



Innovation of novel nanocomposites for photocatalytic removal of some organic pollutants

A Thesis

**Submitted for the Degree of Master of Science
As Partial Fulfillment for Requirements of Master of Science
"Chemistry Department"**

By

Ayman Soltan Tawfeek Mohammed

**B.Sc. in applied Chemistry, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
2012**

Under Supervision of

Dr. Sami Sobhy Anis

**Professor of Physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University**

Dr. Michel Fahmi Abdel-Messih

**Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University**

Dr. Mohamed Abd El-hay

**Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University**

2017

**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Chemistry Department**



Approval Sheet

Innovation of novel nanocomposites for photocatalytic removal of some organic pollutants

By
Ayman Soltan Tawfeek Mohammed
B.Sc. in applied chemistry, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
2012

This thesis for Master degree has been approved by:

Dr. Sami Sobhy Anis

Professor of Physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Michel Fahmi Abdel-Messih

Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Abd El-hay

Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

**Head of Chemistry Department
Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Hussainy Ali Badr**

Acknowledgment

First and last thanks to Allah who give me the power to go forward in a way illuminated with his merciful guidance.

*I would like to express my thanks to **Prof. Dr. Sami Sobhy**, Professor of physical chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for giving me the chance to be one of his students.*

*Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: **Dr. Michel Fahmi**, Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, and **Dr. Mohamed Abd El-hay**, Assistant Professor of physical Chemistry, faculty of Science, Ain Shams University who helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Without their precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research.*

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my parents and to my brother and sisters for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my fiancée in general.

Contents

List of figures.....	(VII – XI)
List of tables.....	(XII – XIII)
List of abbreviations.....	(XIV – XV)
Aim of work.....	(XVI – XVII)
Abstract.....	XVIII
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Heterogenous photocatalysis as efficient method for the removal of dye pollutant from water	2
1.1. Introduction.....	2
1.2. Mechanism of photocatalytic process.....	7
1.3. Drawbacks of TiO ₂ & ZnO as photocatalysts.	10
1.3.1. Electron-hole recombination.....	10
1.3.2. Inactivity of TiO ₂ and ZnO under visible light.....	10

1.4. Modification of (ZnO and TiO ₂) via metal doping.....	11
1.5. Literature survey.....	12
1.5.1. Ag doped TiO ₂	12
1.5.2. Ag doped ZnO.....	17
Chapter 2: Experimental.....	24
2.1. Materials.....	25
2.2. Method of preparation.....	26
(Combined sol-gel/chemical reduction method)	
2.2.1. Introduction.....	26
2.2.2. Procedure.....	29
2.2.2.1. Pure and Ag doped TiO ₂	29
2.2.2.2. Pure and Ag doped ZnO	30
2.3. Physicochemical Characterization.....	31
2.3.1. Structural analysis.....	32
2.3.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).....	32

2.3.1.2. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).	33
2.3.2. Textural analysis using nitrogen physisorption method.....	33
2.3.3. Optical analysis.....	34
2.3.3.1. Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (DRS).....	34
2.3.3.2. Photoluminescence, PL, Spectroscopy....	34
2.4. Photocatalytic activity of prepared samples...	35
2.4.1. Spectrophotometric Measurements	36
2.4.2. Measurement of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD).....	37
2.4.3. Detection of hydroxyl radical.....	37
Chapter 3: Results and Discussion.....	39
3.1. Ag doped TiO ₂	40
3.1.1. Physicochemical characterization.....	40
3.1.1.1. Structural analysis.....	40

3.1.1.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).....	40
3.1.1.1.2. High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM).....	43
3.1.1.2. Textural analysis using nitrogen physisorption method.....	47
3.1.1.3. Optical analysis.....	52
3.1.1.3.1. Diffused Reflectance Spectra (DRS)....	52
3.1.1.3.2. Photoluminescence, PL, spectra.....	55
3.1.2. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue and indigo carmine dyes over pure and silver doped titanium dioxide.....	56
3.1.2.1. Kinetics of dye degradation.....	56
3.1.2.2. Chemical Oxygen Demand.....	64
3.1.2.3. The proposed mechanism for Ag/TiO ₂ photocatalytic activity.....	65
3.1.2.3.1. Under UV illumination.....	65
3.1.2.3.2. Under visible illumination.....	68

3.2. Ag/ZnO.....	69
3.2.1. Physicochemical characterization.....	69
3.2.1.1. Structural analysis.....	69
3.2.1.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD).....	69
3.2.1.1.2. High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscope (HRTEM).....	71
3.2.1.2. Textural analysis using nitrogen physisorption method.....	74
3.2.1.3. Optical analysis.....	76
3.2.1.3.1. Diffused Reflectance Spectra (DRS)....	76
3.2.1.3.2. Photoluminescence, PL, spectra.....	79
3.2.2. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue and indigo carmine dyes over pure and silver doped zinc oxide.....	80
3.2.2.1. Kinetics of dye degradation.....	80
3.2.2.2. Chemical Oxygen Demand.....	88

3.2.2.3. The proposed mechanism for Ag/ZnO photocatalytic activity.....	89
3.2.2.3.1. Under UV illumination.....	89
3.2.2.3.2. Under visible illumination.....	92
Chapter 4: Conclusion.....	93
References.....	96

List of figures

Figure 1. Chemical structure of various dyes.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of electron transfer reactions that occur on a photocatalyst particle.

Figure 3. Unit cell structure of (a) TiO_2 anatase form and (b) ZnO wurtzite phase.

Figure 4. Direct and indirect oxidation of dyes.

Figure 5. Schematic representation of different stages during sol-gel method.

Figure 6. The structure of chitosan

Figure 7. Schematic illustration of the photocatalytic reactor used in testing the prepared samples photocatalytic activity.

Figure 8. XRD patterns of TiO_2 and various Ag/TiO_2 powders.

Figure 9. HRTEM images of TiO_2 (a), TiAg0.04 (b), TiAg0.5 (c), TiAg1 (d), TiAg3 (e), and TiAg5 (f).

Figure 10. HRTEM images for individual nanocrystals of TiAg0.04 (a), TiAg0.5 (b), TiAg3 (c), and TiAg5 (d).

Figure 11. N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms of TiO_2 (a) and TiAg5 (b).

Figure 12. V_a -t plots of TiO_2 (a) and TiAg5 (b).

Figure 13. Pore size distribution curves of TiO_2 (a) and TiAg5 (b).

Figure 14. The absorption spectra for pure and Ag doped TiO_2 powdered samples.

Figure 15. $(A\text{h}\nu)^2$ vs. photon energy ($h\nu$) plots for pure and silver doped TiO_2 samples.

Figure 16. PL spectra for pure and 5 wt. % Ag doped TiO_2 .

Figure 17. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue over TiO_2 (a), TiAg0.01 (b), TiAg0.02 (c), TiAg0.04 (d), TiAg0.5 (e), TiAg1 (f), TiAg3 (g), and TiAg5 (h).

Figure 18. Photocatalytic degradation of indigo carmine over TiO_2 (a), TiAg0.01 (b), TiAg0.02 (c), TiAg0.04 (d), TiAg0.5 (e), TiAg1 (f), TiAg3 (g), and TiAg5 (h).

Figure 19. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue over TiAg5 under solar irradiation.

Figure 20. COD values of methylene blue dye and its corresponding degradation products that arise from its treatment with TiO_2 and TiAg5 photocatalysts under 4 hours of UV irradiation.

Figure 21. Schematics of Ag/TiO_2 photocatalytic mechanism: (a) under visible light and (b) under UV light.

Figure 22. Fluorescence spectral changes observed during illumination of TiAg5 in 5×10^{-5} M NaOH solution of terephthalic acid (excitation at 315 nm).

Figure 23. XRD patterns of ZnO and various Ag/ZnO powders.

Figure 24. HRTEM images of ZnO (a), ZnAg0.04 (b), ZnAg0.1 (c), ZnAg1 (d), ZnAg3 (e) and ZnAg5 (f).

Figure 25. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of ZnO (a) and ZnOAg3 (b).

Figure 26. The absorption spectra for pure and Ag doped ZnO powdered samples.

Figure 27. $(Ah\nu)^2$ vs. photon energy ($h\nu$) plots for pure and silver doped ZnO samples.

Figure 28. PL spectra for pure and 3 wt. % Ag doped ZnO.

Figure 29. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue over ZnO (a), ZnAg0.01 (b), ZnAg0.02 (c),

ZnAg0.04 (d), ZnAg0.1 (e), ZnAg1 (f), ZnAg3 (g), and ZnAg5 (h).

Figure 30. Photocatalytic degradation of indigo carmine over ZnO (a), ZnAg0.01 (b), ZnAg0.02 (c), ZnAg0.04 (d), ZnAg0.1 (e), ZnAg1 (f), ZnAg3 (g), and ZnAg5 (h).

Figure 31. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue over ZnAg3 under solar irradiation.

Figure 32. COD values of methylene blue dye and its corresponding degradation products that arise from its treatment with ZnO and ZnAg3 photocatalysts under 4 hours of UV irradiation.

Figure 33. Schematics of Ag/ZnO photocatalytic mechanism: (a) under visible light and (b) under UV light.

Figure 34. Fluorescence spectral changes observed during illumination of ZnAg3 in 5×10^{-5} M NaOH solution of terephthalic acid (excitation at 315 nm).

List of tables

Table 1. Crystal parameters of TiO_2 anatase form and ZnO wurtzite phase.

Table 2. The crystallite size of pure and Ag doped TiO_2 nanocrystalline powders.

Table 3. Band gap energy and the corresponding absorption edge of the prepared samples.

Table 4. Ag wt. % vs. Ag/ TiO_2 samples adsorption capacity for removal of MB and IC dyes %.

Table 5. Ag wt. % vs. Ag/ TiO_2 samples photoactivity for MB and IC degradation %.

Table 6. Ag wt. % vs. rate constant of MB and IC photocatalytic degradation reaction with Ag/ TiO_2 samples.

Table 7. The crystallite size of pure and Ag doped ZnO nanocrystalline powders.

Table 8. Band gap energy, and the corresponding absorption edge of the prepared samples.