



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT**

STRENGTHENING OF PONTOONS OF RIBBON TYPE FLOATING BRIDGES

BY

Eng. Kayed Ragab El Sayed Ibrahim Eid

A thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for
The requirements of the degree of doctor of philosophy
In Civil engineering (structural)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Monem Korashy

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

ASS.Prof.Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Saleh Mohamed El-Mekawy

Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

CAIRO (2016)

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Signature

1- Prof. Dr. Khalid Mahmoud Mosalam

Taisei Professor of Civil Engineering
Director, Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research (PEER) Center
University of California, Berkeley

2- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Monem Korashy

Professor of theory of structures
Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

3- Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Shawky Moharam

Professor of theory of structures
Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

4- Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty

Ass. Prof. of Theory of Structural
Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

NAME : Kayed Ragab El Sayed Ibrahim Eid

DATE OF BEARTH : 22/3/1971

PLACE OF BEARTH : Cairo – Egypt

QUALIFICATION :B.Sc.(Civil Engineering), Military Technical
College, Cairo, Egypt, June 1993
M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering
(Structural) – Ain Shams University, Cairo,
Egypt, 2002.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Monem Korashy**, Professor of theory of structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his constant supervision, planning, generous support, helpful advice and constructive criticism throughout this work.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty**, Assistant Professor of theory of structures, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his skillful kind supervision, support, guidance, help, encouragement and useful suggestions since the start of the work.

My thanks go to **Dr. Saleh Mohamed El-Mekawy** for his support, collaboration, and for all the learning experiences and invaluable guidance.

I wish to extend my thanks to my **colleagues and all the staff** of Structural Engineering Department, Ain Shams University, for their help and encouragement. Also, my best thanks to all those who, in one way or another, helped in making this work possible, especially Dr. Nasr Eid.

A special gratitude and love goes to my family for their unflinching support.

Eng. Kayed Ragab Eid

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of structural engineering, Faculty of engineer, Ain Shams University.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at other university or institution.

Date : / / 2016

Signature :

Name : Kayed Ragab Eid



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering

Abstract of Ph.D. thesis submitted by:

Eng.Kayed Ragab El Sayed Ibrahim Eid

Title of thesis

**" STRENGTHENING OF PONTOONS OF RIBBON
TYPE FLOATING BRIDGES"**

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Monem Korashy
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hisham Ahmed El-Arabaty
Dr. Saleh Mohamed El-Mekawy

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research work is to study the different techniques for strengthening the internal structure of pontoons of the "ribbon-type" floating bridges with an emphasis on increasing the "load – carrying" capacity of existing floating bridges.

The research presented here is directed into two main approaches, the first approach is concerned with the strengthening of existing bridge pontoons through addition of structural elements, to increase their moment-carrying capacity. The newly designed

pontoon bridges are analyzed in detail to determine their dynamic behavior under the effect of moving vehicles, with careful consideration of the additional weights imposed by the strengthening system applied to the pontoons. The second approach is mainly directed towards developing partial fixation between pontoons through modification of the pontoon connections, without any changes to the internal pontoon configuration.

An extension is made to the analytical model already developed in previous research, for the determination of the dynamic response of floating bridges interactively with the moving vehicles. A new nonlinear element is proposed for the simulation of the newly proposed pontoon connections. A mathematical model is proposed to idealize the reaction of the underlying fluid, incorporating the proposed nonlinear element. A software package is developed using Microsoft Excel in connection with Visual Basic for the implementation of the mathematical model.

An extensive parametric study is conducted for the determination of the effect of the main factors affecting the behavior of the strengthened pontoon bridges, including pontoon weight, fixation type, degree of partial fixation, and vehicle loads. The analysis results were used to develop conclusions and recommendations related to the practical strengthening of existing pontoon bridges. The effect of various strengthening strategies on the overall bridge load-carrying capacity are discussed in detail.

Key words: Floating bridges - single pontoon analysis – torsional moment - eccentric loads – fluid-structure interaction – dynamic analysis

LIST OF CONTENTS

Chapter (1): INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Pontoon bridges	3
1.3 Ribbon bridges.....	4
1.4 Objective of the work	6
1.5 Outline of the thesis.....	8

Chapter (2): LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Historical background.....	12
2.3 Method used for computation of fluid-structure interaction.....	18
2.4 Analysis of floating bridges.....	22
2.5 Dynamic analysis of floating bridges	28

Chapter (3): DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING RIBBON-TYPE PONTON BRIDGES

3.1 Introduction.....	42
3.2 Ribbon bridge description	43
3.2.1 Bridge transporter	44
3.2.2 Interior bay	44
3.2.3 Ramp bay.....	46
3.3 Bridge description	48
3.3.1 Description of actual interior bay geometry and section properties.....	49
3.3.1.1 The road pontoons	49
3.3.1.2 Bow pontoons	50
3.4. Determination of pontoon equivalent moment of inertia.....	50

Chapter (4): INTERACTIVE BRIDGE/VEHICLE DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction	52
4.2 Analytical algorithm for the dynamic analysis of bridge/vehicle system	54
4.2.1 Vehicle Finite Element Model.....	55
4.2.2 Tire Finite Element Model	57
4.2.3 Bridge Finite Element Model	58
4.2.4. Substructuring and condensation of the Bridge Model Introduction	59
4.2.5 Interactive model response	60

4.3 Dynamic analysis of pontoon bridge	62
--	----

Chapter (5): STRENGTHENING OF PONTOON BRIDGES BY DEVELOPMENT OF DOUBLE-PONTOON UNITS

5.1 structural behavior of existing pontoon bridges	65
5.2 Proposed strengthening Scheme [1]: Development of Double-Pontoon Units	74
5.3 Internal Structural Modification of pontoons	76
5.4 Dynamic analysis of strengthened pontoon bridge	78
5.5 Discussion of Results	83

Chapter (6): STRENGTHENING OF PONTOON BRIDGES BY DEVELOPMENT OF PARTIAL FIXATION JOINTS

6.1. Proposed Strengthening Approach	84
6.2. Proposed “Partial Fixation” Joint.....	85
6.3. Analytical Algorithm	88
6.4. Nonlinear analysis of ribbon-bridge with “partial-fixation” joints	89
6.4.1. Stage 1	89
6.4.2. Stage 2	91
6.5. Step by Step Procedure	93
6.6 Parametric study	97
6.7 Discussion of Results	115

Chapter (7): SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1. Summary.....	117
7.2. Conclusions.....	118
7.3. Recommendations for future work.....	122

List of Table and Figures

Chapter (1): INTRODUCTION

Figure (1.1) Pontoon Bridge	3
Figure (1.2) New SR 520 Floating Bridge	4
Figure (1.3) Ribbon Bridge.....	5
Figure (1.4) Croatia and Bosnia Ribbon Bridge.....	6

Chapter (2): LITERATURE REVIEW

Figure (2.1) Virginia Pontoon Bridge	
Error! Bookmark not defined.7	
Figure (2.2) Ribbon Bridge between Croatia and Bosnia	
Error! Bookmark not defined.8	
Figure (2.3) Iraq Ribbon Bridge	19
Figure (2.4) Movable spans for large vessels	25

Chapter (3): DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING RIBBON-TYPE

PONTOON BRIDGES

Figure (3.1) boats used for supporting the bridge	44
Figure (3.2) Bridge transporter	44
Figure (3.3) lay out of the interior bay dimension of the floating bridges.....	45
Figure (3.4) lay out of the interior bay of the floating bridges.....	46
Figure (3.5) lay out of typical ramp bay of ribbon floating bridge	47
Figure (3.6) Section of selected pontoon bridge	48

Chapter (4): INTERACTIVE BRIDGE/VEHICLE DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

Figure (4.1) Structural behavior of typical hinged-joint ribbon bridge.....	52
Figure (4.2) Structural behavior of typical fixed-joint ribbon bridge	53
Figure (4.3) Final displacement due to bridge nodal forces, and imposed reaction forces at bridge/vehicle contact points.....	56
Figure (4.4) lay out of the interior bay of the floating bridges.....	61
Figure (4.5) Typical snapshot of displacements along bridge length at specific time.....	63
Figure (4.6) Typical snapshot of bending moments along bridge length at specific time	63

Chapter (5): STENGTHENING OF PONTOON BRIDGES BY DEVELOPMENT OF DOUBLE PONTOON UNITS

Figure (5.1.a) Bridge displacement envelopes	65
Figure (5.1.b) Bridge moment envelopes	66
Figure (5.2) Variation of force equilibrium equation terms with Vehicle speed	68
Figure (5.3.a) Snapshot at time T1. (Vehicle center-point at P)	69
Figure (5.3.b) Snapshot at time T2. (Displacement reaches max. value at P)	70
Figure (5.4.a) Snapshot at time T1. (Displacement reaches max. value at P)	71
Figure (5.4.b) Snapshot at time T2. (Vehicle center-point at P)	72
Figure (5.5.a) Location of additional truss strengthening system.....	77
Figure (5.5.b) Proposed additional truss system configuration 4 trusses installed inside pontoon.....	77
Figure (5.6) Variation of maximum bridge displacement with vehicle velocity for cases [1] to [4]	80
Figure (5.7) Variation of maximum bridge bending moment with vehicle velocity for cases [1] to [4].....	80
Table (5.1) Summary of analysis results for existing pontoon bridge under effect of 63 ton vehicle moving at different speeds (case 1).....	67
Table (5.2) analysis results for pontoon bridge composed of double pontoon units, under effect of 63 ton vehicle moving at different speeds (case 2).....	75
Table (5.3) Summary of analysis results for strengthened single pontoon bridge under effect of 63 ton vehicle moving at different speeds (case 3)	79
Table (5.4) Summary of analysis results for strengthened single pontoon bridge under effect of 63 ton vehicle moving at different speeds (case 4)	79
Table (5.5.a) Comparison of max displacements obtained in Case 3 & Case 1.....	81
Table (5.5.b) Comparison of max displacements obtained in Case 4 & Case 2.....	81
Table (5.6.a) Comparison of max bending moment between Case 3 & Case 1.....	81
Table (5.6.b) Comparison of max bending moment between Case 4 & Case 2.....	82

Chapter (6): STRENGTHENING OF PONTOON BRIDGES BY DEVELOPMENT OF PARTIAL FIXATION JOINTS

Figure (6.1) Schematic of proposed partial fixation joint.....	86
--	----

Figure (6.2) Variation of bending moment in connection with relative rotation between pontoons for proposed “partial-fixation” joint	87
Figure (6.3) Equilibrium of single pontoon	89
Figure (6.4) Allowable rotation in “partial-fixation” joint	91
Figure (6.5) Displacements due to Main loading (d_0)	94
Figure (6.6) Displacements due to Unit Moment at joint 5 (y_1)	94
Figure (6.7) Displacements due to Unit Moments at joints 4 & 6 (y_2).....	95
Figure (6.8) Displacements due to Unit Moments at joints 3 & 7 (y_3).....	95
Figure (6.9) Final Displacements after adding effect Of “Partial Fixation” moment at joint 5	96
Figure (6.10) Selected cases of loading for maximum deflection And straining actions in bridge	97
Figures (6.11) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge displacement, (Case1.2) ...	102
Figure (6.12) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge shear force, (Case1.2)	103
Figure (6.13) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge bending moment (case1.2).	104
Figure (6.14) Analysis results for 60 ton vehicle load - gap = 6 cm, Loading Case [1]	106
Figure (6.15) Variation of maximum bridge displacement with Acting vehicle load value ..	107
Figure (6.16) Variation of maximum bridge bending moment with Acting vehicle load value	106
Figure (6.17) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge displacement, (Case3.4) ..	112
Figure (6.18) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge shear force, (case3.4)	113
Figure (6.19) Effect of joint-gap distance on maximum bridge bending moment,(Case 3.4)	114
Tables (6.1) The sequence of joints reaching fixation under the effect of vehicle loads acting according to loading cases [1] & [2]	98
Table (6.2) Maximum bridge displacements for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 1)	99
Table (6.3) Maximum shear force along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 1)	99
Table (6.4) Maximum bending moment along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 1)	100
Table (6.5) Maximum bridge displacement for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 2).....	100
Table (6.6) Maximum shear force along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 2)	101
Table (6.7) Maximum bending moment along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 2)	101

Tables (6.8) Maximum bridge displacements for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 3)	109
Tables (6.9) Maximum bending moment along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 3)	109
Tables (6.10) Maximum shear force along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 3)	110
Tables (6.11) Maximum bridge displacement for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 4)	110
Tables (6.12) Maximum shear force along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 4)	111
Tables (6.13) Maximum bending moment along bridge length for different values of Joint-gap distance, and vehicle dynamic load. (Loading case 4)	111

Chapter (1)

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Bridges are constructed to cross obstacles. The obstacles may be water, infrastructures or elevation difference. A floating bridge can be used doubtlessly to cross a water obstacle when it is impossible to construct a conventional bridge. The construction difficulties of the conventional bridges involve the several factors such as a high water depth, a seabed soil weakness, an occurrence of severe earthquake etc.

The human necessity to cross the obstacles has existed since the times. Although of using many type of structures for this purpose such as the conventional bridges, floating bridges, tunnels and tubes, civil engineers still face many difficulties to find the suitable alternatives to cross the unusual obstacles. All the mentioned conventional structures have design and construction limitations which make them inappropriate for all situations. In spite of the broad variations in the types of those structures, there is still lack to cover all cases. . The technical restrictions are not the only factors influencing the choice of a floating bridge; the economical factor plays also an important role. A floating bridge is a cost-effective solution when the cost of conventional bridge construction is too high. The bridge foundations are the most costly structural element.