



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Department

Effect of blade's configuration on the performance of centrifugal pumps

By
Eng. Ashraf Sadek Abo El-yazed

Supervised by

Maj. Gen. Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Saleh Mostafa
Military Technical College

Prof. Dr. Raouf Nassif Abdelmassih
Faculty of Eng. Ain Shams University

Dr. Ehab Mouris Mina
Faculty of Eng. Ain Shams University

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Ph.D. Science in Mechanical Engineering

2014



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Department

Effect of blade's configuration on the performance of centrifugal pumps

By

Eng. Ashraf Sadek Abo El-yazed

Examiners Committee

Name

Signature

Prof. Dr\ Maher Gameel Hegazy

.....

Prof. Dr\ Mohamed Abu El-Eineen El-Samannoudy

.....

Prof. Dr \ Ibrahim Saleh Mostafa

.....

Prof. Dr \ Raouf Nassif Abdelmassih

.....



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Department

Ph.D. Thesis

Name of Researcher : Ashraf Sadek Abo El-yazed

**Thesis Title : Effect of blade's configuration on the
performance of centrifugal pumps**

Degree : Doctor of Philosophy

Supervision Committee:

1- Prof. Dr\ Ibrahim Saleh Mostafa

Professor at Military technical college

2- Prof. Dr\ Raouf Nassif Abdelmassih

Professor at the Mechanical Power Department

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

3- Dr.\ Ehab Mouris Mina

Associate Professor at the Mechanical Power Department

Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Statement

This thesis is submitted as partial fulfillment of Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy,

Mechanical Power Engineering - Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in the thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

Ashraf Sadek Abo El-yazed



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Department

Curriculum Vitae

Name of researcher : Ashraf Sadek Abo El-yazed
Date of Birth : 9\8\1966
Place of Birth : Khrset- Tanta - Gharbeya
First University Degree : M.Sc. Mechanical Power Engineering.
Department : Mechanical Power
University : M.T.C.
Current job : officer engineer
Signature :

Abstract

Centrifugal pumps are considered as the main active part in the hydraulic systems used for liquid transportation. In the present work, the effect of changing the blade configurations of the centrifugal pump impeller on the centrifugal pump performance has been investigated.

A test rig was set up to measure the pump head, discharge, and power. The impeller of the pump under investigation was designed such that, its shape could be changed. Each of the five blades was divided into three parts. The second and the third portions of each blade could be rotated forward or backward by a step of 24 degree. The case with no rotation (continuous blade) tested as a base line reference, should be refereed to in the rest of the research as the conventional blade. Eight additional configurations resulted from rotating either or both of the movable sections, forward or backward. The change in flow rate and head associate with each case was recorded for each blade configuration and compared to the conventional case.

The performance is computed for a design speed of 1450 rpm, as well as 3 other rotational speeds, namely: 1200, 900, and 700 rpm.

Further investigation was carried using computational fluid mechanics to analyze the flow patterns through a pump with an impeller having a conventional blade configuration. The performance curve produced by the simulation satisfactory the curve obtained experimentally.

The results showed that, splitting the impeller blade's does not change the normal pump characteristics behavior of the backward-curved blade, but it affects the pump efficiency, head, flowrate, and cavitation depending on the number of splitted parts, position of splitting and the direction of rotation of the splitted parts (backward or forward).

Acknowledgements

Praise is to **ALLAH** whose help has been behind whatever success may have been achieved in this practical research.

I owe my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Maj. Gen. Prof. Ibrahim Saleh Mostafa**. His guidance was essential during the research and much of knowledge gained during the work resulted for investigations promoted by his gentle inquisition and curiosity.

As well, I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Raouf Nassif Abd Elmassih** for initiating the topic of this thesis, continuous help, support, and technical assistance during impeller production, conducting the experimental part of this thesis.

My sincere appreciation would be to **Dr. Ehab Mouris Mina** for his effective advices, guidance which contributed to the completion of this work.

Also, I would to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to **Col. Dr. Ahmed Salah** for his great effort and support in creation of the simulation program and modeling, and for providing the opportunities to discuss the many different problems at any time and place.

I would also like to thank all members of Mechanical Power laboratory of Faculty of Eng. Ain Shams University

My sincere thanks for every one helped me for achieving this thesis.

Finally, I wish to express special appreciation to my mother, my wife, and my daughters (Nada, Menna, Merrna). This phase of my education was long, challenging and demanding: without their support, I could not complete the task.

Contents

Nomenclature	vi
List of figures.....	ix
Chapter 1	i
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE SURVEY	- 1 -
1.1 Overview.....	- 1 -
1.2 Literature survey	- 3 -
1.2.1 Experimental Studies:	- 4 -
1.2.2 Numerical Studies:	- 8 -
1.3 Literature Survey Outcomes:.....	- 14 -
1.4 Problem Definition:	- 15 -
1.5 Objectives:	- 15 -
1.6 Thesis Outline:	- 15 -
Chapter 2	- 17 -
EXPERIMENTAL TEST RIG ARRANGEMENT	- 17 -
2.1 Design and manufacturing of Experimental Test Rig:	- 19 -
2.1.1 Impeller Blade Calculations:	- 20 -
2.1.2 Different impeller configurations:.....	- 22 -
2.1.3 Instrumentations:	- 29 -
2.1.4 Calibration of measuring instrument:	- 30 -
2.2 Measurement procedures.....	- 30 -
Chapter 3	- 32 -
EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	- 32 -
3.1 Effect of different impeller configurations on the pump performance at constant pump speed:	- 32 -
3.1.1 Effect of 1 st Stage configurations on the pump performance:.....	- 32 -
3.1.2 Effect of 2 nd stage configurations on the pump performance	- 34 -
3.1.3 Effect of 3 rd stage configurations on the pump performance.....	- 36 -
3.1.4 Effect of 4 th stage configurations on the pump performance.....	- 37 -
3.1.5 Results discussion and conclusion:.....	- 46 -

3.1.5.1 Results discussion:.....	- 46 -
3.1.5.2 Conclusion:.....	- 48 -
3.2 Effect of different impeller configuration and different pump rotational speed on the pump performance.....	- 49 -
3. 2.1 Effect of different rotational speed on the pump performance for conventional impeller.	- 49 -
3. 2.2 Effect of different pump rotational speeds and 1 st stage configurations on pump performance	- 50 -
3.2.3 Effect of different pump rotational speeds and 2 nd stage configurations on the pump performance	- 55 -
3.2.4: Effect of different pump rotational speeds and 3 rd stage configurations on the pump performance	- 60 -
3. 2.5: Effect of different rotational speeds on the pump and 4 th stage configurations on the pump performance.....	- 65 -
3.2.6 Results discussion and conclusion:.....	- 74 -
3.2.6.1 Results discussion:.....	- 74 -
3.2.6.2 Conclusion:.....	- 75 -
3.3 Effect of pump rotational speed and the blade configurations on pump cavitation.	- 76 -
3.3.1 Effect of blade configuration on the pump cavitation at constant rotational speed.....	- 76 -
3.3.2 Results discussion and conclusion:.....	- 78 -
3.3.2.1 Results discussion:.....	- 78 -
3.3.2.2 Conclusion:.....	- 79 -
3.3.3 Effect of blade configuration on the pump cavitation at different rotational speed.....	- 79 -
3.3.4 Results discussion and conclusion:.....	- 84 -
3.3.4.1 Results discussion:.....	- 84 -
3.3.4.2 Conclusion:.....	- 85 -
Chapter 4	
NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE FLOW FIELD INSIDE CENTRIFUGAL PUMP	- 86 -
4.1 Introduction	- 86 -
4.2 Numerical Details (3D).....	- 87 -
4.2.1 Description of the domain geometry:	- 87 -

4.2.1.1. Pump Impeller	- 87 -
4.2.1.2. Volute	- 90 -
4.2.2 Grid Generation	- 91 -
4.2.2.1 Grid Independent test.....	- 91 -
4.3 Governing equations:	- 96 -
4.4 Turbulent modelling	- 97 -
4.5. Near Wall Treatment	- 99 -
4.6 Boundary Conditions	- 100 -
4.7 Initial Conditions	- 103 -
4.8 Numerical Procedure	- 104 -
4.8.1 Solution Convergence.....	- 105 -
4.8.2 Results and discussion:.....	- 106 -
4.8.2.1 Static pressure distribution	- 107 -
4.8.2.2 Velocity Contour.....	- 109 -
4.8.2.3 Experimental Verification	- 110 -
4.8.3 Comparison between the experimental and numerical Results.....	- 114 -
Chapter 5	- 117 -
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	- 117 -
References	- 119 -
Appendix (A)	- 86 -
Research Pump Design.....	- 123 -
A.1 Design of the research pump.	- 123 -
A-2 Design of vanes:.....	- 129 -
Appendix (B)	- 132 -
RTZ FILE	- 132 -
Appendix (C)	
ERROR ANALYSIS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA.....	- 139 -
C.1 Introduction.....	- 139 -
C.2 Error In Measured Values.....	- 139 -
Appendix (D)	- 141 -
CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	- 141 -

NOMENCLATURE

b	blade width	(m)
BP	brake power	(W)
C _H	$C_H = \frac{gH}{n^2 D^2}$	
C _Q	$C_Q = \frac{Q}{nD^3}$	
D _o	eye diameter	(m)
D ₁	inlet diameter	(m)
D ₂	outlet diameter	(m)
D _h	hub diameter	(m)
D _{sh}	shaft diameter	(m)
D _{su}	suction diameter	(m)
G _b	generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to buoyancy	
G _k	generation of turbulence kinetic energy	
H _p	pump head	(m)
H _{design}	pump design head	(m)
I	phase current	(A)
n	pump rotational speed	(rpm)
N _p	Fluid power	(kW)
N _m	input power	(kW)
N _s	specific speed	(rpm)
P _d	pump discharge pressure	(pa)
P _s	pump suction pressure	(pa)
Q	pump flow rate	(m ³ /s)
Q _{design}	pump design flow rate	(m ³ /s)
R ₁ , R ₂	ring radii	(mm)
S _s	shear stress	(N/m ²)

S_{ij}	modulus of the mean rate-of- strain tensor	
T	shaft toque	(N.m)
u_1, u_2	Tangential inlet & outlet velocity	(m/s)
$u_r \ u_o \ u_z$	velocity components	(m/s)
V	input volt	(V)
v_{r1}, v_{r2}	radial inlet & outlet velocity	(m/s)
v_s	suction velocity	(m/s)
v_1, v_2	relative inlet & outlet velocity	(m/s)
W_p	water power	(W)
Z	number of impeller blades	

Greek Letters

α_1, α_2	vane inlet & outlet angle	(deg)
β_1, β_2	vane inlet & outlet angle	(deg)
γ	fluid specific weight	(kg/m ³)
θ	blade rotating angle	(deg)
η	overall efficiency	(%)
η_m	electric motor efficiency	(%)
η_{max}	pump maximum efficiency	(%)
ρ	fluid density	(kg/m ³)
φ	power factor of electric motor	
μ	dynamic viscosity	(kg/m.s)
μ_T	turbulent viscosity	

Abbreviations

2D	Two-Dimensional.
3D	Three-Dimensional.
B.C	Boundary condition
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics.
DS	Downstream.
max	Maximum value
NS	Navier-Stokes.
PS	Pressure Side.
SS	Suction Side.
US	Upstream.

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Centrifugal pump construction.....	2 -
Figure1.2: Centrifugal pump impeller shroud's	3 -
Figure1.3: Principal dimensions for the backward vaned impeller with slots.....	6 -
Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram of the Experimental test rig arrangement.....	18 -
Figure 2.2: Experimental test rig arrangement with the centrifugal pump	19 -
Figure 2.3: Inlet and outlet velocity diagrams of the impeller	20 -
Figure 2.4: Plotting of v_1 & v_2 - $vr_1, vr_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ against the corresponding radii.....	20 -
Figure 2.5: Vane design using tangent arcs.	21 -
Figure 2.6: Original impeller configuration.....	24 -
Figure 2.7: Original impeller modified blades	25 -
Figure 2.8: First configuration.....	25 -
Figure 2.9: Second configuration	26 -
Figure 2.10: Third configuration	26 -
Figure 2.11: Fourth configuration	27 -
Figure 2.12: Fifth configuration	27 -
Figure 2.13: Sixth configuration.....	28 -
Figure 2.14: Seventh configuration.....	28 -
Figure 2.15: Eighth configuration.....	29 -
Fig. 3.1: Variations of the pump head with the pump flow rates for the 1 st , 2 nd configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	33 -
Figure 3.2: Variations of the pump efficiency with the pump flow rates for the 1 st , 2 nd configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	33 -
Fig. 3.3: Variations of the pump head with the pump flow rates for the 3 rd , 4 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	35 -
Fig. 3.4: Variations of the pump efficiency with the pump flow rates for the 3 rd , 4 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	35 -
Fig. 3.5: Variations of the pump head with the pump flow rates for the 4 th , 6 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	36 -
Fig. 3.6: Variations of the pump efficiency with the pump flow rates for the 4 th , 6 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	37 -
Fig. 3.7: Variations of the pump head with the pump flow rates for the 7 th , 8 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	38 -

Fig. 3.8: Variations of the pump efficiency with the pump flow rates for the 7 th , 8 th configurations and conventional impeller at n= 1450 rpm.	38 -
Fig. 3.9: Variations of the pump head with the pump flow rates for different blade configurations at n= 1450 rpm.	40 -
Fig. 3.10: Variations of the pump efficiency with the pump flow rates for different blade configurations at n= 1450 rpm.	41 -
Fig. 3.11: Variation of pump maximum efficiency for different blade configuration at rotational speed n= 1450 rpm	42 -
Fig. 3.12: Variation of pump design flow rate for different blade configuration at rotational speed n= 1450 rpm	43 -
Fig. 3.13: Variation of the pump design head for different blade configuration at rotational speed n= 1450 rpm	44 -
Fig. 3.19: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for conventional impeller at different pump rotational speed.	49 -
Fig. 3.20: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for conventional impeller at different pump rotational speed	50 -
Fig. 3.21: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for the 1 st configuration at different pump rotational speed.	51 -
Fig. 3.22: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for 2 nd configuration at different pump rotational speed.	51 -
Fig. 3.23: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 1 st configuration at different pump rotational speed.	52 -
Fig. 3.24: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for the 2 nd configuration at different pump rotational speed	52 -
Fig. 3.25: Pump maximum efficiency for different blade configuration, 1 st , 2 nd configurations and the conventional one at different rotational pump speed.....	54 -
Fig. 3.26: Pump design flow rate for different blade configuration, 1 st , 2 nd configurations and the conventional one at different rotational pump speed.....	54 -
Figure 3. 27: Pump design head for different blade configuration, 1 st , 2 nd configurations and the conventional one at different rotational pump speed.	55 -
Fig. 3.28: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for, 3 rd configuration at different pump rotational speed.	56 -
Fig. 3.29: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for, 4 th configuration at different pump rotational speed.....	56 -

Fig. 3.30: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 3 rd configuration at different pump rotational speed.	57 -
Fig. 3.31: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 4 th configuration at different pump rotational speed.	57 -
Fig. 3.32: Pump maximum efficiency for different blade configuration, 3 rd , 4 th configurations and the conventional on at different rotational speed.....	58 -
Fig. 3.33: Pump design flow rate for different blade configurations, 3 rd , 4 th configurations and the conventional one at different pump rotational speed.	58 -
Fig. 3.34: Pump design head for different blade configurations, 3 rd , 4 th configurations and the conventional one at different pump rotational speed.	59 -
Fig. 3.35: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for 5 th configuration at different pump rotational speed.	61 -
Fig. 3.36: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for 6 th configuration at different pump rotational speed.	61 -
Fig. 3.38: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 6 th configuration at different pump speed.	62 -
Fig. 3.39: Pump maximum efficiency for different blade configuration, 5 th , 6 th configurations and the conventional one at different rotational pump speed.....	64 -
Fig. 3.40: Pump design flow rate for different blade configuration, 5 th , 6 th configurations and the conventional one at different rotational speed...	64 -
Figure3. 41: Pump design head for different blade configuration, 5 th , 6 th configurations and the conventional one at different rotational speed.....	65 -
Fig. 3.42: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for 7 th configuration at different pump rotational speed.	66 -
Fig. 3.43: Pump head versus the pump flow rate for 8 th configuration at different pump speeds.....	66 -
Fig. 3.44: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 7 th configuration at different pump speed.	67 -
Fig. 3.45: Pump efficiency versus the pump flow rate for 8 th configuration at different pump speed.	67 -
Fig. 3.46: Pump maximum efficiency for different blade configuration, 7 th , 8 th configurations and the conventional one at different rotational speed..	69 -
Fig. 3.47: Pump design flow rates for different blade configuration 7 th , 8 th configurations and the conventional one at different rotational speed...	69 -