



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
@ ASUNET



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of  
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل



617.534

**In Vitro Study of the Effect of  
Different Post and Core Systems on  
Shade and Fracture Resistance of All  
Ceramic Crowns**

2979

THESIS

*Submitted to The Faculty of Dentistry  
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Restorative Dentistry*

*By*

***Salema Mostafa Aown***

*B.D.S. 1994*

**Faculty of Dentistry  
Alexandria University  
2005**

# SUPERVISORS

## **Prof. Dr. Samir Bakry**

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Conservative Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

## **Prof. Dr. Essam M. Osman**

Professor of Dental Biomaterial

Biomaterial Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

## **Dr. Sanaa Hussein**

Assistant Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Conservative Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Alexandria University

# Acknowledgment

First of all, thanks to **GOD** for supporting me and guiding me throughout my life.



I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Samir I. Bakry**, Professor Conservative Dentistry Dept. Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for his interest, sound advice and guidance while conducting this thesis.




I am deeply indebted to **Prof. Dr. Essam M. Osman**, Professor of Dental Materials Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his immeasurable cooperation, assistance and support during the preparation and completion of this project.



I am deeply grateful to **Prof. Dr. Sanna Hussein**, Assistant Professor of Conservative dentistry department, faculty of dentistry, Alexandria University, for her academic supervision, guidance, constant encouragement and generosity in giving her advice. Her valuable remarks and precise views were of utmost help in the accomplishment of this work.

My thanks are also extended to **Prof. Dr. Yosereya Shalaby**, **Prof. Dr. Hussein Garana** and **Prof. Dr. Mostafa Abdel Mohsen**, for their valuable advices and encouragement. Their research experience and expertise helped to shape this study when it was yet an idea.



A decorative border with ornate, symmetrical scrollwork and leaf-like patterns framing the text.

*To my husband and to  
the members of my family  
for their time concern, love,  
devotion and support  
throughout the study time  
and to sole of my father*

# CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
I. <b>Introduction</b> .....	1
II. <b>Review of Literature</b> .....	5
- The reinforcement of the endodontically treated teeth .....	6
- Types of posts.....	8
- Custom cast post and core .....	12
- Ceramic posts.....	14
- The requirement of post.....	17
- The ferrule effect .....	18
- Core material .....	20
- Luting agent.....	24
- All ceramic crowns .....	26
- Esthetic consideration in restoring of the endodontically treated teeth .....	29
- Colore measurements .....	31
III. <b>Aim of the Work</b> .....	35
IV. <b>Material and Methods</b> .....	36
V. <b>Results</b> .....	80
VI. <b>Discussion</b> .....	103
VII. <b>Summary</b> .....	115
VIII. <b>Conclusion</b> .....	120
IX. <b>Recommendations</b> .....	121
IX. <b>References</b> .....	122
<b>Arabic Summary</b>	



## List of Figures

Figure	Page
(1) CosmoPost kit.	39
(2) Tetric ceram HB composite resin.	39
(3) Dura-Lay.	39
(4) Variolink II.	39
(5) Duceram.	39
(6) Vernier caliber.	42
(7) Working length.	42
(8) Apexit root canal sealer.	42
(9) Modified Bur.	47
(10) The split mold.	47
(11) Cosmopost and drills.	47
(12) The Static device.	47
(13) Tooth with composite core.	51
(13a) The prepared tooth.	51
(13b) Cosmopost try in.	51
(13c) After insertion of cosmopost.	51
(13d) Composite core building.	51
(14a) Die with stone base.	52
(14b) Die with thermoplastic sheet.	52
(15) Vac <sup>TM</sup> machine.	52
(16a) Acrylic pattern of the post.	54
(16b) Metal post and core.	54

Figure	Page
(16c) Acrylic pattern and metal post in their place.	54
(17) Induction casting machine.	54
(18) Sand blasting machine.	56
(19) Passhe air brush.	56
(20) Metal post and core with opaque porcelain in the furnace.	57
(21) Metal post and core with opaque porcelain.	57
(22) Periapical radiograph.	59
(22a) Cosmopost.	59
(22b) Cast metal post.	59
(23) Application of Ducera-lay spacer.	60
(24) Deguform.	60
(25a) Pouring of the deguform into the duplicating ring.	60
(25b) Ring filled with deguform.	60
(26) Ducera-lay superfit.	62
(27a) Mixing of refractory die material.	62
(27b) Pouring of the refractory die material.	62
(28) Insertion of the pin.	62
(29) Sintered die.	64
(30a) Dura-lay connector.	65
(30b) Sintered die.	65
(30c) Die with connector.	65
(31) Porcelain building.	69



Figure		Page
(32)	Firing of porcelain crowns.	69
(33)	Rubber mold.	69
(34a)	Specimen in acrylic mould.	72
(34b)	Cementation of the crown.	72
(35)	Specimens with cemented crowns.	72
(36a)	Thermocycling machine.	73
(36b)	Inside view of thermocycling machine.	73
(37)	Hunter lab Ultra Scan XE.	76
(38)	Crown embedded in the clay.	76
(39)	Colour co-ordinate.	76
(40a)	Vita shade guide.	76
(40b)	Shade guide A3 embedded in the clay.	76
(41)	Universal testing machine.	78
(42)	The specimen secured on universal testing machine during application of load.	78
(43a)	Fracture of the composite core with coronal portion of the post and dentin.	102
(43b)	Fracture of the root with dislodgment of metal post.	102
(43c)	Metal post separated from the canal.	102

## List of Tables

Table	Page
(1) The test groups.	44
(2) Color analysis for standard shade A3 (vita luminac).	80
(3) $\Delta E$ for group A (cosmopost with composite core).	81
(4) $\Delta E$ for group B (metal post and core with opaque porcelain).	82
(5) $\Delta E$ for group C (metal post and core without opaque porcelain).	83
(6) YI for Group A (cosmo post with composite core).	84
(7) YI for group B (metal post and core with opaque porcelain).	85
(8) YI for group C (metal post and core without opaque porcelain).	86
(9) Descriptive statistics for $\Delta E$ at incisal and cervical regions.	87
(10) Multiple comparisons between mean $\Delta E$ (at incisal region) post Hoc tests using Tukey HSD test.	88
(11) Multiple comparisons between mean $\Delta E$ (at cervical region) post Hoc test using Tukey HSD test.	89
(12) Descriptive statistics for YI at incisal and cervical regions.	90
(13) Multiple comparisons between mean YI (at incisal region) Post Hoc tests using Tukey HSD test.	91
(14) Multiple comparisons between mean YI (at cervical region) Post Hoc tests using Tukey HSD test.	92
(15) Fracture resistant of different groups in kg.	97
(16) Descriptive statistics for fracture resistance of test groups.	98
(17) Multiple comparisons between mean fracture resistance values of all the groups.	99



## List of Graphs

Graph		Page
(1)	Illustrates the amount of the color difference $\Delta E$ of the three groups at incisal region.	93
(2)	Illustrated the amount of color difference $\Delta E$ of the three groups at cervical region.	94
(3)	Illustrates, the amount of yellowness index of the three groups at incisal regions.	95
(4)	Illustrates the amount of yellowness index of the three groups at the cervical regions.	96
(5)	Illustrates the mean of fracture resistance of the three test groups.	100