

Task Scheduling Algorithms on Grid Computing Systems

A thesis

In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in computer science

Submitted By: Mohamed El sayed Abdel-Aziz

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Soheir M. Khamis

Dr. Naglaa M. Reda Taher

Math. Dept. - Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Math. Dept. - Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Abdel Nasser Tawfik

ECTP Founding Director.

MTI University

Submitted to:

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University,
Cairo – Egypt
2015

Abstract

Scheduling tasks on heterogeneous resources distributed over a grid computing system is an NP-complete problem. The main aim for several researchers is to develop variant scheduling algorithms for achieving optimality. However, using of the full power of resources is still a challenge.

In this thesis, two heuristic algorithms called Sort-Mid and Range-Suffrage are proposed. Sort-Mid and Range-Suffrage aim to maximizing the resources utilization and minimizing the makespan.

The base step of Sort-Mid is to get the mean value of two consecutive middle values in the sorting list of completion time of each task. Then, the maximum value of these mean values is determined. Finally, the task has the maximum value is assigned to the machine having the minimum completion time. Experimental tests indicate that Sort-Mid utilizes the grid by more than 99% at 12 instances. In addition, Sort-Mid has good makespan in the 12 instances.

Range-Suffrage decision depends on detecting the maximum average value of completion times among certain tasks. These tasks are selected depending on their suffrage values. The task having the maximum average is assigned to the resource with the minimum completion time. Experimental results show that Range-Suffrage utilizes the grid by 97.9% in an instance, 98.9% in an instance, and more than 99% in other instances. On the other hand, Range-Suffrage has lower makespan than other algorithms in 7 instances.

Contents



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Contents	I
Acknowledgements	V
Summary	VII
List of Figures	IX
List of Tables	X
List of Acronyms	XII
Chapter 1:	
Introduction to Grid Computing Systems	1
1.1. High Performance Computing	2
1.1.1. Parallel computing	2
1.1.2. Distributed computing	3
1.1.3. Client-server computing	4
1.1.4. Peer-to-peer computing	4
1.1.5. Cluster computing.	7
1.1.6. Grid computing.	8
1.1.7. Cloud computing	10
1.1.8. Jungle Computing	14
1.1.9. Fog (Edge) computing	14
1.1.10. Volunteer computing	15
1.2. Grid Layers	16
1.2.1. Fabric layer	16
1.2.2. Tools layer	18
1.2.3. Middleware layer	19
1.2.4. Applications layer	20

1.3. Grid Features	21
I.4. Grid Classification	26
1.4.1. Grid's aim	26
1.4.2. Grid's field	27
Chapter 2 :	
Grid Scheduler	29
2.1. Structure of Scheduling	30
2.1.1. Applications	30
2.1.2. Scheduler	34
2.1.3. Resources	34
2.2. Grid Scheduler Architecture	34
2.2.1. Physical architecture	35
2.2.2. Logical architecture	36
2.3. Phases of Grid Scheduling	39
2.3.1. Phase 1: resources discovery	39
2.3.2. Phase 2: system selection	42
2.3.3. Phase 3: job execution	44
2.4. Scheduler Paradigms	47
2.4.1. Centralized scheduling	47
2.4.2. Decentralized scheduling	48
2.4.3. Hierarchical scheduling	50
2.5. Scheduler Policies	50
2.5.1. Immediate vs. Batch	51
2.5.2. Static vs. Dynamic	51
2.5.3. Preemptive vs. Non-Preemptive	51
2.5.4. Cooperative vs. Non-cooperative	52
2.5.5. Centralized vs. Hierarchical & Decentralized	52

CC 11	C	
Table	of content	·
1 abie	or comem	М

2.6. Scheduler Challenges	52
2.6.1. Site autonomy	52
2.6.2. Heterogeneity	53
2.6.3. Dynamic behavior	54
2.6.4. Application diversity	55
2.6.5. Resource non-dedication	55
2.6.6. Computation-data separation	56
2.7. Grid Scheduling Systems	57
2.7.1. Condor scheduling system	57
2.7.2. Nimrod/G Scheduling System	57
2.7.3. PBS scheduling system	59
2.7.4. Others	59
Chapter 3	
Grid Scheduling Algorithms	62
3.1. Scheduling Algorithms Classification	62
3.1.1. Static scheduling	64
3.1.2. Dynamic scheduling	65
3.2. Scheduling Problem Description	67
3.2.1. Application model	70
3.2.2. System model	71
3.2.3. Mapping model	71
3.3. Some Previous Scheduling Algorithms	72
3.3.1. Immediate scheduling algorithms	72
3.3.2. Batch scheduling algorithms	75
Chapter 4	
Proposed Task Scheduling Algorithms	86
4.1. Sort-Mid Proposed Algorithm	87

4.1.1. Sort-Mid method	87
4.1.2. An illustrative Example	90
4.2. Range-suffrage Proposed Algorithm	94
4.2.1 Range-suffrage method	95
4.2.2. An illustrative Example	98
Chapter 5	
Performance Evaluation	100
5.1. The Simulation Model	100
5.1.1. Instances of ETC Matrices	102
5.1.2. ETC Matrices Generation	103
5.2. Experimental Program	107
5.2.1 Program description	108
5.3. Experimental Results	109
5.3.1. Makespan	109
5.3.2. Computational complexity	114
5.3.3. Grid's resource utilization	116
Conclusions and Future work	119
Bibliography	122
Arabic summary	134

Table of contents

Acknowledgment



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All my Praises and gratitude to almighty Allah who aided me to bring forth this thesis to light. This work would have been impossible without the mercy and guidance of Allah.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. *Soheir M. Khamis*, whose expertise, understanding and advices were a great help by leading me to this stage in my graduate studies. I thank her for her patience and excellent technical guidance in writing and presenting research.

To my supervisor Dr. *Naglaa M. Reda*, I express my deep and sincere gratitude for her outstanding level of knowledge, scientific understanding, encouraging, personal guidance and for having led me into a fascinating area of research.

I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. *Abdel Nasser Tawfik* who has been supportive in many respects over the research years.

I am very thankful to all the staff members of the Egyptian Center for Theoretical Physic ECTP and the members of mathematics department in faculty of science - Ain Shams University for their help and support.

A great grateful to my family (all of them); they have always encouraged me, I indebted to all; my mother spirit, father, and brothers.

I would like to express my deep love to my sweet little daughter *Jodi*, who cheered me during the course of my graduate studies. Finally, I dedicate this research to my wife, for the encouragement during this study.

Summary



Summary

In the mid 1990s, the term grid computing was coined to describe technologies that would allow consumers to obtain computing power on demand. Grid computing is based on collecting resources to work all together as a single system. This grid system is able to execute applications that are too complex for a single resource to cope with.

The grid heterogeneous resources need to be orchestrated to solve complex problems in many scientific fields such as engineering, education, medical treatment, earthquakes, business, etc. Hence, grid can be considered as an effective solution to process and manage large data sets used in scientific application.

Scheduling plays an important role to measure the effectiveness of resources management to achieve high performance on grid computing. The problem of scheduling tasks on distributed resources belongs to a class of problems called NP-complete problems. The process of efficiently scheduling and distributing these massive calculations on resources, which have been assembled in a grid, is one of the most critical challenges facing grid computing. This is because grid computing is too complex due to the differences in the quality of the participating resources in their processing capability. Up to now, many researches have been developed to find strategies to solve task scheduling problem heuristically.

The aim of this thesis is to deal with task scheduling problem. We introduce two new techniques for improving the results of previous heuristic algorithms in maximizing resources utilization and minimizing the makespan. The suggested techniques are named Sort-Mid and Range-Suffrage.

The thesis consists of five chapters, one appendix, and a list of references.

Chapter one presents a detailed study of high-performance computing systems. A description of layers that composite a grid computing system is given. In addition, the most important advantages of grid computing features are given. Finally, the classifications of grid computing systems are categorized according to their objectives and the area at which the grid systems calculations are done.

Chapter two focuses on the scheduler which is the most important part of the grid computing system. The scheduler components and how these components interact together are explained. Then, the phases of grid scheduling are reviewed in detail. Furthermore, the scheduler organization, policies, challenges, and some grid scheduler systems are introduced.

Chapter three includes a formal description of the problem of task scheduling on a grid computing system. So, the classification of algorithms that deal with this problem is demonstrated. Most of related algorithms for solving the scheduling problem that are needed to evaluate our work are summarized.

Chapter four concentrates on our research which is restricted to finding an efficient method to solve the task scheduling problem. We suggest two new strategies. The first one is based on a sorting technique, so called Sort-Mid algorithm. In this algorithm, the main idea is to get the average of middle two consecutive completion times of resources in the sorted completion time matrix among all tasks. Then, it chooses the task having large average and assign it to the resource having minimum completion time. The second one depends on what is called the suffrage value. The main idea depends on specified range suffrage constraint, so the algorithm is called Range-Suffrage. The main step of the algorithm is to choose those tasks having this range and complete the algorithm as usual. The description of these two algorithms, pseudo codes, and the proof of the time complexities are given. An illustrative example comparison between our two algorithms and other wellknown previous algorithms is presented.

Chapter five contains an experimental testing for the new algorithms and other well-known algorithms in this field. The algorithms are executed on a benchmark simulation model which consists of 12 different 512×16 execution time completion matrices. All recorded tables and figures are presented and show the position of our new method with respect to the others.

In the appendix, we give the script codes of our implementation using the programming language Visual Basic for our proposed algorithms.

LIST OF FIGURES

Page
Fig. 1.1: A sketch of parallel computing2
Fig. 1.2: A sketch of distributed computing3
Fig. 1.3: A sketch of client-server computing4
Fig. 1.4: A sketch of the multiple peer relationship5
Fig. 1.5: A sketch of the distributed peer relationship for
search engine6
Fig. 1.6: A sketch of the distributed peer relationship for
computational problem6
Fig. 1.7: A sketch of the collaborative peer relationship7
Fig. 1.8: A sketch of cluster computing8
Fig. 1.9: Grid architecture can be thought of a series of
layers10
Fig. 1.10: A sketch of the NIST cloud computing13
Fig. 1.11: A worst case jungle computing system15
Fig. 1.12: Fog computing16
Fig. 1.13: Layers of grid computing systems17
Fig. 1.14: Virtual organizations typically contain multiple
organizations21
Fig. 2.1: A sketch of scheduling structure29
Fig. 2.2: Application flow31
Fig. 2.3: A sketch of physical grid scheduler architecture34
Fig. 2.4: Logical grid scheduler architecture35
Fig. 2.5: Three-Phase architecture for grid scheduling38
Fig. 2.6: System selection of grid scheduler42
Fig. 2.7: Centralized scheduling46

Fig. 2.8: Decentralized scheduling with jobs pool	47
Fig. 2.9: Decentralized scheduling with directed	
communication	47
Fig. 2.10: Hierarchical scheduling	48
Fig. 3.1: A logical grid scheduling architecture	61
Fig. 3.2: Target of scheduling function classification	61
Fig. 3.3: Adaptive of scheduling algorithm	62
Fig. 3.4: A taxonomy of scheduling algorithms	66
Fig. 4.1: ETC matrix with special form 3x3	85
Fig. 5.1: Makespan comparison of C_HH, I_HH, and	
S_HH instances	105
Fig. 5.2: Makespan comparison of C_HL, I_HL, and S_HL	
instances	105
Fig. 5.3: Makespan comparison of C_LH, I_LH, and S_LH	
instances	106
Fig. 5.4: Makespan comparison of C_LL, I_ LL, and S_LL	
instances	106