

**IMPROVEMENT OF FUNGAL DISEASE
TOLERANCE IN TOMATO PLANTS, USING
GENE TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY**

By

DALIA SAYED AHMED HASANEEN

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2001

M.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Genetics), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2008

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Agricultural Sciences

(Genetics)

Department of Genetics

Faculty of Agriculture

Cairo University

EGYPT

2016

APPROVAL SHEET

IMPROVEMENT OF FUNGAL DISEASE TOLERANCE IN TOMATO PLANTS, USING GENE TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY

Ph. D. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Genetics)

By

DALIA SAYED AHMED HASANEEN

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2001

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Genetics), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2008

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. MOHAMED ABD EL-SALAM RASHED.....
Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Ein Shams University

Dr. SAWSAN SAMY YOUSSEF
Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. REDA ELWANY A. MOGHAIEB
Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: 2 / 6 / 2016

SUPPERVISION SHEET

**IMPROVEMENT OF FUNGAL DISEASE TOLERANCE IN
TOMATO PLANTS, USING GENE TRANSFER
TECHNOLOGY**

Ph. D. Thesis

In

Agricultural Sci. (Genetics)

By

DALIA SAYED AHMED HASANEEN

B.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Biotechnology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2001

M.Sc. Agric.Sci. (Genetics), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2008

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. AHMED NAGIB ELSAYED SHARAF

Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. REDA ELWANY ABD ELHALEEM MOGHAIEB

Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. ABD ELHADI ABD ALLAH ABD ELHADI

Associate Professor of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Name of Candidate: Dalia Sayed Ahmed **Degree:** Ph.D.
Title of Thesis: Improvement of Fungal Disease Tolerance in Tomato
Plants, Using Gene Transfer Technology
Supervisors: Dr. Ahmed Nagib El Sayed Sharaf
Dr. Reda Elwany Abd Elhaleem Moghaieb
Dr. Abdelhadi A. Abdelhadi
Department: Genetics **Branch:**
Approval: 2 / 6 / 2016

ABSTRACT

Tomato yield is severely affected by *Fusarium* fungal disease. In order to investigate the influence of the genetic background on fungal resistance, 30-day old seedlings from four tomato cultivars (namely; Pomodora, Castle Rock, Super strain B, and Red star) were subjected to artificial infection with *Fusarium oxysporum lycopersici* sp. The data showed that the cultivars differ genetically for their response to fungal resistance. Based on fusarium specific ITS marker early diagnosis of fungal infection was detected. The disease severity data indicate that the cultivar Castle rock proved to be tolerant to Fusarium infection followed by the cv. Pomodora. Plant defense enzymes were increased under fungal infection. The regeneration capacity among the tested cultivars was investigated. The data indicate that, embryogenic calli were formed within 7 days in MS medium containing 1 mg l^{-1} 2,4-D. Adventitious shoots emerged from the embryonic calli in the presence of 2 mg l^{-1} BA. Shoot regeneration frequencies varied between tomato cultivars according to their genetic makeup. Regeneration frequency was higher in the cultivar Pomodora 48% compared with the other cultivars tested. The optimum condition of transformation was determined for the cultivars CastleRock and Super Strain B. The hypocotyl explants isolated from both cultivars were co-cultivated with *A. tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 harboring a binary vector pBI-121 containing the neomycin phosphotransferase-II gene (*npt-II*). The successful integration of the transgene was confirmed by PCR analyses. The *gus* gene expression can be detected only in the transgenic plants. To improve fungal resistance, cultivars Castle Rock and Super Strain B were transformed with *E.coli katE* genes. The transformation efficiency was 5.6 and 3.5% for cultivars Castle Rock and Super Strain B , respectively. PCR and DNA Dot blot techniques confirmed the integration of the *katE* genes into transgenic tomato genome. RT-PCR analysis confirmed that *kat-E* could be expressed normally in the transgenic plants. Green house experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of the introduced *kat-E* gene on tomato fungal tolerance. The data showed that the transgenic lines expressed different levels of fungal tolerance as expressed by the performance of plants disease severity and catalase concentration. These results show that the *kat-E* gene may enhance fungal tolerance by increasing catalase enzyme concentration.

Key words: Tomato, hypocotyl, *gus*, *kat-E*, *Fusarium*, *Agrobacterium*.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to whom my heartfelt thanks: to my father, mother, sisters, children and brother, for all the support and encouragement they continually offered along the period of my postgraduate studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*At first, I would like to thank **ALLAH** that allowed me to achieve this work without his bless any great effort is invaluable.*

*I wish to express my deep gratitude to **Dr. Reda E. Moghaieb**, Professor of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University,, for supervision, continued assistance, guidance, great interest, encouragement, following the progress of the work with great interest and continuous criticism through the course of the study. I would like also to express my deep appreciations and utmost gratitude to the soul of **Dr. Ahmed N. Sharaf**, late Professor of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for his superviseion of this investigation, encouragement, unlimited help, moral support and valuable guidance throughout the achievement of this study.*

*My special and deep thanks to **Dr. Sawzan S. Youssef**, Emeritus Professor of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Sincere thanks are due to **Dr. Ahmed M. EL-Sharkawy** Professor of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his generous help. My special and deep thanks to **Dr. Abdelhadi A. Abdelhadi** for his valuable help, and encouragement during this work, and many thanks are due to all the members of the Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for their continuous help, facilities and moral support and Genetic Engineering Research Center, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.*

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7
1. Fungal disease stress in tomato	7
2. DNA markers associated with fungal disease resistance in tomato	10
3. Regeneration system in tomato	13
4. Transformation system in tomato	17
5. Transgenic fungal resistant plants	20
6. Expression of catalase (<i>Kat E</i> gene) and resistance in transgenic plants	24
MATERIALS AND METHODS	28
1. Plant Materials	28
2. Fusarium isolates	28
3. Characterization of fungal resistance among four tomato cultivars.....	30
a. Physiological parameters.....	30
b. Plant sample preparation	
c. Change in enzymatic activities in fungal stressed tomato plants.....	30
4. Detection of Internal Transcribed Spacer (<i>ITS</i>) in tomato cultivar and in <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> sp.....	33
a. DNA isolation from fungal isolates	33
b. Tomato DNA extraction	33

c. PCR reactions	34
d. Detection of the PCR products.....	35
5. Optimization of tomato regeneration system using mature zygotic embryos	35
a. Seed sterilization and germination.....	36
b. Callus induction.....	36
c. Induction of somatic embryos.....	36
d. Shoot elongation and acclimatization.....	37
e. Genetic stability among regenerated tomato plants using peroxidase isozyme Pattern and RAPD	37
6. Optimization of tomato transformation system	44
a. <i>Agrobacterium</i> strain and plasmid	45
b. Co-cultivation	46
7. Evaluation of putative transgenic tomato plants.....	47
8. Production of <i>kat-E</i> expressing tomato plants.....	48
9. Evaluation of the fungal resistance enhanced in transgenic tomato plants.....	55
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	57
1. Molecular identification of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> isolate used.....	57
2. Evaluation of fungal disease resistance among four tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> Mill) cultivars	59
a. Pathogenicity test	60

b. Molecular diagnosis of early infection by <i>F. o. lycopersici</i> in tomato plants	61
c. Changes in plant defense enzyme activity under fungal infection.....	62
3. Regeneration capacity among of four tomato cultivars	66
a. Genetic stability among regenerated tomato plants	71
4. Optimization of the transformation system from tomato hypocotyl	74
5. Production of fungal disease resistant transgenic tomato plantlet expressing the bacterial <i>katE</i> gene.....	78
a. Molecular analysis of <i>KatE</i> expressing tomato plants.....	81
b. Analysis of <i>Kat-E</i> gene expression.....	83
6. Evaluation of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> disease resistance in transgenic tomato plants expressing the bacterial <i>kat-E</i> gene.....	84
a. Disease severity.....	85
b. Catalase concentration	86
SUMMARY.....	91
REFERENCES.....	96
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Code number and origin of the four tomato cultivars used.....	28
2.	The sequence of the two specific primers used and their annealing temperature.....	35
3.	The nucleotide sequence of the primer used for PCR analysis.....	48
4.	The nucleotide sequence of the <i>katE</i> primers used for PCR analysis	50
5.	Analysis of variance showing the difference between cultivars in Catalase, Peroxidase and SOD enzyme activities under artificial infection with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i>	65
6.	The differences in callus induction percentages among four tomato cultivars	67
7.	Shoot regeneration capacity differences among four tomato cultivars.....	69
8.	R.F. values for peroxidase isozyme in mother plants (Green House 1,2 and 3) and regenerated plants (4,5,6,7,8 and 9).....	71
9.	Similarity percentages among regenerated plants in comparison to their mother plants as detected by RAPD analysis.....	73
10.	The transformation percentage of tomato cultivars co-cultivated with <i>A. tumefaciens</i> harboring the binary vector <i>pBI-121</i>	76

11. Transformation frequencies for fungal disease resistant transgenic tomato plantlets expressing the bacterial <i>katE</i> gene	83
---	----

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1.	<i>Fusarium o. lycopersici</i> . isolate grown on PDA Medium.....	29
2.	Schematic illustration of the pBI-121 plasmid; Abbreviations: RB: right border; LB: left border; <i>GUS</i> : β -glucurodinase; <i>NPT-II</i> : neomycin phosphotransferase-II gene and 35SP: Cauliflower Mosaic Virus promoter (CaMV35S).....	45
3.	Schematic illustration of the pBI-121 plasmid; Abbreviations: RB: right border; LB: left border; <i>KatE</i> : <i>E. coli catalase</i> gene; <i>NPT-II</i> : neomycin phosphotransferase-II gene; 35SP: Cauliflower Mosaic Virus promoter (CaMV35S); Nos-ter: Cauliflower Mosaic Virus terminator and recS: Transit peptide to chloroplast	49
4.	Agarose gel electrophoresis showing amplification of 431 bp. fragment of the ITS region of the genus <i>Fusarium</i> (A). amplification of 183 bp. fragment of <i>fum1</i> gene (B). Lane(1): DNA ladder(100 bp.) Lane (2): <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> (Control) Lane (2-3): <i>Fusarium</i> isolates	59
5.	Symptoms of disease in tomato plants under artificial infection with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i> for 45 day	60
6.	Disease severity in four tomato cultivars (Castle rock, Red star, Pomodora and Super strain B) compared their control plants under artificial infection with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i> for 45 day	61
7.	Agarose gel electrophoresis showing the detection of <i>Fusarium</i> specific ITS fragment (431 bp) in tomato plants infected by <i>Fusarium</i> . M: DNA ladder (100 bp.) Lane(1): Non-infected plants (control) Lane (2-10): <i>Fusarium</i> infected plants.....	62

8. Catalase contents in control and tomato plants infected with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i> for 45 day. (T= Treated, C= Control) Data are means of five replicate $\pm$ SE	63
9. Peroxidase contents in control and tomato plants infected with <i>F. lycopersici</i> for 45 day. (T= Treated, C= Control) Data are means of five replicate $\pm$ SE	64
10. SOD contents in control plants and infected tomato under infection with <i>F. lycopersici</i> for 45 day. (T= Treated, C= Control) Data are means of five replicate $\pm$ SE	64
11. Somatic embryogenesis in tomato. Arrows indicate the different developmental stages of somatic embryogenesis.....	69
12. Peroxidase isozyme profile of the mother plants (lanes 1-3) and regenerated plants	71
13. Genetic similarity among regenerated plants and their mother plants as revealed by RAPD analysis.....	72
14. Recovery of transgenic tomato plant expressing the <i>gus</i> gene.....	76
15. Histochemical <i>gus</i> assay indicating the <i>gus</i> gene expression transgenic calli.....	77
16. PCR confirmation of the stable integration of the <i>npt-II</i> gene into plant genome.....	78
17. Molecular analysis of transgenic tomato plants expressing the bacterial catalase (<i>Kat-E</i>) gene.	82
18. The RT-PCR analysis confirming expression of the <i>Kat-E</i> gene in T ₂ transgenic tomato genome	83
19. The difference in plant growth between W.T. (control) and <i>Kat E</i> expressing plant under artificial infestation with <i>F.o.</i>	

<i>lycopersici</i>	86
20. Catalase concentration in three transgenic tomato lines (from Cv. Super Strain -B) in comparison with their wild type under artificial infection with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i>	87
21. Disease severity in transgenic tomato lines (CRTL1, CRTL2 and SSTL1 and SSTL2) compared their control plants under artificial infection with <i>F. o. lycopersici</i> for 45 day	88

INTRODUCTION

Originating from the Andes, tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) were imported to Europe in the 16th century. At present, this plant is common around the world, and has become an economically important crop, tomato ranks 7th in worldwide production after maize, rice, wheat, potatoes, soybeans and cassava, reaching a worldwide production of around 160 million tons on a cultivated area of almost 4.8 million hectares in 2011 (FAOSTAT 2011). Tomato is considered a protective food because of its particular nutritive value, as it provides important nutrients such as lycopene, beta-carotene, flavonoids, vitamin C and hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives. Furthermore, this crop has achieved tremendous popularity especially in recent years with the discovery of lycopene's anti-oxidative activities and anti-cancer functions (Wu *et al.* 2011; Raiola *et al.* 2014). Thus, tomato production and consumption are constantly increasing. It is noteworthy that tomatoes are not only sold fresh, but also processed as soups, sauces, juices or powder concentrates.

It is a diploid plant with $2n = 24$ chromosomes. The tomato belongs to the *Solanaceae* family, which contains more than 3,000 species, including plants of economic importance such as potatoes, eggplants, tobacco, petunias and peppers (Bai and Lindhout 2007).

Tomato is an excellent model for both basic and applied research programs. This is due to possessing a number of useful features, such as the possibility of growing under different cultivation conditions, its relatively short life cycle, seed production ability, relatively small genome (950 Mb),