



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
@ ASUNET



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of  
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل



٢٠١٢

# TRANSVAGINAL COLOR DOPPLER ULTRASOUND IN EVALUATION OF OVARIAN MASSES

618, 11

*Thesis*

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D. Degree  
In Obstetrics and Gynecology

*By*

**Mohamed Farag Mohamed**

*M.B., B.Ch. and MSc.  
Obstetrics & Gynecology*

*Supervisors*

**PROF. DR. SOHIR MOHAMED AFIA**

*Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics  
Benha Faculty of Medicine  
Zagazig University*

**PROF. DR. MOHAMED EL-MOSTAFA ABD EL-KAREM**

*Professor of Gynecology and Obstetrics  
Benha Faculty of Medicine  
Zagazig University*

**DR. HODA ABD EL-KADER MANSOUR**

*Lecturer of Gynecology and Obstetrics  
Benha Faculty of Medicine  
Zagazig University*

**BENHA FACULTY OF MEDICINE  
ZAGAZIG UNIVERSITY**

**2003**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

﴿قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا﴾

إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ ﴿٣٢﴾

صدق الله العظيم

"سورة البقرة آية ٣٢"

# *Acknowledgment*

I would like to express my sincere thanks and deep appreciation to **PROF. DR. SOHIR AFIA** Prof. of Obstetric and Gynecology, Benha Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, for her keen supervision, her generous advice and support.

I am greatly indebted to **PROF. DR. MOHAMED ABD EL-KAREM**, Prof. of Obstetric and Gynecology, Benha Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, for his kind support, constructive criticism and encouragement. I gratefully appreciate his patience and cooperation.

I would like to express my gratitude to **DR. HODA ABD EL-KADER**, lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Benha Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University for her sincere advice, wise guidance and supervision.

I wish to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to **PROF. DR. REDA HAMZA** the previous Dean of National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, for his great help in the clinical part of the study.

Finally I am grateful to all my **Professors, Senior Staff and my Colleagues**, for their help and co-operation throughout the work.



# CONTENTS

	Page No.
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Aim of the work</b>	4
<b>Review of literature :</b>	
<i>Chapter 1 :</i>	
• Anatomy of the ovaries.	5
• Classification of ovarian masses.	12
<i>Chapter 2 :</i>	
• Transvaginal sonography.	26
• Basic principles of Doppler sonography.	33
<i>Chapter 3 :</i>	
• Gray scale ultrasonography of ovarian masses.	41
• Color Doppler ultrasonography of ovarian masses.	58
• Transvaginal sonography and color Doppler in screening of ovarian cancer.	67
<b>Subjects and methods</b>	69
<b>Results</b>	73
<b>Discussion</b>	98
<b>Summary and Conclusion</b>	107
<b>References</b>	109
<b>Arabic summary</b>	

## List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
1	Distribution of patients according to age.	74
2	Symptomatology in the studied patients.	76
3	Clinical characteristics of ovarian masses in the studied patients.	78
4	Histopathological diagnosis among the study group.	82
5	Sonographic suggestion among the study group.	84
6	Color Doppler image of a benign ovarian mass.	86
7	Color Doppler image of a malignant ovarian mass.	87
8	Mean PI and RI according to the pathological nature.	89
9	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of different PI and RI cut off values.	91
10	Concordance between ultrasonographic results and histopathological diagnosis.	93
11	Concordance between Doppler results and histopathological diagnosis.	95
12	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of different diagnostic methods.	97

## List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
	<b>Tables of Review</b>	
1	Scoring system for evaluation of abnormal ovarian and extrauterine masses of unclear origin.	55
2	Scoring system for evaluation of adnexal masses.	56
	<b>Tables of results</b>	
1	Distribution of patients according to age.	73
2	Symptomatology in the studied patients.	75
3	Clinical characteristics of ovarian masses in the studied patients.	77
4	Histopathological diagnosis among the study group.	81
5	Sonographic suggestion among the study group.	83
6	Mean pulsatility index (PI) and resistance index (RI) according to the pathological nature.	88
7	Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy of different PI and RI cut off values.	90
8	Concordance between ultrasonographic results and histopathological diagnosis.	92
9	Concordance between Doppler results and histopathological diagnosis.	94
10	Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of different diagnostic methods.	96





## INTRODUCTION

Ovarian carcinoma is the leading cause of death among gynecologic malignancies and the fourth most common cause of death in women with malignant disease (*Loyer et al., 1999*).

Unfortunately, until now more than 70% of ovarian malignancies are stage 3 or more when first diagnosed. Consequently, the overall 5-year survival rate is poor. This medical problem calls for an effective, preferably non invasive and practical method for early diagnosis of ovarian malignancy in its early stage when the 5-year survival rate is over 80% (*Sny and Dubinsky, 1999*).

Gynecological examination in combination with ultrasound findings are the basic and widely available procedures of evaluating adenal masses (*Alcazar et al., 2003*). High frequency transvaginal sonography improves the ability to detect malignant ovarian tumors over that of the transabdominal route. The vaginal approach produces greater image resolution than the abdominal approach (*Weiner et al., 1992; Tepper et al., 1996*), thus allowing detailed morphologic assessment of ovarian masses.

An alternative approach has been introduced by serum Ca 125 measurements (*Marret et al., 2002*). The positive and negative predictive values of this marker for ovarian malignancy are generally low (*Kupesic et al., 2002*), so it is mainly used in the postoperative follow-up of patients undergoing chemotherapy.

Early detection of malignant tumours of the ovary is a major challenge to gynecologists because small tumours can escape bimanual examination. Transvaginal ultrasonography has been used as a reliable method to visualize ovarian masses. To distinguish benign from malignant ovarian masses, morphologic criteria such as irregularities of the inner wall structure and septa and mixed or high echogenicity have been suggestive as predictive signs of ovarian cancer. The overlap in ultrasonographic features of benign and malignant ovarian masses, however, has led to further research on complementary techniques (*Alcazar and Jurado, 1999*).

It has been known for over 25 years that angiogenesis is crucial for sustaining tumor growth, as it allows oxygenation and nutrient perfusion of the tumor as well as removal of waste products. Angiogenesis is a common phenomenon in malignant ovarian neoplasms (*Kurjak et al., 2000*).

Transvaginal Doppler waveform analysis provides high sensitivity and specificity and is superior to the other methods for preoperative evaluation of ovarian masses. It can accurately discriminate between malignant and non malignant ovarian tumors using a simple measurement of RI in the newly formed intratumoral blood vessels. Moreover, because early development of neovascularity may precede tumor growth, screening for ovarian malignancy with transvaginal Doppler waveform analysis may detect early ovarian neoplasms before sonography. In the last several years color-coded Doppler flow imaging became feasible and several recent studies have described the value of color flow Doppler imaging in conjunction with transvaginal ultrasonography in the preoperative evaluation of ovarian masses (*Guerriero et al., 2002*).



A pelvic mass can represent a number of different benign and malignant conditions. The traditional strategy for the establishment of a final diagnosis has been to perform an exploratory laparotomy, but insufficient primary surgery is a poor prognostic factor for ovarian cancer. Accurate preoperative prediction of malignancy is important for patient counseling, as well as for selecting the optimal operative approach (laparoscopy versus laparotomy), incision type, and operative procedure (*Lynda et al., 1997*).