## Assessment of Marginal Adaptation, Fracture Resistance and Cytotoxicity of Implant-Supported Provisional Restoration

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of Doctor Degree requirements in fixed prosthodontics, faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University.

By

Ahmed Abduessalam Ibrahim EL Aradi

**B.D.S. El Fatah University** 

Tripoli-Libya (2007)

M.D.Sc. Ain Shams University (2012)

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

2015

# Supervisors

### **Prof. Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**

Professor of crown and bridge Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University.

#### **Prof. Dr.Iman Mohmed Helmy**

Professor of oral pathology and Head of oral pathology department Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University.

#### Dr. Amr Saleh EL Etreby

Lecturer of crown and bridge Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University.

# Acknowledgment



First and foremost thanks are due to Allah the most beneficent and most merciful.

I would like to express my utmost gratitude and deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Amina Hamdy** 

Professor of crown and bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her academic supervision, continuous encouragement and valuable advice that she offered throughout the accomplishment of this work. It was really a great honor to work under her supervision.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Imina Mohamed Hamdy, Prof. and head of oral pathology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams university, for her sincere efforts, confidence, advice, and valuable comments throughout this work

#### My due thanks for Dr. Amr Saleh EL Etreby

Lecturer, Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for offering me the most generously from his scientific knowledge and experience in reviewing this work.

I am specially grateful to Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Nagi

Professor of Operative Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement and care.

I'm grateful to **Dr. Maged Zohdy**, lecturer of crown and bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

A special thanks for **HI TEC Dental Lab** for the great assistance they offered me to complete this work

.



# **Dedication**

## This work is dedicated to

Souls of the martyrs of the glorious revolution of the 17<sup>th</sup> of February.

my father,
My dear mother,
the light that leads the way

My Family, for their great care, support and guidance all the way in my life.

My True Friend, \*

Milad Mustafa Milad ElShuh\* for his encouragement and great cooperation.

#### **LIST OF CONTENTS**

	Page
List of tables	I
List of figures	III
Introduction	1
Review of literature.	4
Aim of the study.	55
Materials & methods.	56
Results	88
Discussion.	103
Summary and Conclusions	109
Recommendation	111
References.	112
Arabic Summary	

### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table	No. Title	Page
1	Samples grouping	62
2	Representing groups and subgroups.	84
3	Fracture resistance results (Mean values± SDs) function of temporary material, finishing line and surfafinish	
4	Three factorial analysis of variance ANOVA test significance comparing variables affecting fractive resistance mean values:	
5	Comparison between total fracture resistance result. (Mean values± SDs) as function of temporary material:	
6	Comparison between total fracture resistance resu (Mean values± SDs) as function of finishing line:	
7	Comparison between total fracture resistance result. (Mean values± SDs) as function of surface finishing	olts 93
8	Marginal gap results (Mean values± SDs) as function as function of temporary material finishing line a surface finish:	

9	Three factorial analysis of variance ANOVA test of significance comparing variables affecting marginal gap mean values: 95
10	Comparison between total marginal gapresults (Mean values± SDs) as function of temporary material: 96
11	Comparison between total marginal gapresults (Mean values± SDs) as function of finishing line:
12	Comparison between total marginal gap results (Mean values± SDs) as function of surface finishing
13	Cytotoxicity results (Mean values± SDs) as function of temporary material, surface finish:
14	Three factorial analysis of variance ANOVA test of significance comparing variables affecting cytotoxicity mean values:
15	Comparison between total cytotoxicity results (Mean values± SDs) as function of temporary material: 101
16	Comparison between total cytotoxicity results (Mean values± SDs) as function of surface finishing



#### INTRODUCTION

Provisional restorations play several roles during the implant integration period. They maintain the position of the adjacent and opposing teeth and optimize the health of hard and soft tissues surrounding the implant. In addition such provisional allow evaluation of esthetic parameters before treatment is finalized and play an important role in immediate and early loading protocols<sup>(93)</sup>.

Immediate provisionalization of implants at the time of placement can provide the patient with a tooth-like restoration, that preoperative fabrication of the implant abutment and provisional restoration can provide successful immediate provisionalization of implants, if specific diagnostic criteria are used for patient selection<sup>(17)</sup>.

According to the Glossary of Prosthodontic Terms, provisional or interim prosthesis or restoration is a fixed or removable dental or maxillofacial prosthesis designed to enhance esthetics, stabilization and/or function for a limited period of time, after which it is to be replaced by a definitive dental or maxillofacial prosthesis <sup>(120)</sup>.

Provisional crowns and fixed partial dentures are an integral part of the prosthodontic treatment. They must meet esthetic, mechanical, and biological requirements especially when they are placed in the oral environment for a relatively long period of time such as during an extensive prosthodontic treatment or during the assessment of the results of periodontal and endodontic therapies <sup>(72, 153)</sup>.

The terms *provisional*, *interim*, or *transitional* have been routinely used interchangeably in the dental literature. The use of the term *temporary*, on the other hand, is controversial and is considered inappropriate by some authors because provisional restorations serve many functions, and "temporary" treatment may be interpreted as one of lesser importance or value <sup>(26)</sup>.

The interim treatment focuses on protecting pulpal and periodontal health, promoting guided tissue healing in order to achieve an acceptable emergence profile, evaluating hygiene procedures, preventing migration of the abutments, providing adequate occlusal scheme, and evaluating maxillomandibular relationships (173).

There are many resin materials available to perform these functions such as 1- Polymethyl methacrylate resin, 2- Bis-acrylic composite, 3- Mono methacrylate resin  $^{(177)}$ .

Provisional crowns and fixed partial dentures are subjected to heavy and consistent loading by mastication, and mechanical failure of the provisional restoration frequently occurs. Of the most common failure modes of the restorations which may lead to severe economic loss and patient discomfort are fracture marginal discrepancy and cytotoxicity. The materials used for temporary restorations must have adequate strength and open margin of the restoration particularly for long term service inside the oral cavity. (82, 18)

Three main routes of systemic intake of chemical substances released by resin-based restorations have been postulated; the first is through ingestion of released compounds in the gastro-intestinal tract, the second is through diffusion to the pulp through the dentinal tubules to the blood stream, and the third is via uptake of volatile components in the lungs. The last route is of special importance for the dental practitioner and the dental personnel, while the first and second routes are more relevant for the patient <sup>(37-39)</sup>.

It was claimed that HEMA and TEGDMA monomers when administered by different routes (oral, subcutaneously or intravenously) are almost completely eliminated 24 hours after administration. The main routes of excretion in animal studies are via the lungs and to a lesser extend via the faeces or the urine (47, 23, 164, 79, 173, 22, 65).

The implies that the concentration of these monomers in different tissues is below those known to cause toxic effects. However, it was reported that sub-cytotoxic concentrations of these monomers are able to alter cell function (135).

Although several researches are reported to assess marginal adaptation, fracture resistance and cytotoxicity of implant supported provisional restorations, yet there still more investigations are needed specially for the newly introduced materials.

