

**IMPACT OF LONG – TERM MANAGEMENT
PRACTICES ON SOIL PROPERTIES
UNDER THE CONDITIONS
OF EGYPT**

By

OMNIA MOHAMED MOHAMED ABDOU WASSIF

B. Sc. Agri. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2003.

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2011.

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

Of

The Requirements For The Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

**Agricultural Sciences
(Soil Science)**

**Department of Soil Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2017

Approval Sheet

**IMPACT OF LONG – TERM MANAGEMENT
PRACTICES ON SOIL PROPERTIES
UNDER THE CONDITIONS
OF EGYPT**

By

OMNIA MOHAMED MOHAMED ABDOU WASSIF

B. Sc. Agri. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2003.

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2011.

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Soliman Mohammed Soliman
Prof. Emeritus of Soils, Atomic Energy Authority.

Dr. Eid Morsy Khaled
Prof. Emeritus of Soil Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University.

Dr. El-Tony Mohamed Ali El-Tony
Prof. Emeritus of Soil Physics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University.

Dr. Mohammed El-Sayed El-Nennah
Prof. Emeritus of Soil Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University.

Date of Examination: 12 /04 / 2017

IMPACT OF LONG – TERM MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON SOIL PROPERTIES UNDER THE CONDITIONS OF EGYPT

By

OMNIA MOHAMED MOHAMED ABDOU WASSIF

B. Sc. Agri. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2003.

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Soil Science), Benha University, 2011.

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Mohammed El-Sayed El-Nennah

Prof. of Soil Chemistry, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor).

Dr. El-Tony Mohamed Ali El-Tony

Prof. of Soil physics, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Alaa Eldin Ali Abdel Fattah

Researcher Prof. of Soils, Department of Soil Conservation
Department, Water and Desert Soils Resources Division, Desert
Research Center.

ABSTRACT

Omnia Mohamed Mohamed Abdou Wassif: Impact of Long – Term Management Practices on Soil Properties Under the Conditions of Egypt. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2017.

The objectives of the current study were to evaluate the changes of soil quality indices as a result of long term organic and conventional management systems and to assess quantitatively soil sustainability of such management system and selecting the most appropriate procedure for evaluating sustainability. El-Sharqia and El-Ismailia governorates were selected as study areas situated in arid climatic conditions in Egypt. Two types of indices i.e., sustainability index (SI) and cumulative rating approach (CR) were used to compare between conventional and organic farming systems concerning sustainability. The study included 12 farms at El-Sharqia governorate and 9 farms at El-Ismailia governorate were subjected to conventional and organic farming. The farms were varied in cultivation period and land use.

Multivariate statistical techniques were used to select minimum data set (MDS) for each soil depth for evaluating soil quality and sustainability. This MDS was used to assess soil sustainability by SI and CR methods. The results of principal component analysis (PCA) showed that within each PC, the variable with the highest factor loading was selected as the most important contributor to the PC for MDS.

The results obtained for comparison between two approaches for assessing sustainability status was carried out. The higher SI indicated soil quality improvement and higher CR indicated poor soil quality and the CR procedure indicated more efficiency than SI approach for assessing sustainable. Moreover, comparing between conventional and organic farming systems concerning sustainability status; the organic farming was more sustainability than conventional farms. The results

obtained in El-Sharqia and El-Ismailia farms were also represented by the relationship between biomass yield and MDS with one, two and three parameters models. Such models were used for predicting biomass yield and the best models was the three parameters model associated with high R^2 under both conditions. Moreover, there was inverse relationship between CR and biomass yield as a dependent variable and CR as independent variables in both farming systems of El-Sharqia and EL-Ismailia farms with one and two parameters models and it can also be used in the prediction of biomass yield.

Keywords: Long term farming system, Minimum data set (MDS), Soil quality, Soil quality indicators, Soil properties, Soil sustainability, Soil sustainability indicators.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authoress expresses her deepest gratitude, sincere thanks and appreciation to **Allah**, who bestows success and guides to the straight path. Then to the members of supervising committee, deep thanks are extended to **Prof .Dr. Mohamed Elsayed El-Nennah** Prof. of Soils, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University, for his supervision sincere gratitude, encouragement and valuable advice during the course of this thesis. The authoress also expresses her gratitude to **Prof .Dr. El-Tony. Mohamed Ali El-Tony** Prof. of Soils, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams University, in the same Dept. for his supervising and the interest providing valuable guidance the course of this study.

Thanks, great appreciation and gratitude are expressed to **Prof. Dr. Alaa Abdel Fatah Ali**, Prof. of Soils, Desert Research Center (DRC), for his supervision, fruitful cooperation, and generous assistance during the course of this study.

The authoress also wishes to express her thanks to the spirit of the late for **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Yousef Afifi**, Prof. of Soils, Desert Research Center (DRC), who proposed, supervision and valuable advice all through to get this thesis for his special thanks and God bless his soul.

A special appreciate for **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mohamed Abdou Wassif**, Prof. of Soils, Desert Research Center (DRC), for his continuous encouragement.

Effort and continuance encouragement and thanks are also due to **staff members** in soil conservation department of Desert Research Center (DRC) for their fruitful cooperation.

Finally, The authoress wish to express her deepest appreciation to her mum, her husband, her kids and all members of her family for continuous support, help and credible encouragement for making this work possible.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	III
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XII
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Long term farming systems	3
2.1.1. Conventional farming systems	5
2.1.2. Organic farming systems	7
2.2. The concept of sustainable agriculture.....	11
2.2.1. Sustainable management systems	12
2.2.2. Sustainable agriculture indicators	16
2.2.3. Assessment of sustainable agriculture	19
2.2.4. Challenges of sustainable agriculture in Egypt	23
2.3. The concept of soil quality.....	25
2.3.1. Soil quality indicators.....	26
2.3.2. Soil quality assessment tool for evaluating sustainable management.....	30
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	34
3.1. Study areas.....	34
3.1.1. El-Sharqia governorate.....	34
3.1.2. El-Ismailia governorate.....	35
3.2. Soil sampling and methods of analysis.....	35
3.2.1. Physical properties.....	40
3.2.2. Chemical properties.....	41
3.2.3. Fertility status.....	41
3.3. Biomass yield measurement.....	41
3.4. Statistical analysis.....	42
3.5. Assessment of soil sustainability status.....	43
3.5.1. Sustainability index (SI).....	43
3.5.2. Cumulative ratings index (CR).....	43

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	45
4.1. Physical properties of the studied soil.....	45
4.1.1. Particle size distribution.....	45
4.1.2. Carbonate content.....	46
4.1.3. Available soil moisture.....	46
4.1.4. Soil bulk density and porosity.....	49
4.1.5. Soil erodibility (I) and coarse fragment fraction (CFF)...	50
4.2. Chemical properties of the studied soils.....	50
4.2.1. Electrical conductivity (ECe) and soluble ions and sodium adsorption ratio(SAR).....	51
4.2.2. Soil pH.....	55
4.2.3. Cation exchange capacity, (CEC).....	56
4.3. Soil fertility status of the studied farms.....	56
4.4. Some basic chemical properties of irrigation water of the studied farms.....	59
4.5. Grouping of soil properties.....	61
4.6. Soil quality assessment.....	63
4.7. Soil sustainability status.....	85
4.7.1. Sustainable index (SI).....	85
4.7.2. Cumulative rate (CR).....	88
4.8. The relationship between MDS and biomass yield.....	106
4.9. The relationship between CR and biomass yield.....	116
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	120
REFERENCES.....	129
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 The location and description of the selected farms at El-Sharqia governorate	37
2 The location and description of the selected farms at El-Ismailia governorate	39
3 Some basic soil physical properties of the studied farms at El-Sharqia Governorate.	47
4 Some basic soil physical properties of the studied farms at El-Ismailia Governorate	48
5 Some basic soil chemical properties of the studied farms at El-Sharqia Governorate	53
6 Some basic soil chemical properties of the studied farms at El-Ismailia Governorate	54
7 Soil fertility status of the studied farms at El-Sharqia Governorate	58
8 Soil fertility status of the studied farms at El-Ismailia Governorate	58
9 Some basic chemical properties of irrigation water used in the studied farms at El-Sharqia Governorate	60
10 Some basic chemical properties of irrigation water used in the studied farms at El-Ismailia Governorate	60
11.a The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (0-20 cm) depth at El-Sharqia governorate.	62
11.b The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (20-40 cm) depth at El-Sharqia governorate.	64
11.c The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (40-60 cm) depth at El-Sharqia governorate.	65

Table	Page
12.a The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (0-20 cm) depth at El-Ismailia governorate	66
12.b The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (20-40 cm) depth at El-Ismailia governorate	67
12.c The Pearson correlation coefficient among soil physical and chemical properties in (40-60 cm) depth at El-Ismailia governorate	67
13.a The retained principle components (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of all farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	68
13.b The retained principle components (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of all farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	69
14.a The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of conventional farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	70
14.b The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of conventional farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	71
15.a The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of organic farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	72
15.b The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of organic farms at El-Sharqia governorate.	73
16.a The retained principle components (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of all farms of El - Ismailia Governorate.	75

Table	Page
16.b The retained principle components (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of all farms of El - Ismailia Governorate.	76
17.a The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of conventional farms of El-Ismailia Governorate	80
17.b The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of conventional farms of El - Ismailia Governorate.	81
18.a The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from eighteen soil physical and chemical properties of organic farms of El-Ismailia Governorate.	82
18.b The retained principle component (PCs) obtained from twelve soil physical and chemical properties of organic farms of El-Ismailia Governorate.	83
19 Relative weighting factors (RWF) based on the threshold values of soil quality indicators using the cumulative rating (CR)	89
20 Soil sustainability classification based on cumulative rating (CR) approach according to ten soil indicators and five soil indicators.	89
21 Simple and multiple regression analysis for measured yield (Ry%) as the dependent variable and selected soil properties as minimum data set (MDS) as independent variables at El-Sharqia governorate	107
22 Simple and multiple regression analysis for measured yield (Ry%) as the dependent variable and selected soil properties as minimum data set (MDS) as independent variables at El-Ismalia governorate	111

Table		Page
23	Simple and multiple regression analysis for measured yield (Ry%) as the dependent variable and selected soil properties as cumulative rating (CR) as independent variables at El-Sharqia governorate	116
24	Simple and multiple regression analysis for measured yield (Ry%) as the dependent variable and selected soil properties as cumulative rate (CR) as independent variables at El-Ismailia governorate	118

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1	Geographical location of the study area at El-Sharqia governorate	36
2	Geographical location of the study area at El-Ismailia governorate	38
3	Soil sustainability based on sustainable index (SI) approach calculated based on means of soil quality indicators to form minimum data set (MDS) for conventional farms (CF) and organic farms (OF) in depths (0-20), (20-40), (40-60) in each farm at El-Sharqia governorate.	86
4	Soil sustainability based on sustainable index (SI) approach calculated based on means of soil quality indicators to form minimum data set (MDS) for conventional farms (CF) and organic farms (OF) in depths (0-20), (20-40), (40-60) in each farm at El-Ismailia governorate.	87
5	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as total data set (TDS) selected from studied soil samples for conventional farms in depths (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 cm) at El-Sharqia governorate	90
6	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as total data set (TDS) selected from studied soil samples for organic farms in depths (0-20 , 20-40 & 40-60) at El-Sharqia governorate	90

No.		Page
7	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as total data set (TDS) selected from studied soil samples for conventional farms in depths (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 cm) at El-Ismailia governorate.	92
8	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as total data set (TDS) selected from studied soil samples for organic farms in depths (0-20 , 20-40 & 40-60) at El-Ismailia governorate.	92
9	Soil sustainability based on cumulative rating (CR) approach calculated based on total data set (TDS) and minimum data set (MDS) for all farms in depths (0-20,20-40 &40-60) in El-Sharqia governorate	95
10	Relationship between Cumulative Rating CR calculated based on (TDS) and Cumulative Rating CR calculated based on (MDS) selected from studied soil samples at Elsharqia governorate.	96
11	Soil sustainability based on cumulative rating (CR) approach calculated based on total data set (TDS) and minimum data set (MDS) for all farms in depths (0-20, 20-40 &40-60) at El-Ismailia governorate.	97
12	Relationship between Cumulative Rating CR calculated based on (TDS) and Cumulative Rating CR calculated based on (MDS) selected from studied soil samples at El-Ismailia governorate.	98

No.		Page
13	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for conventional farm in depths (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 cm) at El-Sharqia governorate	100
14	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for organic farms in depths (0-20 , 20-40 & 40-60) at El-Sharqia governorate	100
15	Soil quality indicators calculated by cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for conventional farms at El-Sharqia governorate in depths (0-20 , 20-40 & 40-60)	101
16	Soil quality indicators calculated by cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for organic farm at El-Sharqia governorate in depths (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 cm)	101
17	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for conventional farms in depths (0-20, 20-40, 40-60 cm) at El-Ismailia governorate.	102
18	Soil quality indicators calculated by relative weighting factors (RWF) in Cumulative rating (CR) approach as minimum data set (MDS) selected from studied soil samples for organic farms in depths (0-20 , 20-40 & 40-60) at El-Ismailia governorate.	102