



Cairo University

**TWO-STEP AUSTEMPRING OF GGG50 FOR
PRODUCTION OF DUCTILE IRON, LOW ALLOYED
STEEL SUBSTITUTION**

By

Adel Mahmoud Mohamed Malik

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Metallurgical Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

2016

TWO-STEP AUSTEMPRING OF GGG50 FOR
PRODUCTION OF DUCTILE IRON LOW ALLOYED
STEEL SUBSTITUTIONS

By
Adel Mahmoud Mohamed Malik
A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in
Metallurgical Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Iman Salah Elmahallawi

Prof. Dr. El Sayed M. El Banna

Professor of Metallurgy Department of Mining,
Petroleum & Metallurgical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor of Metallurgy Department of
Mining, Petroleum & Metallurgical
Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Laila Shehata Yousuf El Sayed

Ministry of Military Production

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

2016

TWO-STEP AUSTEMPRING OF GGG50 FOR PRODUCTION OF DUCTILE IRON LOW ALLOYED STEEL SUBSTITUTIONS

By
Adel Mahmoud Mohamed Malik
A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in
Metallurgical Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee:

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| Prof. Dr. El Sayed Mahmoud El Banna | Thesis Main Advisor |
| Prof. Dr. Iman Salah El Din El- Mahallawi | Member |
| Prof. Dr. Abd El Hameid Ahmed Hussein | Internal Examiner |
| Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd-El Wahab Waly | Internal Examiner |

CMRDI

Faculty of engineering, Cairo university

Giza Egypt

2016

Acknowledgment

This work is the result of dedication and encouragement of many people. I would like to express my deepest gratitude for their support, contributions, and encouragement.

Their contributions have been important in so many different ways that I find it difficult to acknowledge them as they deserve.

First, I thank God who supplied me with faith and success.

Special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Iman Salah El Din El- Mahallawi**

For her valuable advices and recommendations that guided me to arrange my ideas and adding a type of excellence to the thesis.

To my advisors, I thank **Prof. Dr. El Sied M. El Banna**

For his constant support during the period of his study, and useful suggestions.

My Thanks also extended to **Dr. Laila Shehata Yousuf El Sayed**

For her contributions, suggestions and helpful advices.

Away from academe, I would like to thank my family for their support and encouragement during the years of this study.

Table content

| ITEM | Page |
|--|------|
| list of tables | |
| list of figures | |
| Nomenclature | |
| Abstract: | |
| CHAPTER 1: Introduction CHAPTER | 1 |
| 2: Literature Review | 2 |
| 2.1 Cast iron and its types (general) | 2 |
| 2.2. The five types of cast iron | 2 |
| 2.2.1. Ductile cast iron | 3 |
| 2.2.2. Microstructure of ductile cast iron | 4 |
| 2.2.3. Family of ductile cast iron | 5 |
| 2.2.4. Properties of ductile cast iron | 6 |
| 2.3. Heat treatment of ductile cast iron | 8 |
| 2.3.1. Austenitising the ductile cast iron | 10 |
| 2.3.2. Austempering of ductile cast iron | 10 |
| 2.4. Austempering of ductile cast iron (ADI) | 12 |
| 2.4.1. Mechanism of bainitic transformation | 12 |
| 2.4.2. Stages of bainitic transformation (ADI) | 13 |
| 2.4.3. Mechanism of upper and lower bainite | 13 |
| 2.4.4. Kinetics of austempering | 14 |
| 2.4.4.I.Kinetics of stage- one | 14 |
| 2.4.5. Determining the temperature and the time for austempering | 14 |
| 2.4.6. Effect of austempering parameters on mechanical | 15 |

| | |
|--|----|
| properties of (ADI) | |
| 2.4.7. Effect of austempering time on mechanical properties of (ADI) | 15 |
| 2.4.8. Effect of austempering time on mechanical properties of (ADI) | 15 |
| 2.4.9. Effect of alloying elements on the austempering behavior of (ADI) | 16 |
| 2.5 Effect of austempering time on mechanical properties of (ADI) | 17 |
| 2.6. Graphite in ADI | 17 |
| 2.7. Properties of ADI compared to steel and cast-iron | 18 |
| 2.8. Novel processing techniques and applications of ADI | 19 |
| 2.8.1 The two - step austempering of DCI as a new processing technique to improve its mechanical properties. | 20 |
| CHAPTER 3: Experimental Work | 24 |
| 3.1. The GGG20 and its properties | 24 |
| 3.2. The Casting procedure | 25 |
| 3.3. Tests specimens preparation | 25 |
| 3.4. The used heat treatment furnaces | 26 |
| 3.5. The applied heat-treatment cycles | 26 |
| 3.5.1. The Conventional one-step austempering | 27 |
| 3.5.2. The new two-step austempering | 28 |
| 3.6. Tensile test | 29 |
| 3.7. Hardness Test | 30 |
| 3.8. Charpy V-notch impact test | 30 |
| 3.9. Determination of austenite volume fraction by X-ray diffraction test | 31 |
| 3.10. Metallography | 34 |
| CHAPTER 4: Results and Discussion | 36 |
| 4.1. Microstructure investigation of the used as-cast GGG50 | 36 |
| 4.2. Microstructure investigation of GGG 50 samples processed by one-step Aust. at 400.C | 36 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.3. Effect of one step Aust. at 400.C on the mechanical properties of GGG 50 | 39 |
| 4.3.1. Tensile strength test results | 39 |
| 4.3.2. Hardness tests results | 40 |
| 4.3.3. Ductility tests results | 41 |
| 4.4. Microstructure investigation of the resultant ADI after two-step Aus. | 42 |
| 4.5. Effect of two-step austempering at 400.C & 250.C on the mechanical prop. Of GGG50. | 46 |
| 4.5.1 Tensile strength tests results | 46 |
| 4.5.2. Ductility tests results | 47 |
| 4.5.3. Hardness tests results | 48 |
| 4.5.4. Impact tests results and retained austenite %age. | 50 |
| 4.6. Material specification sheet of alloy 16 Mn Cr5 | 51 |
| 4.7. Comparison study | 52 |
| 4.7.1 Comparison study between the mechanical prop, of GGG 50 at the optimum condition | 53 |
| 4.7.2. Comparison between properties of Austerities GGG 50 by two-step at optimum conditions and the standard properties, of alloy 16Mn Cr5. | 54 |
| 4.7.3. Comparison between properties, of Austempered. GGG50 by two- step at the optimum conditions and the standard specification of ADI | 55 |
| Conclusion | 61 |
| Reference | 62 |
| Appendix | 65 |

List of Tables

| Item | | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| Table 2.1 | Typical composition ranges of unalloyed cast irons. | 10 |
| Table 2.2 | Mechanical properties and typical applications for standard grades of ductile iron. | 11 |
| Table 2.3 | Effects of various alloying elements on the austempering behavior of ADI. | 22 |
| Table 3.1 | The chemical composition of the used material. | 29 |
| Table 3.2 | The mechanical properties of the as - cast samples of the used material. | 29 |
| Table 4.1a | The chemical composition of alloy 16Mn cr5 | 42 |
| Table 4.1b | The mechanical and technical properties of alloy 16 Mn Cr 5. | 42 |
| Table 4.2 | The tensile results of GGG 50 samples processed by one - step austempering at 400c° for different time intervals of 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2h. | 47 |
| table 4.3 | variation of UTS at different austempering times . | 58 |
| Table 4.4 | Variation of ductility at different austempering times. | 60 |
| Table 4.5 | Variation of hardness with the austempering times. | 61 |
| Table 4.6 | Variation of impact toughness and $y_r\%$ at different austempering times. | 63 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Table 4.7 | Comparison between the mechanical prop, of GGG50 after one - step and two - step Aus. | 66 |
| Table 4.8 | Comparison between the mechanical prop. Of austempered GGG50 by two - step and the standard mechanical prop of the alloy 16 Mn Cr5. | 67 |
| Table 4.9 | Standard specifications of ADI according to ASTM 897 MSI units. | 68 |
| Table 4.10a | Comparison between Uts of GGG50 after on-step and two- step austempering | 68 |
| Table 4.10b | Comparison between EL% of GGG 50 after one-step and two-step austempering | 70 |
| Table 4.10c | Comparison between HBN of GGG50 after one-step and 71 two-step austempering | 71 |
| Table 4.10d | Comparison between Im of GGG50 after one-step and two- 72 step austempering | 72 |
| Table 4.10e | Comparison between γ_R % of GGG 50 after one-step and 73 two-step austempering | 73 |

List of Figures

| | Item | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| Fig 2.1 | Typical microstructure of different types of cast iron | 5 |
| Fig 2.2 | The graphite in different forms in the microstructure of cast iron | 6 |
| Fig 2.3 | Typical ductile co=ast iron microstructure | 7 |
| Fig 2.4 | Section of Fe- c- 2Si % equilibrium phase diagram showing metastable properties of the $\alpha + \gamma$ two-phase filed into the bainitic transformation temp range. | 13 |
| Fig 2.5 | Isothermal transformation diagram of a processing sequence for austempering | 16 |
| Fig 2.6 | ADI microstructure consists of acicular ferrite in high carbon austenite matrix called ausferrite | 23 |
| Fig 3.1.a | Dimensions for tensile strength testing | 30 |
| Fig 3.1.b | Universal tensile testing machine | 30 |
| Fig 3.2 | Schematic diagram of the used one-step austempering cycle. | 32 |
| Fig 3.3 | Schematic diagram of the used Two-step austempering cycle. | 33 |
| Fig 3.4 | Brinell hardness tester | 35 |
| Fig 3.5.a | Dimension for impact test sample | 36 |
| Fig 3.5.b | Charpy impact tester | 36 |
| Fig 3.6.a | X'pert pro panalytical mod. 2007 X-ray diffraction | 37 |
| Fig 3.6.b | The X-ray diffraction testes | 38 |
| Fig 3.7 | Grinding and polishing machine | 39 |
| Fig 3.8 | Olympus BX 41M-LED optical microscope | 40 |
| Fig 4.1 | Microstructure of as - cast GGG50 DI | 43 |
| Fig 4.2 | Microstructure of GGG50 sample processed by one-step austempering at 400 C° for 0.5 h | 45 |
| Fig 4.3 | Microstructure of GGG 50 sample processed by one-step austempering at 400 C° for 1h | 45 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|----|
| Fig 4.4 | Microstructure of GGG 50 sample processed by one – step austempering at 400 C° for 1.5 h | 46 |
| Fig 4.5 | Microstructure of GGG 50 sample processed by one-step austempering at 400 C° for 2h | 46 |
| Fig 4.6 | Effect of Aus. Time on the UTS of GGG50 Aus. at 400c. | 48 |
| Fig 4.7 | Effect of Aus. Time on the BHN of GGG50 Aus. at 400C. | 50 |
| Fig 4.8 | Effect of Aus. Time on the EL% of GGG50 Aus. at 400C. | 51 |
| Fig 4.9 a.b.c | The matrix contains small area of martensite, lower bainite and some retained ustenite. The amount of retained austenite increases as the austempring time increase | 53 |
| Fig 4.9 d.e.f | The matrix contains lower banite and some retained austenite. The amount of retained austenite decrease as the austempring time increase. | 53 |
| Fig 4.9c | the microstructure GGG50 after two-step austempring 400 °C/lhr and 250°C/2hr | 54 |
| Fig 4.10 | Variation of UTS with Aus. Time. | 59 |
| Fig 4.11 | Variation of EL% with Aus. Time | 60 |
| Fig 4.12 | Variation of BHN with Aus. Time | 62 |
| Fig 4.13 | Variation of Im with Aus. Time | 64 |
| Fig 4.14 | Comparison between UTS of GGG50 after one-step and two-step Aus. | 69 |
| Fig 4.14a | Comparison between EL% of GGG50 after one-step and two-step Aus | 70 |
| Fig 4.14b | Comparison between BHN of GGG50 after one-step and two-step Aus. | 71 |
| Fig 4.14c | Comparison between Im of GGG50 after one-step and two- step Aus. | 72 |
| Fig 4.14d | Comparison between γ_R % of GGG50 after one-step and two-step Aus. | 73 |

Nomenclature

| | |
|----------------|---|
| UTS | Ultimate tensile strength |
| EL% | Ductility % |
| HBN | Hardness brenil number |
| $\gamma_R \%$ | Retained austenite % |
| Im | Impact toughness |
| Aus. | Austempering |
| R.T | Room temperature |
| γ° | Matrix austemite |
| $\gamma^{h.c}$ | High carbon austemite |
| a | Bainitic ferrite |
| CMRDI | Central metallurgical and research and development institute |
| ELm% | <i>Element %</i> |
| S.No. | Sample number |
| prop. | properties |
| Cond" | Condition |
| DCI | Ductile cast iron |
| ADI | Austemerd ductile iron |

| | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| UAV | Untransformed austenite volume |
| HLAT | High - low austempering temperature |
| LHAT | Low - high austempering temperature |
| $X_y . C_y$ | Austenite carbon |
| X_y | The volume fraction of austenite |
| C_y | The austenite c-content. |
| d | The ferrite cell size. |

Abstract

Castings made of Austempered ductile iron (ADI) have attracted practicing engineers and researchers, alike, mainly because of its excellent strength and wear properties and also at the same time cheaper to process and produce w.r.t comparative forged steel parts.

In this investigation, ductile iron samples from GGG50 were prepared and subjected to a two - step austempering heat treatment cycle following the austenitizing treatment. Six groups of samples were prepared for this heat treatment cycle.

All groups of samples were initially austenitized at 900°C for one hour, after that they were subjected to two - step austempering process at 400°C and 250°C respectively, in the 1st step, the samples were rapidly quenched into a salt bath maintained at 400°C for one hour (step of constant time and temperature) after that all the samples were taken to the 2nd step by rapid quenching again into a salt bath maintained at 250°C (step of variable time throughout six time intervals).

The six time intervals were as follow:

The first groups of samples were austempered for 0.5h, the second group for 1.5h, the third group for 2hr, the fourth group 2.5h, the fifth group for 3.5 and the group for 4.5h.

Effect of this two - step austempering heat treatment on the microstructure and tensile properties of the samples were examined and compared with the properties of a reference low alloy steel type (16 Mn Cr 5).

The results have shown that the new two - step austempering process has resulted in moderate improvement in the ultimate tensile strength and the ductility of the resultant ADI compared to one step ADI. The results are analyzed based on the microstructure features which greatly effect the mechanical properties of ADI castings produced.

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

Scraper Chain Conveyors are used in the industry for transporting things and parts to long distances for Example from silo to another. These working conditions require superior Mechanical properties as high tensile and yield strength, higher hardness and excellent wear characteristics. Also, weight of the chain conveyor is a very important factor in these conditions [1]. ADI is a candidate material recommended for this application substitution for forged steel in this industrial field. ADI has a matrix which consists of a combination of acicular ferrite and stabilized austenite [2]. This structure results in an exceptional combination of strength and ductility. The Structure and properties of ADI depend on composition and heat treatment parameters which lead to changes in the microstructure.

Conventional austempering process consists of austenitizing the castings in the temperature range of 871°C- 982°C for sufficient time to get a fully austenite (γ) matrix and then quenching to an intermediate temperature range of 250°C - 400°C. to form bainite. The Mechanical properties of ADI depend on the bainitic matrix which consists of ferrite and higher volume of retained austenite. The presence of appreciable amounts of retained austenite in ADI leads to better wear resistance and fatigue strength, due to high work hardening nature of austenite [3].

The two - step austempering process is better than the conventional one in the side of the resultant mechanical properties. Tianghuai yang and susilk putatunda [4]. have carried out investigation to examine the influence of two - step austempering process on yield, tensile strength and fracture toughness and the results have indicated significant improvement in the mechanical properties of ADI. By adopting two-step austempering process where GGG50 is first austenitized and austempered in two – steps, ADI may be used as a substitute for some low alloyed steels.

The objective of this work is to (1) study the effect of two - step austempering of GGG50 on the resulting microstructure and mechanical properties of ADI at different time intervals for the 2nd step of austempering. (2) Evaluate γ_R and its effect on the resulting mechanical properties of ADI. (3) Analyze the results to determine the optimum heat treatment process and its parameters which give the most favorable combination of mechanical properties compared to the alloy 16 Mn cr5 (Case hardening steel). (4) Evaluate the impact toughness of the resulting ADI and its relation with the 2nd step austempering time.