# FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PREVALENCE OF AMBLYOPIA IN CHILDREN WITH ANISOMETROPIA

#### Thesis

# Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Ophthalmology

Presented by

**Ahmed Ibrahim Abd-El-Alim** (M.B., B.Ch.)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Tarek Mohammad M. Abd-Allah
Professor of Ophthalmology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Dr. Amany Abd El-Fattah El-Shazly**Lecturer of Ophthalmology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine** 

# العوامل المؤثرة في تفشى الكسل البصرى عند الأطفال مع تفاوت الإنكسار

رسالة

رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في طب وجراحة العين

مقدمة من الطبيب / أحمد إبراهيم عبدالعليم

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/طارق محمد محمود عبدالله أستاذ طب وجراحة العين كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة / أماني عبد الفتاح الشاذلي مدرس طب وجراحة العيون كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

> كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس 2011

#### Summary

Amblyopia is a common disorder of the visual system without any structural anomaly.

Anisometropic amblyopia is a difficult type of amblyopia to be diagnosed, since the sound eye overlaps the visual defect of the amblyopic eye.

This randomized study included 60 children from the age of 6-12 years including 36 males, and 24 females with anisometropia and no structural ocular abnormalities including strabismus, previous ocular surgery, ocular trauma, neurologic disorders which could influence visual acuity, or with BCVA of the sound eye <20/40. The patients were selected from the outpatient clinic of Memorial institute of ophthalmological research.

The patients were classified into 4 groups:

**Group I:** Spherical anisometropic group: in which the difference in the spherical equivalent between the eye was >2 D (30 patients). This group will be subdivided into:

*Myopic group*: spherical power difference was >2 D (15 patients).

Hypermetropic group: spherical power difference was >2 D (15 patients).

# Ain Shams University 2011

#### **List of Contents**

Title	Page
♦ Introduction	1
♦ Aim of the Work	3
♦ Review of Literature	
♣ Errors of Refraction	4
👃 Amblyopia	36
♣ Amblyopia Treatment	46
♦ Patients and methods	60
♦ Results	65
♦ Discussion	115
♦ Summary	123
♦ Recommendations	126
♦ References	127
♦ Arabic Summary	

#### **List of Tables**

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1)	Normal visual behavior of infants	18
Table (2)	Comparison between monocular & binocular fixation testing	
Table (3)	Gender in the different 4 studied groups	
Table (4)	Frequency and percent of males and females in the different 4 studied groups	
Table (5)	Comparison in the different 4 studied groups regarding gender	
Table (6)	Mean age in the different 4 studied groups	
Table (7)	Frequency and percent of age in the different 4 studied groups	
Table (8)	Comparison between age in the different 4 studied group	
Table (9)	Comparison between uncorrected and corrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups	!
Table (10)	T-test results of uncorrected and corrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups	:
Table (11)	Frequency of uncorrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups	

## List of Tables (Cont.)

Table No.	Title Page
Table (12)	Frequency of uncorrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups
Table (13)	Frequency of best corrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups
Table (14)	Frequency of best corrected visual acuity in the different 4 studied groups
Table (15)	Difference between amblyopic and non amblyopic BCVA of the group IA 88
Table (16)	Difference between amblyopic and non amblyopic BCVA of the group IB 88
Table (17)	Difference between amblyopic and non amblyopic BCVA of the group II89
Table (18)	Difference between amblyopic and non amblyopic BCVA of the group III 89
Table (19)	Comparison between amblyopic and non amblyopic BCVA of the different studied groups90
Table (20)	Comparison of refraction in the different 4 studied groups91
Table (21)	Frequency of refraction in the different 4 studied groups92
Table (22)	Frequency of refraction in the different 4 studied groups95

## List of Tables (Cont.)

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (23)	Comparison between the different 4 studied groups whether the patient is right or left handed	
Table (24)	Comparison between right handed and left handed patients in the prevalence of amblyopia	
Table (25)	Correlation between best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of right & left eye of the group IA and the other different parameters	
Table (26)	Correlation between best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of right & left eye of the group IB and the other different parameters	
Table (27)	Correlation between best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of right & left eye of the group II and the other different parameters	
Table (28)	Correlation between best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of right & left eye of the group III and the other different parameters	
Table (29)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to RT BCVA of group IA	•
Table (30)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Lt BCVA of group IA	•

## List of Tables (Cont.)

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (31)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Rt BCVA of group IB	•
Table (32)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Lt BCVA of group IB	•
Table (33)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Rt BCVA of group II	•
Table (34)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Lt BCVA of group II	•
Table (35)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Rt BCVA of group III	•
Table (36)	Regression summary for dependent variable in relation to Lt BCVA of group III	•
Table (37)	Amblyopia prevalence in the different studied groups	
Table (38)	Prevalence of amblyopia and non amblyopia in the different studied groups	
Table (39)	Prevalence of amblyopic and non amblyopic patients % in each group in relation to the total number amblyopia and non amblyopic patients	•

## **List of Figure**

Fig. No.	Title Pag	ge
Figure (1)	The cyclopean eye8	,
Figure (2)	The Horopter7	•
Figure (3)	The changes in lens shape and position with accommodation	0
Figure (4)	(A)Emmetropia; (B) myopia; (C) hyperopia; (D) astigmatism	5
Figure (5)	Teller acuity card2	2
Figure (6)	Cardiff cards2	3
Figure (7)	Spatial frequency paddles2	4
Figure (8)	Broken wheel cards2	5
Figure (9)	Lea symbol tests2	7
Figure (10)	HOTV Chart2	9
Figure (11)	Landolt C chart3	0
Figure (12)	Snellen's chart3	1
Figure (13)	Tumbling E chart3	2
Figure (14)	The Algorithm for treatment of Amblyopia in children5	4
Figure (15)	The gender in the group IA6	7
Figure (16)	The gender in the group IB6	7
Figure (17)	The gender in the group II6	8
Figure (18)	The gender in the group III6	8
Figure (19)	The age in the group IA7	0
Figure (20)	The age in the group IB7	0

#### List of Figure(Cont.)

Fig. No.	Title Page
Figure (21)	The gender in the group III71
Figure (22)	The gender in the group III71
Figure (23)	The comparison between ages in the different 4 studied groups
Figure (24)	The UCVA of Right eye – Group IA 77
Figure (25)	The UCVA of Right eye – Group IB 77
Figure (26)	The UCVA of Right eye – Group II78
Figure (27)	The UCVA of Right eye – Group III 78
Figure (28)	The UCVA of left eye – Group IA 80
Figure (29)	The UCVA of left eye – Group IB 80
Figure (30)	The UCVA of left eye – Group II 81
Figure (31)	The UCVA of left eye – Group III81
Figure (32)	The BCVA of right eye – Group IA 83
Figure (33)	The BCVA of right eye – Group IB 83
Figure (34)	The BCVA of right eye – Group II 84
Figure (34)	The BCVA of right eye – Group III 84
Figure (36)	The BCVA of left eye – Group IA 86
Figure (37)	The BCVA of left eye – Group IB86
Figure (38)	The BCVA of left eye – Group II 87
Figure (39)	The BCVA of left eye – Group III 87
Figure (40)	The refraction of right eye – Group IA93
Figure (41)	The refraction of right eye – Group IB93

#### List of Figure (Cont.)

Fig. No.	Title	Page
Figure (42)	The refraction of right eye – Group II	
Figure (43)	The refraction of right eye – Group III	
Figure (44)	The refraction of left eye – Group IA	
Figure (45)	The refraction of left eye – Group IB	
Figure (46)	The refraction of left eye – Group II	97
Figure (47)	The refraction of left eye – Group III	
Figure (48)	The comparison between the different 4 studied groups whether the patient is right or left handed	•
Figure (49)	The prevalence of amblyopia and non amblyopia in the different studied groups	
Figure (50)	The prevalence of amblyopia and non amblyopic patients in each group in relation to the total number of amblyopia and non amblyopic patients	

#### List of Abbreviations

BCVA..... Best Corrected Visual Acuity

**PL**.....Preferential Looking

TACs.....Teller Acuity Cards

UCVA..... Un-Corrected Visual Acuity

# Acknowledgement

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of many people.

I would like to thank Professor Dr. Tarek Mohammad Abd Allah, Professor of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable advices.

I wish to express my gratitude to Dr. Amany Abd El-Fattah El-Shazly, Lecturer of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for suggesting and supervising this work.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude for all my Consultants, Specialists, and Resident doctors in the Memorial Institute of Ophthalmology for their kind help and support and continuous encouragement in working in the field of Ophthalmology.

I would also like to convey my thanks to the members of my family for their continuous support, encouragement and understanding throughout the duration of my study.

Ahmed Ibrahim Abd-El-Alim



#### Introduction

Amblyopia is a disorder of the visual system that is characterized by a decrease in the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in an eye with no organic pathology (*Shimko*, 2001), anisometropia is a well-known cause of amblyopia (*Sjostrand J and Abrahamsson M*, 1990).

Unequal refractive error between the two eyes produces abnormal binocular interaction and/or visual deprivation. Patients with anisometropic amblyopia usually have no identifiable ocular defects and the visual acuity of the sound eye is normal, which makes it difficult to diagnose the symptoms, and thus early treatment is often delayed. Because improvement in visual acuity with amblyopia treatment depends on the age at which treatment begins, earlier detection of children with anisometropic amblyopia is desired (*Shaw et al.*, 1988).

According to *Weakley (1999)*, there is an increased risk of amblyopia with myopic anisometropia >2 diopters (D), hyperopic anisometropia >1 D, and astigmatic anisometropia >1.5 D.

Hussein et al. (2004), in a study of amblyopic children older than six years of age, found that the risk factors for anisometropic amblyopia treatment failure were age >6 years at the onset of treatment, the presence of astigmatism of >1.5 D in

Introduction and Pim of the Work  $\not$ 

the amblyopic eye, poor compliance with treatment, and initial visual acuity in the amblyopic eye of  $\leq 20/200$ .