



# Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) Inhibitors in Pediatric Kidney Transplantation "A Systematic review"

# Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **Pediatrics** 

By

Amr Mohamed Salem Ahmed Reda (M.B & B.CH)

Under supervision of

# **Dr. Fatina Ibrahim Fadel**

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

## Dr. Hafez Mahmoud Bazaraa

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

# Dr. Rascha Essam El Din Galal

Lecturer of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University 2012

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



صدق الله العظيم (سورة طه، الآية ١١٤)

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I thank **Allah** the most graceful for the knowledge and persistence to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to prof.

Dr. Fatina Fadel, for her guidance and supervision of this work.

I would also like to thank **Dr. Hafez Bazaraa**, for his continuous supervision throughout this work and his valuable guidance to conduct a systematic review.

I must also thank **Dr. Rascha Essam**, for her guidance and meticulous revision of the theoretical background part of this work.

I will be always be thankful to my beloved mother who has been always a great example of care for her patients, guidance for her young colleagues.

Finally, I would like to thank my beloved father, my supporting wife, and all my family members who were a constant source of support and motivation.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Key words: (mTOR – sirolimus – everolimus – kidney transplantation)

Kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice for most patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Strategies to increase donor organ availability and to prolong the transplanted kidney's survival have become priorities in kidney transplantation. Current success in pediatric transplantation is mostly attributed to improvement in immunosuppressive therapy, and the provision of age - appropriate clinical care. This review aimed to evaluate the short and long-term benefits and harms of mammalian target of Rapamycin (mTOR) Sirolimus and Everolimus when used inhibitors: in primary immunosuppressive regimens for kidney transplant recipients.

# **CONTENTS**

	Pages
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE:	
• Chapter I: End stage renal disease in children	3
Chapter II: kidney transplantation	7
• Chapter III: Immunology and immunosuppressive	
protocols	41
• Chapter IV: Recent trends in immunosuppressive therapy	64
METHODS	
RESULTS	80
DISCUSSION	
CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	
SUMMARY	
REFERENCE	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table No.	Title	Page
1.	Categorization of the 19 relevant studies	81
2.	Outcomes for M-TOR with CNI in three adult RCTs	91
3.	Comparison between different doses of M-TOR with CNI	93
4.	Outcomes of sirolimus with CNI	94
5.	Outcomes of everolimus with CsA	95
6.	Three year outcomes of everolimus with CsA	95
7.	Outcomes of sirolimus without CNI	96
8.	Outcomes of sirolimus (without CNI) versus CsA	98
9.	Outcomes of everolimus with low dose CsA	99
10.	Outcomes of M-TOR with low dose CsA in pediatric patients.	100

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure No.	Title	Page
1.	Percent graft survival by transplant era and doner source	10
2.	Kidney transplantation procedure	24
3.	The three signal model of alloimmune response	43
4.	Role of each of immunosuppressive drugs	47
5.	Mechanism of action of immunosuppressive drugs	48
6.	1-year graft-patient survival rates befor and after CsA use	50
7.	Mechanism of action of IL-2 antibodies	69
8.	Kaplan-Meier estimate of the percentage of subjects of death, graft loss and the 1 <sup>st</sup> rejection episode (dual therapy )	70
9.	The complementary effect of simulect and neural in immunosuppression	72
10.	The co-stimulation blockage with belatacept	74
11.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR with CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding graft-patient survival.	92
12.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR with CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding biopsy proven acute rejection	92
13.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR with CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding steroid-resistant acute rejection	92
14.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR with CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding hyperlipidemia	93
15.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR without CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding graft-patient survival.	97
16.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR without CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding biopsy proven acute rejection	97
17.	meta analysis showing comparison between M-TOR without CNI (experimental) group and CNI alone (control) group regarding GFR	97

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

**ACE** Angiotensin converting enzyme

**APC** Antigen presenting cell

**ATG** Antithymocyte globulin

**ATN** Acute tubular necrosis

**AZA** Azathiprine

**BAS** Basiliximab

**BPAR** Biopsy-proven acute rejection

**BUN** Blood urea nitrogen

**CAN** Chronic allograft nephropathy

**CBC** Complete blood count

**CCTPT** Cooperative Clinical Trials In Pediatric Transplantation

**CMV** Cytomegalovirus

**CNI** Calcineurin inhibitor

**CSA** Cyclosporine

**CVP** Central venous pressure

**CXR** Chest X ray

**CYP** Cytochrome p

**DAC** Daclizumab

**DGF** Delayed graft function

**DIC** Disseminated intravascular coagulopathy

**EBV** Ebstein-barr virus

**ECG** Echo cardigram

**EC-MPA** Enteric coated mycophynolic acid

**ELISA** enzyme linked immunosorbent assay

**ESRD** End-stage renal disease

GCSF Granulocyte colony stimulating factor

**GFR** Glomerular Filteration Rate

**HBsAg** Hepatitis B surface antigen

**HIV** Human immunodeficiency virus

**HLA** Human leukocytic antigen

**HPLC** High-performance liquid chromatography

**HPV** Human papilloma virus

**HSV** Herpes simplex virus

**HTLV-1** Human T-lymphotropic virus type I

**HTN** Hypertension

**IFTA** Interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy

**IGF** Insulin-like growth factor

**IgG** Immunoglobulin G

**IgM** Immunoglobulin M

**IL-2r** Interlukin 2 receptor

IQ Intelligence quotient

**IV** Intravenous

**IVP** Intravenous pyelogram

**IVIG** Intravenous immunoglobulin

**LVH** Left ventricular hypertropy

MAP Mitogen-activated protein

MCH Major histocompitability complex

MMF Mycophenolate mofetil

MMR Mumps – Measels – Rubella

**M-TOR** mammalian target of rapamycin

**NAPRTCS** North North American Pediatric Transplant

Cooperative Study

**CS** Cooperative study

**PCR** Polymerase chain reaction

**PTDM** Post-transplant diabetes mellitus

**PTH** Parathyroid hormone

**PTLD** Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease

**PTT** Partial thromboplastin time

**RCT** Randomized controlled trial

**rhGH** Recombinant human growth hormone

**RPR** Rapid plasma reagin

**SDS** Standard deviation score

**TAC** Tacrolimus

**TB** Tuberculosis

TCR T cell receptor

**TT** Thrombin time

**UTI** Urinary tract infection

**VDRL** Verereal disease research laboratory

**VZIG** Varicella-zoster immunoglobulin

**VZV** Varicella-zoster virus

**WOFIE** Window Of Opportunity For Immunologic Engagement

#### INTRODUCTION

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is a rare but important health problem among children (*Danovitch*, 1996). Kidney transplantation is universally accepted as the therapy of choice for children with ESRD (*USRDS*, 2005). Successful transplantation not only ameliorates uremic symptoms, but also allows for significant improvement of delayed skeletal growth, sexual maturation, cognitive performance and psychological functioning (*Fine et al.*, 2001).

Current success in pediatric renal transplantation is mostly attributed to improvement in immunosuppressive therapy, and the provision of age – appropriate clinical care (*Ghio et al., 2003*). One-year renal graft survival is now in the order of 90% (*UNOS, 2005*), but ensuring very long-term graft survival (i.e up to 10 years) remains a challenge. Chronic allograft nephropathy is the main cause of graft loss (*Racusen et al., 1999*) and nephrotoxic effects associated with the calcineurin inhibitors have been proposed to be a major factor in chronic allograft nephropathy (*Weir et al., 2001*).

Optimal immunosuppression requires a balance between the competing challenges of acute rejection associated with inadequate immunosupression and the risk of excessive immunosuppression with resulting infection, malignancy and drug associated side-effects (*Gonin*, 2000).

Calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) exhibit significant toxicity, including nephrotoxicity, increased cardiovascular risk factors and neoplastic potentials. Cyclosporine (CsA) withdrawal has been used as a strategy to

improve renal allograft function and other CsA-related toxicities. The mTOR inhibitors are used either de novo or as a substitute for CNIs after renal transplantation. The mTOR inhibitors have a different mode of action and a different side effect profile than the CNIs (*Eric Thervet*, 2006), (*Christian et al.*, 2007). It presents a good immunosuppressive efficacy associated with antiproliferative actions. Early withdrawal of CsA with mTOR introduction is associated with a significant improvement of renal function. Complete CsA avoidance has been already reported and is currently under clinical investigation (*Eric Thervet*, 2006).

#### Aim of the work:

The aim of this study is to determine the current best evidence regarding the use of mammalian target of Rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors; Sirolimus and Everolimus, in pediatric kidney transplantation.

#### CHAPTER I

End Stage Renal Disease in Children

## Epidemiology of end stage renal disease in children:-

Data from the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) revealed that in pediatric patients, the annual incidence of end stage renal disease (ESRD) increased marginally from 13 per million of the age-related population (MARP) in the 1988 cohort to 15 per MARP in the 2003 cohort, this is in contrast to the adult incidence rate of 119 per MARP for patients 20-44 years of age and 518 per MARP for those 45-64 years old in the 2003 cohort (*United States Renal data system*, 2005).

The highest estimated incidences for ESRD in children were reported in the United States, New Zealand, and Austria with annual rates of 14.8, 13.6 and 12.4 per million children, respectively (*Warady and Chadha, 2007*). The lowest annual incidence of ESRD was reported in Japan with a rate of 4 per million children at or below 19 years of age.

Due to lack of national registries, the incidence and prevalence data from developing countries primarily originates as reports from major tertiary care referral centers (*Hari et al.*, 2003).

A number of factors influence incidence and prevalence rate variability of childhood ESRD. Factors such as racial and ethinic distribution, type of prevalent renal disease and quality of medical care available for preterminal chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients have a significant impact on patient outcome (*Warady and Chadha*, 2007).

For race, the incidence rate for ESRD in black children in North America is two to three times higher than for white children, irrespective of gender (*United States Renal Data System*, 2001). Also, the incidence and prevalence rates are universally greater for boys than for girls (*Ardissino et al.*, 2003).

## **Etiology of CKD in children:-**

Unlike adults in whom diabetes and hypertension are responsible for the majority of CKD, congenital causes are responsible for the greatest percentage of all cases of CKD seen in children. However, whereas this is the most common reported etiology from developed countries where CKD is diagnosed in its earlier stages, infectious or acquired causes predominate in developing countries, where patients are referred in the later stages of CKD (*Warady and Chadha*, 2007).

In the chronic renal impairment (CRI) registry arm of North American pediatric renal transplant Cooperative study (NAPRTCS), almost one half of the cases are accounted for by patients with the diagnoses of obstructive uropathy (22%), Aplasia/hypoplasia/dysplasia (18%), and reflux nephropathy (8%). Whereas structural causes predominate in the younger patients, the incidence of glomerulonephritis (GN) increases in those older than 12 years. Among the individual glomerular causes, only focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) accounts for a significant percentage of patients (8.7%), Whereas all other glomerulonephritides combined contribute less than 10% of the causes of childhood CKD. for reasons that are as yet not clear, FSGS is three times more common in blacks than in whites (18% vs.6%) and is particularly

common among black adolescents whit CKD (North American Pediatric Renal Transplant Cooperative Study, 2005).

In the ESRD population reported by the European dialysis and transplant association (EDTA) registry, hypoplasia/dysplasia and hereditary diseases were the most common causes for ESRD in the 0-4 year age group, whereas GN and pyelonephritis became progressively more common with increasing age in the majority of reporting countries (van der Heijden et al., 2004).

#### Renal replacement therapy:-

Once the estimated GFR declines to less than 30 ml/min per 1.73 m2 (stage 4 CKD), it is time to start preparing the child and the family for renal replacement therapy (*National Kidney Foundation*, 2002).

The choice of replacement therapy in children is variable. The registry of the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies (NAPRTCS) reports that of patients initiating renal replacement therapy in pediatric centers: One quarter of children underwent preemptive renal transplantation, one half were started on peritoneal dialysis and one quarter were started on hemodialysis (*Seikaly et al.*, 2001).

The high incidence of preemptive renal transplantation in children is in part due to having parents who are a half haplotype match, are relatively young and healthy, and are willing to donate a kidney. In addition, pediatric nephrologists frequently follow their patients from the early stages of CKD and can prepare the patient and their family for transplantation and avoid initiating dialysis (*Seikaly et al.*, 2001).