

QUALITY PROPERTIES OF SOME BAKERY PRODUCTS FROM COMPOSITE FLOUR

By

AHMED MOHAMED EL-SAYED ABOU-ELAZM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Science and Technology), Ain shams University, 2009

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

**Agricultural Science
(Food Science and Technology)**

**Department of Food Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2015

Approval Sheet

QUALITY PROPERTIES OF SOME BAKERY PRODUCTS FROM COMPOSITE FLOUR

By

AHMED MOHAMED EL-SAYED ABOU-ELAZM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Science and Technology), Ain shams University, 2009

This thesis for M.Sc degree has been approved by:

Dr. Alaa El-Din Salama Mohamed

Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Al
Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

Dr. El-Sayed Ibrahim Yousif Abou El-Seoud

Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Dr. Hemat Elsheshetawy Elsheshetawy Ali

Associated Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Ibrahim Rizk Sayed Ahmed Rizk

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Date of Examination: / / ٢٠١٥

QUALITY PROPERTIES OF SOME BAKERY PRODUCTS FROM COMPOSITE FLOUR

By

AHMED MOHAMED EL-SAYED ABOU-ELAZM

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Food Science and Technology), Ain shams University, 2009

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ibrahim Rizk Sayed Ahmed Rizk

Prof. Emeritus of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Hemat Elsheshetawy Elsheshetawy Ali

Associated Prof. of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Salah Hamza Mohamed Bedir

Head Researcher of the Institute of Food Technology Research,
Agricultural Research Center

ABSTRACT

Ahmed Mohamed El-Sayed Abou-Elazm: Quality Properties of Some Bakery Products from Composite Flour. Unpublished Master of Science Dissertation, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2015

This study was carried out to investigate the possibility of utilization of sorghum and chickpea flour in production of pan bread, sponge cake and biscuit. Strong wheat flour of (72% extraction) was replaced by 5, 10, 15 and 20% whole sorghum or chickpea flour. The effect of such replacement on physical and chemical characteristics of the resulted blends and baking properties, as well as, the acceptability of produced pan bread, sponge cake and biscuit were studied. The results indicated that addition of sorghum or chickpea flour to strong wheat flour (72% extraction) lead to increase lipids, fiber and ash contents. Also, crude protein was increased by added chickpea flour in the resulted blends, while wet or dry gluten and gluten index was reduced. Water absorption and mixing tolerance index increased by increasing the levels of chickpea flour, while water absorption was decreased by added sorghum flour. Dough stability, maximum resistance to extension, proportional number and energy were decreased by the increasing the levels of sorghum or chickpea flour compared to control (strong wheat flour 72%). Falling number values of all blends were decreased with increasing the replacement level with sorghum or chickpea flour. Meanwhile, liquefaction number was increased. The replacement of wheat flour with 10% sorghum or chickpea flour is successfully produced pan bread without any unfavorable change in the sensory characteristics of prepared bread. The results revealed that crude protein, lipids, ash and crude fiber contents of prepared pan bread had gradually increased with increasing the replacement level with sorghum or chickpea flour in comparison to control pan bread sample, except the crude protein decreased for bread produced by added sorghum flour. At 10% sorghum or chickpea flour replacement, the resulted pan bread was not

significantly different from control for crust color, summity of form, texture and aroma. Generally, it could be concluded that, the pan bread produced by substitution with 10% sorghum or chickpea flour gave bread loaves more sensory acceptable rather than the bread produced by added 15% sorghum or chickpea flour. The partial replacement of wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour is not improving the rate of bread staling in comparison to control sample. Lipids, ash and crude fiber contents of cake samples were increased as increasing the replacement level of wheat flour by sorghum or chickpea flour. The replacement of weak wheat flour (72% extraction) with 10% chickpea flour improves the volume and specific volume of cake in comparison to control sample. No significant differences ($p>0.05$) in colour, flavor and texture could be observed between the control samples and cake produces from wheat flour substituted with 10% sorghum or chickpea flour, for cake produced with and without cake improver . Generally, it could be concluded that, chickpea flour successfully replace wheat flour with 10 or 15% in produced sponge cake without any unfavorable changes especially for the cake produced by added cake improver.

For biscuit, crude protein, fat, ash and crude fiber had significantly increased with increasing the replacement level with sorghum or chickpea flour in comprising to prepared biscuit contained 100% wheat flour (control). There is a significant decreased in both of biscuit volume and specific volume for biscuit samples which prepared by substitution of wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour except 10% chickpea flour compared to control sample. On the other hand, there were no significant differences between the thickness and spread ratio of 100% wheat flour biscuit (control) compared to sorghum or chickpea - wheat composite flour biscuit.

Generally, it could be concluded that replacement of wheat flour with chickpea flour gave biscuit more sensory acceptable rather than those of sorghum flour.

Key words: Sorghum flour, chickpea flour, wheat flour, Rheological properties, physical measurements, pan bread, cake, biscuit, staling and sensory evaluation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of **God**, most gracious, most merciful. All praises are due to Almighty **Allah** who granted me the ability to perform this assay. His Great mercy has been guiding my efforts all along the course of my M.Sc program study

I would like to express sincere appreciation and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim R. S. Rizk**, Professor of Food Science and Technology, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, great helps, valuable guidance and his kind encouragements for me during the present investigation.

I wish to express my gratitude and deep thanks to **Dr. Hemat E. Elsheshetawy** Associate Professor of Food Science and Technology, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for supervision, continuous support in this investigation and help during preparing and writing the manuscript.

Deep gratitude and since appreciation to **Dr. Salah H. B. Mohamed**, Head of Research, Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, for his supervision, guidance and every possible help kindly offered during this investigation

My appreciation is extended to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed M.M. Abd El-Razik** Professor of Food Science and Technology and **Dr. Mohamed G. E. Gadallah** Associate Professor of Food Science and Technology, Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for great helps valuable guidance and continuous support in the course of this investigation.

Thanks to all staff members of Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for their continuous support.

My thanks extend to all the staff members in the Bread and Pastries Department, Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, for their help during this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	XII
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
2.1. Chemical composition of raw materials.	6
2.1.1. Wheat flour.	6
2.1.2. Sorghum flour.	8
2.1.3. Chickpea flour.	10
2.1.4. Chemical composition of composite flour.	11
2.2. Wet gluten, dry gluten and sedimentation test.	12
2.3. Rheological properties of wheat flour dough and its blends with sorghum or chickpea flour.	14
2.3.1. Farinograph parameters.	15
2.3.1.1. Behavior of wheat flour dough.	15
2.3.1.2. Behavior of wheat flour dough and its blends with whole sorghum flour.	16
2.3.1.3. Behavior of wheat flour dough and its blends with whole chickpea flour.	17
2.3.2. Extensograph parameters.	19
2.3.2.1. Behavior of wheat flour dough.	19
2.3.2.2. Behavior of wheat flour dough and its blends with sorghum flour.	20
2.3.2.3. Behavior of wheat flour dough and its blends with chickpea flour.	21
2.4. Falling number.	23
2.5. Gassing power.	24
2.6. Effect of composite flour on bakery products quality.	24
2.6.1. Pan bread.	24

2.6.1.1. Chemical composition.	24
2.6.1.2. Physical properties.	25
2.6.1.3 Sensory evaluation.	27
2.6.1.4 Staling rate.	29
2.6.2. Chemical composition, physical properties and sensory evaluation of sponge cake.	30
2.6.3. Chemical composition, physical properties and sensory evaluation of biscuit.	31
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
3.1. Materials.	36
3.1.1. Wheat flour.	36
3.1.1.1 Strong wheat flour (72% extraction).	36
3.1.1.2 Weak wheat flour (72% extraction).	36
3.1.2. Sorghum and Chickpea grains.	36
3.1.3. Ingredients	36
3.1.3.1. Instant active dry yeast.	36
3.1.3.2. Sugar.	36
3.1.3.3. Salt (Sodium chloride).	36
3.1.3.4. Baking powder.	37
3.1.3.5. Shortening.	37
3.1.3.6. Vanilla.	37
3.1.3.7 Sponge cake improver.	37
3.1.3.8. Poly ethylene (PE).	37
3.1.4. Chemicals.	37
3.2. Methods.	37
3.2.1. Technological methods.	37
3.2.1.1. Preparation of sorghum and chickpea flour.	37
3.2.1.2. Preparation of composite flour blends.	38
3.2.2. Analytical methods.	38
3.2.2.1. Chemical analysis.	38
3.2.2.2. Physical analysis.	39
3.2.2.2.1. Farinograph test.	39

3.2.2.2.2. Extensograph test.	39
3.2.2.3. Falling number.	39
3.2.2.4. Wet and dry gluten.	40
3.2.2.5. Sedimentation test.	41
3.2.3. Processing of bakery products.	42
3.2.3.1. Pan bread processing.	42
3.2.3.2. Sponge cake processing.	42
3.2.3.3. Biscuit processing.	43
3.2.4. Physical properties of bakery products.	44
3.2.4.1. Physical properties of pan bread and sponge cake.	44
3.2.4.2. Physical properties of biscuit.	44
3.2.5. Determination of bread staling.	44
3.2.6. Gassing power test.	45
3.2.7. Sensory evaluation of bakery products.	45
3.2.7.1 Sensory evaluation of pan bread.	45
3.2.7.2 Sensory evaluation of sponge cake.	46
3.2.7.3 Sensory evaluation of Biscuit.	46
3.2.8. Statistical analysis.	46
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	48
4.1. Chemical composition of wheat, sorghum and chickpea flour.	48
4.2. Wet gluten, dry gluten, gluten index, gluten absorption and sedimentation test values of wheat, sorghum and chickpea flour.	55
4.3. Rheological properties of strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	60
4.3.1. Farinograph parameters of dough behavior of strong wheat flour and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	60
4.3.2. Extensograph parameters of dough behavior of strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with	69

whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	
4.3.2.1. Extensograph parameters of dough behavior of strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole sorghum flour.	69
4.3.2.2. Extensograph parameters of dough behavior of strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole chickpea flour.	72
4.4. Rheological properties of weak wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	76
4.4.1. Farinograph parameters of dough behavior of weak wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	76
4.4.2. Extensograph parameters of dough behavior of weak wheat flour (72% ext.) and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	79
4.5. Falling number and liquefaction number of strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	83
4.6. Gassing power of composite flour dough from strong wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	86
4.7. Effect of composite flour on pan bread quality	89
4.7.1. Chemical composition of different pan bread samples.	89
4.7.2 Physical measurements of fresh pan bread prepared by substitution of strong wheat flour with whole sorghum and chickpea flour.	92
4.7.3. Sensory evaluation of fresh pan bread prepared by substitution of strong wheat flour with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	96
4.7.4. Staling of pan bread prepared by substitution of	101

strong wheat flour with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	
4.8. Effect of composite flour on sponge cake quality	105
4.8.1. Chemical composition of different sponge cake samples.	105
4.8.2. Physical measurements of fresh sponge cake prepared by substituted of weak wheat flour (72% ext.) with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	108
4.8.3. Sensory evaluation of fresh sponge cake prepared by substituted of weak wheat flour (72% ext.) with whole sorghum or chickpea flour.	113
4.9. Effect of composite flour on biscuit quality.	119
4.9.1. Chemical composition of different biscuit samples.	119
4.9.2. Physical measurements of biscuit prepared by substituted of weak wheat flour by sorghum or chickpea flour.	123
4.9.3. Sensory evaluation of biscuit prepared by substitution of wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour.	126
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	130
6. REFERENCES	137
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Blends of wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour	38
2	Ingredient of pan bread	42
3	Sponge cake formulations	43
4	Report sheet of pan bread	45
5	Report sheet of sponge cake	46
6	Report sheet of biscuit	46
7	Proximate chemical composition of wheat, sorghum and chickpea flours (% on dry weight basis)	51
8	Proximate chemical composition of strong wheat flour replacement with different levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour	52
9	Reducing and non-reducing sugars (%) of wheat flour (72% extraction) and different substitution levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour (on dry weight basis)	54
10	Wet, dry gluten, gluten index, gluten absorption and sedimentation test values of wheat flour and its blends with whole sorghum or chickpea flour	57
11	Farinograph parameters of wheat flour replacement with different levels of sorghum flour	62
12	Farinograph parameters of wheat flour replacement with different levels of chickpea flour	63
13	Extensograph parameters of wheat flour dough replacement with different levels of sorghum flour	70
14	Extensograph parameters of wheat flour dough replacement with different levels of chickpea flour	73
15	Farinograph parameters of dough behavior of weak wheat flour and different substitution levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour	77

16	Extensograph parameters of dough behavior of weak wheat flour and different substitution levels with whole sorghum or chickpea flour	81
17	Falling number (sec.) and liquefaction number of wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution levels with sorghum or chickpea flour	83
18	Gas production of composite flour dough made from wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution levels with sorghum flour	87
19	Gas production of composite flour dough made from wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution levels with chickpea flour	87
20	Proximate chemical composition of pan bread prepared by partial replacement of strong wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour (% on dry weight basis)	90
21	Physical measurements of pan bread prepared by partial replacement of wheat flour (72% ext.) with sorghum or chickpea flour	93
22	Sensory characteristics of fresh pan bread prepared by partial replacement of wheat flour (72% ext.) with sorghum or chickpea flour	97
23	Alkaline water retention capacity (AWRC%) of pan bread prepared by partial replacement of wheat flour with sorghum or chickpea flour	102
24	Proximate chemical composition of sponge cake prepared from different composite flours (% on dry weight basis)	106
25	Physical measurements of sponge cake prepared with wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution with sorghum or chickpea flour	108

26	Physical measurements of sponge cake prepared with wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution with sorghum or chickpea flour by added (1% cake improver)	112
27	Sensory characteristics of sponge cake prepared with wheat flour and different substitution levels with sorghum or chickpea flour	114
28	Sensory characteristics of sponge cake prepared with wheat flour and different substitution levels with sorghum or chickpea flour by added 1% cake improver	118
29	Chemical composition (% on dry weight basis) of biscuit prepared from weak wheat flour substituted with either sorghum or chickpea flour	120
30	Physical measurements of biscuit prepared by wheat flour (72% ext.) and different substitution with sorghum or chickpea flour	124
31	Sensory characteristics of biscuit prepared by partial replacement of wheat flour (72% ext.) with sorghum or chickpea flour	127