"An Integrated Model Predicts the Performance of Combination Drive Reservoirs"

By

Mohamed Halafawi Yehia Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted To

Department of Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgical Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

In partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Petroleum Engineering

Under Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Waly Abdallah Abdel-Waly

Petroleum Engineering Professor, Cairo University

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Giza, Egypt
2014

"An Integrated Model Predicts the Performance of Combination Drive Reservoirs"

By

Mohamed Halafawi Yehia Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted To:

Department of Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgical Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

In partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Petroleum Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Giza, Egypt
2014

"An Integrated Model Predicts the Performance of Combination Drive Reservoirs"

By

Mohamed Halafawi Yehia Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted To

Department of Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgical Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

In partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Petroleum Engineering

Approved by Examining Committee:

Prof. Dr. Abdel-Waly Abdallah Abdel-Waly	y (Supervisor)
(Petroleum Engineering Professor, Cairo Univ	versity)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khairy Ali Ahmed	(Internal Examiner)
(Petroleum Engineering Professor, Cairo Univ	rersity)
Prof. Dr. Shedid Ali Shedid	(External Examiner)
(Global Taxes Company Consultant)	

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Giza, Egypt

Acknowledgement

I thank the Almighty God for giving me the help, strength, and towards accomplishing all my academics endeavors and realizing my personal dreams.

I would like to thank my supervisor, and mentor *Prof. Dr. Abdel-Waly Abdallah Abdel-Waly* for giving me this opportunity. My deepest appreciation is for his motivation, guidance, and sound advice throughout the entire program.

Finally, I would like to thank all members of my family, especially my parents for their prayers and patience.

Abstract

Depletion performance of combination drive oil reservoirs is highly influenced by changes in reservoir rock and fluid data, relative permeability data, and PVT data of reservoir.

Future prediction of combination drive oil reservoirs is therefore difficult due to the long term and huge equations, and the sensitivity of data especially the PVT data and relative permeability curve.

In this thesis, an integrated analytical model was constructed with a computer program to simulate the combination drive oil reservoirs performance. It has coupled the general material balance equation with water Influx equations, water-Invaded pore volume equations, gas- invaded pore volume equations, oil and gas saturation equations, and fluids contacts equations for petroleum reservoirs. All these equations are solved simultaneously and with reservoir depletion stages.

The different equations used in the integrated model have been programmed so that it can be utilized in history match mode to estimate original fluids in place, aquifer parameters, and saturation distribution of oil, gas, and water. The model also estimates fluids contacts level, and effective recovery factor during gas movement from higher to lower level and water aquifer movement from lower to higher level towards oil zone in all reservoir depletion stages.

The model has been validated using published cases for various oil reservoirs conditions. It has been shown a good match between the results of published cases and the integrated model ones for these reservoirs. After validating the integrated model, it has been used to simulate an Egyptian Combination Drive Reservoir. The

reservoir production history has been matched and the future production performance for this reservoir was carried out.

In this study, it was noted that the model is capable to predict reservoir performance under water or gas injection or both.

Lis	st of Tables	I
Lis	et of Figures	.II
No	menclaturesV	/II
Ch	apter (1): Introduction.	.1
Ch	apter (2): Literature Review	4
Ch	apter (3): Statement of the Problem.	.19
Ch	apter (4): Model Procedures	21
A.	History Analysis of Combination Drive Reservoirs.	21
	Predicting the Future Performance of Combination Drive Reservoirs	.35
	Predicting the Performance of Combination Drive Reservoir during Water and Gas Injection	
1.	Simplified Flow Diagram for History Analysis of Combination Drive	
	Reservoirs	.46
2.	Simplified Flow Diagram for Prediction the Future Performance of Combinatio	n-
	Drive Reservoirs.	.49
3.	Simplified Flow Diagram for Water Influx Calculations	.52
4.	Simplified flow diagram for predicting the performance of combination drive	
	reservoirs during water and gas injection	.55
Ch	apter (5): Program Verification	.58
Ch	apter (6): Application on an Egyptian Oil Field.	86
Ch	apter (7): Conclusions and Recommendations	11
Re	ferences1	12
Ap	pendix1	16
A	akia Abatuaat	oΛ

List of Tables page

<i>Table (4-1):</i>	A simplified program for determining the type of decline usi	ng
Excel sheet	······································	37
Table (5-1):	Pressure Production History Case (1)	59
Table (5-2):	Reservoir PVT Data Case (1)	59
Table (5-3):	Reservoir Rock and Fluid Data Case (1)	60
Table (5-4):	Pressure Production History Case (2)	71
<i>Table (5-5):</i>	Reservoir Rock and Fluid Data Case (2)	72
Table (5-6):	Reservoir PVT Data Case (2)	73
Table (5-7):	Reservoir Relative Permeability Data Case (2)	73
Table (6-1):	Summary of main lab. Results for the Egyptian oil field	39
Table (6-2): (Composition of Stock Tank (by Flash/Extended Chromatograph)	y
up to C40) fo	r the Egyptian oil field9) 1
	Composition of Flashed Gas (by capillary Gas Chromatography ian oil field9	
Table (6-4).	Average Flashed Gas Properties for the Egyptian oil field.94	
Table (6-5):	Pressure Production History for the Egyptian oil field	99
Table (6-6): H	Reservoir Rock and Fluid for the Egyptian oil field1	00

Figure (1-1): Combination-drive reservoir
Figure (2-1): Oil saturation adjustment for gas-cap expansion and water
influx7
Figure (2-2): Trapped gas saturation (S_{gr}) versus initial gas saturation (S_{gi})8
Figure (2-3): Water-oil fractional flow curve with Welge tangent and S _{wavg} 10
Figure (2-4): Gas-oil fractional flow curve with Welge tangent and S_{gavg} 10
Figure (2-5): Havlena and Odeh straight-line plot
Figure (2-6): Havlena and Odeh straight-line plot for combination drive
reservoirs
Figure (2-7): Schematic of fluid saturations in a reservoir with a primary gas cap and
water leg where the GOC moves down and the WOC moves up16
Figure (2-8): The difference between two different bounded reservoirs
Figure (4-1): A simplified flow diagram for Welge method in case of water
displacement
Figure (4-2): A simplified flow diagram for Welge method in case of gas
displacement
Figure (4-3): Future prediction flow diagram for Combination drive
reservoirs
Figure (4-4): Driving indexes in a combination-drive reservoir
Figure (5-1): Aquifer Constant (C) by using Model and Aquifer Constant (C) for
the Actual Data versus Time Case(1)61
Figure (5-2): Oil Saturation in un-invaded Zone by using different Equations that
presented by Smith, Wooddy, and Tarek Ahmed versus Time Case (1)62
Figure (5-3): Free Gas Saturation in un-invaded Zone by using different Equations
that presented by Smith, Wooddy, and Tarek Ahmed versus Time
Case(1)62
Figure (5-4): Residual Saturations in Invaded Zones by using different Equations and
methods that presented by Welge, Naar, and Assumed values in previous Researches
versus Time Case(1)

Figure (5-5): Average Gas Saturation (S_{gavg}) in Gas Invaded Zone and Average
Water Saturation (S_{wavg}) in Water Invaded Zone versus Time Case (1)63
Figure (5-6): Water Oil Contact (WOC) Depth versus Pore Volume above Water Oil
Contact (PV _{WOC}) Case (1)64
Figure (5-7): Gas Oil Contact (GOC) Depth versus Pore Volume Above Gas Oil
Contact (PV _{GOC}) Case (1)64
Figure (5-8): Drive Indices versus Time Case (1)
Figure (5-9): Recovery Factor for Depletion Drive (DD), Water Drive (WD), Gas
Cap Drive (GCD), and Effective Recovery Factor (RF $_{\!\!\!\text{eff}})$ versus Time Case (1)65
Figure (5-10): History Pressure Data and Future Reservoir Pressure by Developed
Model and IMBAL Software compared with The Actual Pressure Data versus Time
Case(1)66
Figure (5-11): Comparison between Water Influx by Aquifer Model, Material
Balance Equation (MBE), and IMBAL Software in History Region and Future Region
versus Time Case(1)
Figure (6-12): The produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation at Average pressure
Between two Time Step (ProdGOR1 @ S_{gavg} Calculated at P_{avg} =(P_1+P_2)/2, the
Produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation Calculated from Gas Saturation at each
step (ProdGOR2 @ S_{gavg} =(S_{g1} + S_{g2})/2), and The Produced GOR from IMBAL
Software versus Time Case(1)67
Figure (5-13): The Error Percentage of GOR versus Time Case (1)
<i>Figure (5-14):</i> Oil rate versus Time from Production History data for case (2)74
Figure (5-15): Gas rate versus Time from Production History data for case (2)74
Figure (5-16): Water rate versus Time from Production History data for Case (2)75
Figure (5-17): Aquifer Constant (C) by using Model and Aquifer Constant (C) for
the Actual Data versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-18): Oil Saturation in un-invaded Zone by using different Equations that
presented by Smith, Wooddy, and Tarek Ahmed versus Time Case (2)76
Figure (5-19): Residual Saturations in Invaded Zones by using different Equations
and methods that presented by Welge, Naar, and Assumed values in previous
Researches versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-20): Average Gas Saturation (Sgavg) in Gas Invaded Zone and Average
Water Saturation (S_{wavg}) in Water Invaded Zone versus Time Case (2)77

Figure (5-21): Water Oil Contact (WOC) Depth versus Pore Volume above Water
Oil Contact (PV _{WOC}) Case (2)
Figure (5-22): Gas Oil Contact (GOC) Depth versus Pore Volume Above Gas Oi
Contact (PV _{GOC}) Case (2)78
Figure (5-23): Drive Indices versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-24): Recovery Factor for Depletion Drive (DD), Water Drive (WD), Gas
Cap Drive (GCD), and Effective Recovery Factor (RF _{eff}) versus Time Case (2)79
Figure (5-25): History Pressure Data and Future Reservoir Pressure by Developed
Model and IMBAL Software compared with The Actual Pressure Data versus Time
Case (2)80
Figure (5-26): Comparison between Water Influx by Aquifer Model, Materia
Balance Equation (MBE), and IMBAL Software in History Region and Future Region
versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-27): The produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation at Average pressure
Between two Time Step (ProdGOR1 @ S_{gavg} Calculated at P_{avg} = $(P_1+P_2)/2$, the
Produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation Calculated from Gas Saturation at each
step (ProdGOR2 @ S_{gavg} =(S_{g1} + S_{g2})/2), and The Produced GOR from IMBAL
Software versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-28): The Error Percentage of GOR versus Time Case (2)
Figure (5-29): The effect of gas injection and water injection on recovery factor82
Figure (6-1): Sand Thickness Map for the Egyptian oil field
Figure (6-2): Stracture Contour Map for the Egyptian oil field
Figure (6-3): Oil formation volume factor versus pressure for the Egyptian oil field.94
Figure (6-4): Solution gas oil ratio versus pressure for the Egyptian oil field95
Figure (6-5): Gas formation volume factor versus pressure for the Egyptian oilfield.95
Figure (6-6): Oil viscosity versus pressure for the Egyptian oil field96
Figure (6-7): Gas viscosity versus pressure for the Egyptian oil field96
Figure (6-8a): Resistivity(LLD&MSFL) and Porosity Well logs for the Egyptian of field
Figure (6-8b): Gamma Ray Well log for the Egyptian oil field
Figure (6-9): Havlena and Odeh approach for the Egyptian oil Field for determining the OOIP and Aquifer Constant

Figure (6-10): Oil Saturation in un-invaded Zone by using Equation that presented by Wooddy versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-11): Average Gas Saturation (S_{gavg}) in Gas Invaded Zone and Average Water Saturation (S_{wavg}) in Water Invaded Zone versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-12): Residual Saturations in Invaded Zones by using different Equations and methods that presented by Welge, Naar, and Assumed values in previous Researches versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-13): Drive Indices versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-14): Recovery Factor for Depletion Drive (DD), Water Drive (WD), Gas
Cap Drive (GCD), and Effective Recovery Factor (RF _{eff}) versus Time for the
Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-15): Water Oil Contact (WOC) Depth versus Pore Volume above Water
Oil Contact (PV _{WOC}) for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-16): Gas Oil Contact (GOC) Depth versus Pore Volume Above Gas Oil
Contact (PV _{GOC}) for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-17): History Pressure Data and Future Reservoir Pressure by Developed
Model and IMBAL Software versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field106
Figure (6-18): Comparison between Water Influx by Aquifer Model, Material
Balance Equation (MBE), and IMBAL Software in History Region and Future Region
versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field
Figure (6-19): The produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation and Average pressure Between two Time Step (ProdGOR1 @ S_{gavg} Calculated at P_{avg} =(P_1 + P_2)/2, the Produced GOR at Average Gas Saturation Calculated from Gas Saturation at each step (ProdGOR2 @ S_{gavg} =(S_{g1} + S_{g2})/2), and The Produced GOR from IMBAL Software versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field.
Figure (6-20): The Error Percentage of GOR versus Time for the Egyptian oil Field

Nomenclatures

A = Area of the reservoir, acres

B = aquifer constant, bbl/psi

BGOC = Bottom gas-oil contact, ft sub sea

BWOC = Bottomwater-oil contact, ft sub sea

 $C_f = Compressibility of the aquifer formation, psi⁻¹$

 $C_t = \text{Total}$ (water plus formation) compressibility, Psi⁻¹

 $C_w = Compressibility of the water, psi-1$

f = Encroachment angle, degree

 f_g = Fraction of gas in the flowing stream in the reservoir, fraction

 $f_{\mbox{\scriptsize w}} = Fraction$ of water in the flowing stream in the reservoir, fraction

G = Initial gas-cap gas, scf

 G_{fg} = Cumulative free gas produced from un-invaded oil zone to end of period, Mcf at std. condition

G_i = Cumulative injected gas at standard conditions, SCF

 $G_{i(ext)}$ = Cumulative gas injected in excess of that produced from the beginning of pressure maintenance operations to end of the period, Mcf at std. condition

GOC = Gas-oil contact, ft sub sea

GOR= Instantaneous gas-oil ratio, scf/STB

 G_p = Cumulative gas produced, scf

 G_{pc} = Cumulative gas production from the gas cap, SCF

 G_x = Total volume of gas in any segment at end of period, ft^3

H = Formation thickness, ft

h = Thickness of reservoir, ft

 h_w = aquifer thickness, ft

 k_{rg} = Relative permeability of the reservoir rock to gas

 K_{ro} = Relative permeability of the reservoir rock to oil

 $K_{\text{ro}}/K_{\text{rg}} = \text{Ratio of the relative permeabilities of the reservoir rock to oil and gas}$

 K_{ro}/K_{rw} = Ratio of the relative permeabilities of the reservoir rock to oil and water

 K_{rw} = Relative permeability of the reservoir rock to water

m = Ratio of initial gas-cap-gas reservoir volume to initial reservoir oil volume, bbl/bbl

 M_1 = Slope of the In K_{ro}/K_{rg} vs Sg curve

 $M_2 =$ Slope of the In K_{ro} vs S_g curve

 $M_3 = Slope$ of the In K_{ro}/K_{rw} vs S_w curve

 M_4 = Slope of the In $K_{ro}vs S_w$ curve

N = original oil-in-place, STB

 N_p = Cumulative oil produced, STB

 N_{pg} = Oil production from the gas-invaded zone, STB

t = Total elapsed time since initial days production,days

OGIP = original free gas-in-place in standard conditions, SCF

OGOC = original gas-oil contact, ft sub sea

OOIP = original oil-in-place at surface conditions, STB

OWOC = original water-oil contact, ft sub sea

 P_b = Bubble point pressure, psi

 P_D = dimensionless pressure

 $P_{D'}$ = first derivative of dimensionless pressure

P_i= Initial reservoir pressure, psi

 P_{owc} = pressure at the original OWC, psi

 P_{owf} = pressure at the oil/water front, psi

 P_r = reservoir pressure, psi

PV = pore volume of the reservoir, res bbl

 PV_{BOTTOM} = pore volume from the bottom to the top of the reservoir, res bbl

PV_{BWOC} = pore volume from bottom water-oil contact to top of reservoir, res bbl

PV_{GOC} = pore volume from gas-oil contact to top of reservoir, res bbl

PV_{OGOC} = pore volume from original gas-oil contact to top of reservoir, res bbl

PV_{OWOC} = pore volume from original water-oil contact to top of reservoir, res bbl

PV_{WOC} = pore volume from water-oil contact to top of reservoir, res bbl

 Q_{tD} = dimensionless flow rate

 $Q_{\text{Tx}} = \text{Total volume of fluid invading any segment during period, } \text{ft}^3$

 r_a = radius of the aquifer, ft

r_D = dimensionless radius, ra/re

 r_e = radius of the reservoir, ft

 R_{gc} = Current gas/oil contact radius,ft

Rgi = Initial gas/oil contact radius, ft

 R_o = Original oil reservoir radius, ft

 R_{oc} = Current oil/water contact radius

Rp = Cumulative produced gas-oil ratio, scf/STB

Rs = current gas solubility, scf/STB

R_{si}= Original dissolved gas-oil ratio, SCF/STB

 S_g = gas saturation, fraction

 S_{gc} = critical gas saturation, fraction

 S_{go} = Gas saturation in oil zone, fraction

 S_{gr} = Residual gas saturation in the shrinking zone, fraction

 S_{grg} = Residual gas saturation in the gas-invaded zone, fraction

 S_{grw} = Trapped gas saturation in the water-invaded zone, fraction

 S_{gt} = trapped gas saturation, fraction

Sin (Θ) = Sine of the angle of formation dip

 S_{org} = Residual oil saturation in the gas-invaded zone, fraction

 S_{orgw} = residual oil saturation to oil displacement followed by water displacement,

fraction

 S_{orw} = Trapped oil saturation in the water-invaded zone, fraction

 $S_{\text{orwg}} = \text{residual}$ oil saturation to gas displacement followed by water displacement,

fraction

 S_{wa} = Average water saturation in the water- invaded zone, fraction

 S_{wi} = initial or connate water saturation (S_{wc}), fraction

t = Time, days

 $t_D = dimensionless time$

Ti = Initial reservoir temperature, °F

 t_i = cumulative elapsed time at end of jth interval, days

 V_g = reservoir volume of remaining gas at a given reservoir pressure, res bbl

 V_0 = reservoir volume of remaining oil at a given reservoir pressure, res bbl

 W_e = Cumulative water influx, bbl

 W_i = initial volume of water in the aquifer, bbl

 $W_{i(ext)}$ = Cumulative water injected in excess of that produced from the beginning of pressure maintenance operation to end of the period, bbl

W_{inj}= Cumulative water injected, STB

WOC = water-oil contact,ft sub sea

 W_p = cumulative water production, STB

 β_g = Gas formation volume factor at average reservoir conditions, bbl /SCF