

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Science

Geology Department



Mineralogical Studies and Mineral Chemistry of some Radioactive Mineralizations in Gabal Gattar Area, Northern Eastern Desert, Egypt

A THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science in Geology**

BY

NASSER MOURAD MAHDY
B.Sc. (Geology and Chemistry)

Supervised by

Dr. Ali Farrag Osman

Assoc. Professor of Geology
Geology Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Hani Shalaby

Professor of Geology
and Nuclear Sciences
Nuclear Materials Authority
Cairo, Egypt

Prof. Dr. Hassan Mohammed Helmy

Professor of Mineralogy
Geology Department
Faculty of Science
El Minia University

To

**Geology Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
2011**



دراسات معدنية و كيمياء المعادن لبعض التمعدنات المشعة بمنطقة جبل جتار ، شمال الصحراء الشرقية ، مصر

رسالة مقدمة
لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول على
درجة الماجستير في العلوم (جيولوجيا)

مقدمة من
ناصر مراد مهدي
بكالوريوس العلوم (جيولوجيا و كيمياء)

تحت إشراف
د/ على فراج عثمان
أستاذ مساعد علم الجيولوجيا- قسم الجيولوجيا
كلية العلوم- جامعة عين شمس

أ.د/ حسن محمد حلمي
أستاذ علم المعادن- قسم الجيولوجيا
كلية العلوم- جامعة المنيا

أ.د/ محمود هاني شلبي
أستاذ الجيولوجيا و العلوم النووية
هيئة المواد النووية

إلى
قسم الجيولوجيا
كلية العلوم
جامعة عين شمس
٢٠١١م

Acknowledgment

I wish to express my gratitude to Dr. Ali F. Osman, Assoc. Professor of geology, Geology Depart., Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision and critical comments of the manuscript.

My deepest gratitude to Prof. Mahmoud H. Shalaby, Professor of geology and nuclear sciences, Nuclear Materials Authority, for proposing the present point of research, planning the work, valuable advices, and reviewing the manuscript.

Special thanks to Prof. Hassan M. Helmy, Professor of mineralogy, Geology Depart., Faculty of Science, El Minia University, for his kind supervision, fruitful discussions and critical comments of the manuscript.

I'm very grateful to Dr. Ehab Korany, Assoc. Professor of petrology, Nuclear Materials Authority, and Dr. El Sayed El Sawey, Assoc. Professor of mineralogy, Nuclear Materials Authority for their continuous encouragements and advices.

I'm also delighted to express my deep gratitude and thanks to all my friends especially (Ass. Lect. Hany Hassan) and my family, without their help, this work couldn't be completed.

Nasser Mourad

ABSTRACT

Gabal Gattar area is bounded by latitudes 26° 52' and 27° 08' N and longitudes 33° 13' and 33° 26' E. It is located to the west of Hurghada town by about 35 kms. All the radioactive occurrences located in its northern parts.

The G. Gattar area is covered by younger granites, metavolcanics, Hammamat sedimentary rocks and older granites. Younger granite is classified into three phases namely, G1, G2 and G3 from older to younger. Two types of structurally controlled ore are known in Gattar area; the uranium-fluorite and molybdenum-bismuth-silver ores. Only information relevant to the distribution and localization of the uranium-fluorite mineralizations are presented here.

The uranium-fluorite (U-F) mineralizations are confined to the margin of the nearly circular-shaped G3 phase where they are controlled by two conjugate fault systems represented by sinistral NNE-SSW faults and the dextral NW-SE faults. Along the faults and sheared planes, the granite is strongly brecciated and fractured. Its colors changes from pink or slightly red to dark or reddish brown.

On a small scale, the U-F mineralizations form centimeter-size steaks, spots and small veinlets in highly jointed granite. In vicinity of these U-F forms, the host granite is strongly affected by hematitization, episyenitization, kaolinitization, fluoritization, and silisification. The size and frequency of uranium-fluorite spots and veinlets correlate positively with the intensity of alteration.

Petrographically, the unmineralized fresh Gattar granite is coarse to medium grained. It exhibits hypidiomorphic equigranular texture, porphyritic and poikilitic textures are also common. Essential minerals comprise K-feldspars (perthites and antiperthites), quartz, plagioclase and biotite. Muscovite, fluorite, calcite and iron oxides (opaque minerals) are minor phases, while zircon and monazite are accessory minerals. Some varieties are mainly composed of perthite and quartz with rare or no plagioclase and ferromagnesian minerals.

In the altered mineralized granite, K-feldspar is highly sericitized and kaolinitized. Due to deformation, quartz grains may show marginal granulation (cataclases) resulting in a reduction in grain size. Plagioclases occur as scarce interstitial grains. Biotite is very rare or absent. Muscovite occurs as euhedral crystals with iron oxides filling the cleavages planes which may suggest its secondary origin after biotite. Phlogopite is found only in the altered mineralized granite and it is present as elongated or bird eye crystals occupying the interstitial spaces between the other essential minerals. Traces of fluorite grains are interstitial to silicates where their faces are controlled by the surrounding minerals. Zircon is commonly zoned and contains appreciable amounts of uranium. Fluorite occurs in three textural positions: a) as veinlets intersecting between the perthite – quartz groundmass, b) small irregular crystals along the cracks in feldspars, and c) filling cracks and cavities in the host granites. In all these texture, fluorite is commonly unzoned and associated with uranium- and uranium-bearing minerals and iron oxides.

Four phases of uranium minerals were identified by SEM technique, XRD and electron microprobe analyses (EMPA); pitchblende, beta-uranophane, uranophane and kasolite. The U-minerals form large masses, yellow in color, and form a groundmass hosting fluorite and other minerals.

The mineralogical studies and the mineral chemistry revealed that circulation of hydrothermal solutions or fluids produces physico-chemical changes in Gattar granite through which they circulate. This is what is commonly referred to as hydrothermal alteration. These fluids set off chemical reactions, which tend to approach equilibrium through processes of dissolution and precipitation of new mineral assemblages.

The geochemistry (major, trace and rare-earth elements) of fluorite from Gabal Gattar area pointed out that Y/Y^* , Ce/Ce^* and Eu/Eu^* relation patterns show that fluorite clearly records the compositional evolution of the hydrothermal solutions that transported the trace (including U) and REE from the host granite during the fluid-wall rocks interaction in weakly oxidized or reduced conditions.

The above studies and radioactive analyses of Gabal Gattar granite clarified that Gattar granite is rich in uranium because it includes U content more than twice that of the Clark value, accessory mineral bearing uranium such as zircon, uranium minerals and deposition of ore in veins and lenses along shear zones. Consequently, uranium and hydrothermal solutions could not have originated directly from a granite magma differentiation. The source of the uranium must have derived from the consolidated granite itself. The granite acted as a uranium reservoir and uranium underwent double preconcentration with subsequent mobilization. The first preconcentration of uranium took place during the crystallization of basement rocks with the uranium content increasing, the second during multistage magmatic reworking and differentiation, the uranium content increasing. Then the uranium-rich granite underwent tectono-hydrothermal processes. These alteration processes are mostly responsible for uranium concentration and its redistribution. The mineralizing solutions may be uranium bearing of hypogene and supergene origin fluids which percolate on the granites and leach of uranium. Then, mobilization of uranium within the granite, and deposition of uranium and fluorite mineralizations along the fractures where the planes of these structures provided easy channels for the passage of uranium-bearing solutions and suitable structural trap for uranium accumulations.

CONTENTS

Abstract	I
List of Figures	V
List of Tables	XI
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1. General statement	1
1.2. Location, accessibility and climate	1
1.3. Synopsis on the basement rocks of Egypt	5
1.4. Previous work on the study area.	13
1.5. Aim and scope of the present work	21
1.6. Methodology	22
Chapter 2 Geological Setting	25
2.1. Field relations	25
2.2. Host rock alteration	36
2.3. Structural framework of the uranium occurrences	42
Chapter 3 Petrography and Mineralogy	46
3.1. Petrographical studies	46
3.1.1. Classification and modal analyses	46
3.1.2. Unmineralized fresh granites	48
3.1.3. Altered mineralized granites	54
3.1.4. Uranium and Fluorite mineralizations	59
3.2. Mineralogical investigations	74
Chapter 4 Mineral Chemistry	85
4.1. Feldspars	85
4.2. Mica	90
4.3. Zircon	97
4.4. Apatite	100
4.5. Chlorite and illite minerals	101
4.6. Fe-Ti oxides minerals	103
4.7. Uranium minerals	104
Chapter 5 Fluorite associated with Uranium Mineralizations	106
5.1. Introduction	106
5.2. Mode of occurrence of fluorite	107
5.3. Geochemical characteristic of fluorite	108
5.4. Discussion and Conclusion	112

Chapter 6 Radioactivity	119
6.1. Introduction	119
6.2. Field gamma-ray radiometric survey	119
6.3. Radioelement Concentrations	121
6.4. Uranium and Thorium distributions in the altered mineralized granite	121
6.5. Discussion	140
Chapter 7 Summary and Genetic model	145
References.....	153
Arabic Summary.....	

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure 1.1: Location map of Gabal Gattar Area.....	3
Figure 1.2: Landsat image of Gabal Gattar Area.....	4
Figure 2.1: Geological map of Gabal Gattar granite modified after Abu Zied (1995).....	26
Figure 2.2: Panorama view showing sharp contact between Gabal Gattar granite and the Hammamat sedimentary rocks between them.....	29
Figure 2.3: Photograph showing, (a) Gabal Gattar granite intruded the Hammamat sedimentary rock with sharp contact, looking E. (b) Close up view showing smooth rounded xenoliths of the Hammamat in the granite, GV occurrence. (c) Close up view showing the sharp contact between Hammamat and granite. Note the desilification of the granite at the contact, GV occurrence. (d) Cavernous and bouldery weathering in the Gabal Gattar granite.....	31
Figure 2.4: Photograph showing, (a) Quartz veins sharply cut the pink granite, GII uranium occurrence. (b) Vugs filled with iron oxides especially hematite and limonite associated with uranium mineralizations, GII occurrence. (c) Vugs filled with limonite and kaolinite associated with the uranium mineralizations, GII occurrence. (d) Veins of fluorite and quartz, GVII occurrence. (e) Basalt dike cuts granite forming erosional narrow deep trenches and contains xenoliths of the granite, GI occurrence, looking N. (f) Large basaltic dike of tens of meters width cuts the granite, GI occurrence.....	35
Figure 2.5: Photograph showing, (a) Strongly altered mineralized granite showing uranium mineralizations associated with hematite and kaolinite, GVI occurrence. (b) Strongly altered mineralized granite showing uranium mineralizations associated with hematite, kaolinite and Mn oxides at surface uraniferous sheared zone, GI occurrence. (c) Hematite stained the surface of joint in the granite, GII occurrence. (d) Iron oxides especially hematite and limonite associated with kaolinite and stained the granite, GVI occurrence. (e) Vugs in the altered granite filled with Mn oxides, iron oxides and dark fluorite associated with uranium mineralization, GII occurrence. (f) Limonite stained the surface of joint in the granite, GII occurrence.....	37
Figure 2.6: Photograph showing, (a) Steak of secondary uranium minerals on highly jointed and strongly hematitized granite, GII occurrence. (b) Spots of secondary uranium mineralization on highly jointed, strongly hematitized granite, GII occurrence. (c) Close up view showing veins of dark violet fluorites associated with uranium mineralization in hematitized and kaolinitized granite, GII occurrence. (d) Close up view showing	39

strongly silicified granite, GII occurrence.....	
Figure 2.7: Photograph showing, (a) Episyenitized granite left vugs after the dissolution of quartz filled with iron oxides, GV occurrence. (b) Close up view showing uranium mineralizations associated with hematite on bleached Hammamat sedimentary rocks at open pit, GV occurrence. (c) Close up view showing Mn oxides associated with iron oxides on sheared granite, GVI occurrence.....	41
Figure 3.1: Ternary diagram of modal quartz (Q), alkali feldspar (A), and plagioclase (P) for the studied granite (diagram after Streckeisen, 1976).....	47
Figure 3.2: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Subhedral crystal of string perthite corroded by quartz, C.N. (b) Flame perthite surrounded by quartz, C.N. (c) Feather perthite, partially silicification on its rims, C.N. (d) Flame antiperthite, C.N. (e) Patchy perthite surrounded by plagioclase and quartz. Note: the crystals of quartz are cracked and with irregular boundaries, C.N. (f) Subhedral crystal of plagioclase showing albitic twining, C.N. (g) Reaction rims between the perthite and plagioclase occupied by fine crystals of albite, C.N. (h) Biotite associated with needles of iron oxides (ilmenite), C.N.....	49
Figure 3.3: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Biotite excluding iron oxides along cleavages planes, associated and corroded by rounded quartz, C.N. (b) Fan-shape muscovite bounded by quartz and perthite, C.N. (c) Flakes of muscovite surrounded by perthite and secondary silica, C.N. (d) Fluorite includes metamict zircon, the fluorite is colorless, C.N. (e) Violet fluorite associated with quartz, P.L. (f) Irregular crystal of violet fluorite rimmed by opaques (magnetite and hematite), P.L. (g) Irregular crystal of violet fluorite rimmed by opaques (magnetite and hematite) under reflected light. (h) Carbonates in interstitial spaces between perthite and quartz, C.N.....	51
Figure 3.4: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Zoned zircon included in perthites stained with iron oxides, C.N. (b) Bipyramidal crystal of zoned zircon associated with iron oxides included in perthites and partially metamict, C.N. (c) Euhedral crystal of monazite enveloped by glowing zone of the surrounding mineral and included in plagioclase, C.N. (d) Prismatic crystal of xenotime, C.N. (e) Crystal of opaques (magnetite) included in perthite, C.N. (f) Crystal of opaques (magnetite) included in perthite under reflected line.....	53
Figure 3.5: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Feldspars altered to kaolinite, carbonates and secondary silica, C.N. (b) Potash feldspars completely altered to sericite and associated with iron oxides, C.N. (c) Feather perthite transformed into silica and sericite on its rims (silicification and sericitization), C.N. (d) Pseudomorph feldspar completely transformed to quartz and flakes of fine grained secondary muscovite i.e. intensive silicification and muscovitazation, C.N. (e) Fine-grained amorphous silica	

corroded the cracked perthites, C.N. (f) Amorphous silica corroded large crystalline quartz, C.N. (g) Nodules of hematitization completely corrodes the plagioclase to the degree that the latter (plagioclase and quartz) becomes residual phase, C.N. (h) Plagioclase altered to sericite along its cracks, C.N.....	56
Figure 3.6: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Carbonates corrode the plagioclase along its cracks and associated with iron oxides, C.N. (b) Fine-grained amorphous silica replacing plagioclase (intensive silicification), C.N. (c) Biotite altered to muscovite with releasing of iron oxides, C.N. (d) Euhedral crystal of secondary muscovite corrodes the perthites and quartz with iron oxides along its cleavages planes, C.N. (e) Subhedral crystal of secondary muscovite stained with iron oxides and corrodes quartz, C.N. (f) Elongated crystal of phlogopite corrodes the perthites and quartz, carrying spots of iron oxides on its rims, C.N. (g) Bird eye Phlogopite with iron oxides along the cleavage planes surrounded by feldspar and plagioclase, C.N. (h) Cracked zoned zircon crystal included in a ground of fluorite at the contact with the fluorite and the other constituents, C.N.....	58
Figure 3.7: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Prismatic crystal of metamict zircon included in quartz at the contact with perthites, C.N. (b) Cluster of normal and metamict zircon crystals associated with silica, C.N. (c) Magnetite altered to hematite on its rims under reflected light. (d) Aggregates of octahedral crystal of magnetite under reflected light.....	60
Figure 3.8: Photomicrograph showing, (a) General texture features of Uranium (mostly uranophane)-Fluorite mineralizations associated with iron oxides, P.L. (b) Uranophane filling the interstitial spaces between perthite and plagioclase and associated with iron oxides, C.N. (c) Uranophane associated with iron oxides that change its color corroded the quartz, C.N. (d) Uranophane filling the cracks in perthites, P.L. (e) Amorphous and crystalline uranophane corrodes the plagioclase and associated with secondary minute flakes muscovite, C.N.....	67
Figure 3.9: Photomicrograph showing, (a) General texture features of Uranium (mostly beta-uranophane)-Fluorite mineralizations associated with iron oxides, P.L, Note: color change of fluorite from violet to dark violet at its contact with uranium. (b) Greenish yellow beta-uranophane formed as rosette shape or fan-shaped associated with iron oxides, C.N. (c) Beta-uranophane corrodes the perthites and associated with iron oxides, C.N.....	68
Figure 3.10: Photomicrograph showing texture features of uranium-Fluorite mineralizations: (a) Veinlet of fluorite (Fl) between perthite (Pr) and quartz (Qr), P.L. (b) Anhedral cracked deep violet fluorite (Fl) stained with iron oxides corroding the quartz (Qr). (c) Fluorite (Fl) inside the antiperthite (Apr) associated with iron oxides, P.L. (d) Euhedral crystal of colorless (CFl) and deep violet fluorite (VFl) between perthite and quartz	

staining with iron oxides, P.L. (e) Yellow colored uranium mineral (Ur) associated with fluorite (Fl) and iron oxides (Ir), the three minerals intergrow with each other, P.L, Note: change in the color of fluorite at its contact with uranium, P.L. (f) Yellow colored uranium mineral contains inclusion of violet fluorite (Fl) and associated with iron oxide. (g) Changes in the color of fluorite from colorless to violet at the contact with the radioactive minerals, P.L. (h) Colorless fluorite (CFl) encloses nuclei of radioactive mineral (Rm) that changes color of fluorite from colorless to violet, P.L.....	70
Figure 3.11: Photomicrograph showing, (a) Uranophane stained with iron oxides and corroded the perthite, C.N. (b) Uranophane adsorbed by iron oxides, C.N. (c) Partially metamict zircon surrounded by pleochroic halos and associated with iron oxides, C.N. (d) Pleochroic halos surrounding zoned zircon in perthite, C.N. (e) Beta-uranophane mineral staining with iron oxides, and enveloped by glowing zone and secondary amorphous silica, C.N. (f) Amorphous uranophane staining with iron oxides enveloped by glowing zone and secondary amorphous silica, C.N.....	73
Figure 3.12: (a) Back scattered electron image (BSE) and spectrum of pitchblende with much brighter color. (b) BSE and spectrum of leave's tree shaped secondary uranium minerals having low bright. (c) BSE and spectrum of fibrous fan-shaped secondary uranium minerals having low bright. (d) BSE and spectrum of solid solutions having moderate bright color.....	75
Figure 3.13: (a) General view of separated grains of pitchblende under binocular microscope. (b) BSE and spectrum of separated grain of pitchblende. (c)General view of separated grains and X-ray diffractogram of uranophane. (d) General view of separated grains and X-ray diffractogram of beta-uranophane. (e) General view of separated grains and X-ray diffractogram of fluorite.....	77
Figure 3.14: (a) BSE and spectrum of the calcium uranium silicate minerals that altered to lead uranium silicate minerals (kasolite) on its rims. (b) BSE and spectrum the calcium uranium silicate minerals of the calcium uranium silicate minerals that turn into autunite-kasolite solid solutions.....	79
Figure 3.15: (a) BSE and spectrum of U-poor zircon that has much bright color. (b) BSE and spectrum of U-rich zircon that has much brighter altered zone on its broken rims.....	81
Figure 3.16: (a) BSE and spectrum of fluorite associated with uranium mineralizations (white bright mineral). (b) BSE and spectrum of pure iron oxides. (c) BSE and spectrum of iron uraniferous mineral. (d) BSE and spectrum of attacamite. (e) General view of separated grains of attacamite under binocular microscope.....	84
Figure 4.1: Backscattered electron microprobe (BSE) image of secondary	

albite veinlets in a perthite-quartz groundmass, Gattar granite(after Helmy, 1999).....	86
Figure 4.2: Classification of feldspars and isotherms of temperatures for feldspars of Gattar granite based on the experimental study of Fuhrman and Lindsley (1988)	89
Figure 4.3: Isotherms of feldspars and solution models of Elkins and Grove (1990) for feldspar of Gattar granite.....	89
Figure 4.4: $\text{FeO}^* \%$ vs $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \%$ for muscovite of Gattar granite. Outlined fields are for metamorphic muscovite, from Miyashiro (1973) Chl-Bio= chlorite-biotite zone; Alm= almandine zone; St-Sill= staurolite-sillimanite zone. P and S are primary and secondary muscovite, respectively, from Miller, et al. (1981).....	93
Figure 4.5: Mg-Ti-Na plot of muscovites of Gattar granite. Dashed line, sketched from data in Miller, et al. (1981), separates fields of primary (P) from secondary (S) muscovite.....	94
Figure 4.6: TiO_2 - FeO^* - MgO diagram (Speer, 1984) for Muscovite in Gattar granite, I: represents primary muscovite, II: represents late-to post-magmatic muscovite, III: represents hydrothermal muscovite.....	94
Figure 4.7: Al ($\text{Al}+\text{Fe}^{3+}-2\text{Ti}$) -M2+ ($\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Mg}+\text{Mn}+\text{Ti}$) - Si ($\text{Si}+2\text{Ti}$) diagram (Monier and Robert, 1986) showing compositional variation of Gattar micas. Mica compositions are assuming $\text{Fe}^{3+}=10$ mole% total Fe. Mu=muscovite end member, Ph=phengite, Cel= celadonite, Phl, Ann=phlogopite and annite end member, Sid=siderophyllite, East=eastonite, Cor=corundum, Als=aluminosilicate, San=sandine, Qz=quartz, x=phengitic substitution, y = biotitic substitution.....	95
Figure 4.8: Compositional variations in muscovite by plotting Na, Mg and Al against Si, respectively.....	96
Figure 4.9: a) backscattered electron microprobe image of zoned zircon crystal (type-1) showing high uranium along cracks crossing the zoned zircon crystal, b-d) X-rays distribution maps of the same zoned zircon crystal (in a) showing the distribution of Zr (b), Si (c) and U (d). Note that the area depleted in Zr and Si (in b and c respectively) is enriched in U (data after Helmy, 1999).....	98
Figure 5.1: Backscattered electron microprobe image of anhedral fluorite (younger generation) includes REE minerals (after Helmy, 1999).....	109
Figure 5.2: (a) Chondrite-normalized patterns of anhedral unzoned fluorite. (b) Chondrite-normalized patterns of well developed zoned fluorite. (c) Chondrite-normalized pattern of the host Gattar granite. (d) Binary variation diagram of Y vs Ho of the studied fluorite.....	116
Figure 5.3: Schematic Tb/Ca - Tb/La diagram with pegmatic, hydrothermal and sedimentary fields (diagram after Möller et al. 1976).....	117

Figure 6.1: Surface and subsurface uranium mineralizations of GI uranium occurrence.....	123
Figure 6.2: Subsurface geological map of old molybdenum mine with uranium mineralization, Gabal Gattar area.....	125
Figure 6.3: Geological map of GII open pit, GII uranium occurrence.....	128
Figure 6.4: Subsurface structural and alteration map of western tunnel, GII uranium occurrence.....	129
Figure 6.5: Subsurface structural and alteration map of Eastern tunnel, GII uranium occurrence.....	130
Figure 6.6: Structural map of G-V open pit, G-V uranium occurrence.....	133
Figure 6.7: Geological and alteration map of underground tunnel, GV uranium occurrence.....	134
Figure 6.8: Structural and alteration map of GVI uranium occurrence.....	136
Figure 6.9: Geological and alteration map of GIII uranium occurrence.....	139
Figure 7.1: Genetic model diagram of the U-F mineralizations in Gabal Gattar granite.....	152

LIST OF TABLES	Page
Table 1.1: Classifications of the Egyptian basement complex based on geosynclinal concept.....	7
Table 1.2: Classifications of the Egyptian basement complex based on the plate tectonic concept.....	8
Table 1.3: The different tectonic classifications of the Egyptian Older and Younger Granitoid Rocks.....	9
Table 3.1: Modal analysis of the studied granites of Gabal Gattar.....	47
Table 3.2: The known uranium minerals (primary and secondary) taking from the international data base in the order of Alphabetical name.....	61-65
Table 3.3: The known uranium minerals from the Gattar Area.....	65
Table 4.1: Microprobe analyses of alkali-feldspars from Gattar granite...	87
Table 4.2: Microprobe analyses of alkali-feldspars from Gattar granite (data after Helmy, 1999).....	88
Table 4.3: Microprobe analyses of muscovites from Gattar granite.....	91
Table 4.4: Microprobe analyses of muscovites and chlorites from Gattar granite (data after Helmy, 1999).....	92
Table 4.5: Selected microprobe analyses of some minor and accessory minerals from Gattar granite.....	100
Table 4.6: Selected microprobe analyses of Uranophane and kasolite minerals from Gattar granite.....	105
Table 5.1: Representative electron microprobe analyses of fluorite and its inclusions minerals.....	111
Table 5.2: Trace and REE of Gattar fluorite (ppm).....	112
Table 6.1: eU and eTh contents in (ppm) of G-I occurrence.....	124
Table 6.2: eU and eTh contents in (ppm) of GII occurrence.....	127
Table 6.3: eU and eTh contents in (ppm) of GV occurrence.....	135
Table 6.4: eU and eTh contents in (ppm) of GVI occurrence.....	137
Table 6.5: eU and eTh contents in (ppm) of GIII, GIV and GVII occurrences.....	138

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. General statement

The initiation of the Egyptian nuclear program to produce electrical energy requires big reserve of radioactive raw materials, especially uranium minerals. Most of the basement rocks of Egypt, especially the Younger Granites, are favorable host rocks for uranium mineralizations and notably show higher level of radioactivity. Most of uranium mineralization is structurally controlled, restricted to sheared and fractured zones, and mostly associated with fluorite and iron oxides, e.g. Gabal EL Erediya (El Kassas, 1974), Gabal EL Bakriya (El Amin, 1975), Gabal EL Missikat (Bakhit, 1975), Gabal Um Ara (Abdel Meguid, 1986) and Gabal Gattar (Salman et al., 1986; Roz, 1994; Shalaby, 1995; Moharem, 1997; Esmail, 2002 and Hammoda, 2003). Accordingly, these granites were regarded as a good target for uranium exploration. In the light of such concept, Gabal Gattar at the northern Eastern Desert was chosen as a target area for intensive prospecting, as it is considered the type locality of the Gattarian (younger) granite in Egypt. Most of the radioactive occurrences are located in its northern part.

1.2. Location, accessibility and climate

The area of Gabal Gattar is located in the northern Eastern Desert to the west of Hurghada town by about 35 Kms. It is delineated by latitudes $26^{\circ} 52'$ and $27^{\circ} 08'$ N and longitudes $33^{\circ} 13'$ and $33^{\circ} 26'$ E (Fig. 1.1).

Gabal Gattar represents the extreme northeastern part of a pink granite batholith, which is characterized by mild to rough topography and comprises besides Gabal Gattar (1963 m), Gabal Um Dissi (1556 m), Gabal Kehla (1882 m), Gabal Thelma (1733 m), Gabal Abu El Hassan (1550 m), Gabal Abu El Hassan El Ahmar (1234 m) and Gabal Abu Samyuk (1750 m) (Fig. 1.2).

The area of study is traversed by two major wadis trending ENE-