - 3-D Spar (or Truss) element (ANSYS)	66
Fig. 4.6: reinforcement stress-strain curve input	67
Fig. 4.7: concrete stress-strain curve input	68
Fig. 4.8: finite element mesh used in the model	69
Fig. 4.9: boundary conditions used in the model.....	70
Fig. 4.10: Test setup; El-Salakawy et al. (1998)	70
Fig. 4.11: Model dimensions (XXX, HXXX); all dimensions are in millimeters.....	72
Fig. 4.12: Crack propagation at bottom surface for model (XXX) using ANSYS	73
Fig. 4.13: Failure cracks at bottom surface at failure vertical shear = 125 KN for model (XXX) by El-Salakawy et al. (1998).....	75
Fig. 4.14: Crack propagation at elevation surface for model (XXX) using ANSYS	75

Fig. 4. 15: Failure cracks at free edge at failure vertical shear = 125 KN for model (XXX) by El-Salakawy et al. (1998).....	77
Fig. 4. 16: Load deflection curve comparison for specimen (XXX) by experimental results and ANSYS.....	77
Fig. 4. 17: Crack propagation at bottom surface for model (HXXX) using ANSYS.....	78
Fig. 4. 18: Crack propagation at elevation surface for model (HXXX) using ANSYS.....	80
Fig. 4. 19: Failure cracks at free edge at failure vertical shear = 69 KN for model (HXXX) by El-Salakawy et al. (1998).....	81
Fig. 4. 20: Load deflection curve for specimen (HXXX) by experimental results and ANSYS.....	82
Fig. 5.1: Interior model dimensions (mm).....	84
Fig. 5.2: Edge model dimensions (mm)	85
Fig. 5.3: Corner model dimensions (mm)	86
Fig. 5.4: load deflection curve for models (S_1 to S_3).....	89
Fig. 5.5: load deflection curve for models (S_4 to S_6)	89
Fig. 5.6: load deflection curve for models (S_7 to S_9).....	90
Fig. 5.7: load deflection curve for models (S_{10} to S_{12})	94
Fig. 5.8: load deflection curve for models (S_{13} to S_{15})	94
Fig. 5.9: load deflection curve for models (S_{16} to S_{18})	95
Fig. 5.10: Interior model dimensions (mm) for studying the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s)	97
Fig. 5.11: Edge model dimensions (mm) for studying the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s)	98
Fig. 5.12: Corner model dimensions (mm) for studying the effect of column dimension to slab thickness ratio (b/t_s)	99
Fig. 5.13: Cracks at failure vertical shear for models (S_{19}).....	102
Fig. 5.14 Cracks at failure vertical shear for models (S_{20})	102
Fig. 5.15: Cracks at failure vertical shear for models (S_{21}).....	103
Fig.A.1: Finite element model.....	e
Fig.A.2: Finite element model's deflection	f
Fig.A.3: Finite element model's shear stress	g
Fig.A.4: Finite element model's second crack.....	h
Fig. B.1: Finite element model.....	i
Fig. B.2: Finite element model's deflection.....	j
Fig. B.3: Finite element model's shear stress	k
Fig. B.4: Finite element model's second crack.....	l
Fig. C.1: Finite element model.....	m
Fig. C.2: Finite element model's deflection.....	n
Fig. C.3: Finite element model's shear stress	o
Fig. C.4: Finite element model's second crack.....	p

Abstract

This study employs the finite element (FE) method to investigate the punching shear strength of RC slab-column connections focusing on the effect of moment transfer from slab to column. The objective of the study is to compare the FE results to the two methods adopted by ECP-203: the simplified approach and the detailed one. This comparison is carried out for interior, edge, and corner slab-column connections with various levels of the moment transferred from slab to column. Numerical calculations of the punching shear strength using the FE method are conducted using the ANSYS 14.0 software.

In the first part of the thesis, results of the detailed method of ECP-203 are compared with those of the simplified method adopted by the same code. Using these results, values of the factor β that if used with the simplified method would yield same results as the detailed method are estimated. Besides, the effect of the design variables like column size to slab thickness ratio, concrete strength, transfer moment, and column location (interior, edge, or corner) on the value of factor β are investigated. Thus, possible modification to the factor β is proposed for interior, edge, and corner slab-column connections.

Then, three-dimensional, nonlinear FE analyses of interior, edge, and corner slab-column connections subjected to general load configurations in which both vertical loads and moments are transferred from slab to column are conducted. The FE analyses considered the same design variables that were studied in the first part of the thesis and found to affect the punching shear strength of various slab-column connections. Besides, the FE results are used to describe the punching shear performance of slab-column connections and their modes of failure. Moreover, the punching shear strength, load-deflection relationship, and crack patterns predicted by the FE method are compared to their corresponding experimental results published by others. This comparison verified the accuracy and effectiveness of the adopted FE model in predicting the punching shear strength and performance of slab-column connections. Finally, the FE results are compared with the predictions of frequently applied design codes (ACI 318-05, ECP 203-07, CEBFIP MC 90 & BS 8110-97).