

# **Transvaginal Ultrasound Cervical Length as an Indicator of Successful Induction of labour**

*Thesis*

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of the master degree of  
Obstetrics and Gynecology*

*By:*

**Hamed Abdelsadek Aziz Hamed Al-Aarag**

M.B.B.Ch, 2009

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

*Supervised by*

**Dr. Noha Hamed Rabie**

*Ass. Prof. of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

*Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Ahmad Hussein Salama**

*Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

*Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University**

**2014**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



*First of all, all gratitude is due to **God** almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.*

*Really I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Dr. Noha Hamed Rabie**, Ass. Prof. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for her supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.*

*I would like also to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Ahmad Hussein Salama**, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.*

*Last but not least, I dedicate this work to my family, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.*



**Hamed Abdelsadek Aziz Hamed Al-Aarag**

# Contents

List of Abbreviations .....	i
List of Tables .....	iii
List of Figs. ....	iv
<b>Introduction and Aim of the Work</b> .....	1
<b>Review of Literature</b> .....	5
The Cervix .....	5
Induction of Labour .....	27
<b>Patients and Methods</b> .....	53
<b>Results</b> .....	60
<b>Discussion</b> .....	82
<b>Summary</b> .....	91
<b>Conclusion</b> .....	97
<b>Recommendations</b> .....	98
<b>References</b> .....	99
<b>Arabic Summary</b> .....	--

---

## List of Abbreviations

---

AUC	: Area under the curve
BMI	: Body mass index
BS	: Bishop score
CL	: Cervical length
Cm	: Centimeter
CS	: Cesarean section
CTG	: Cardiotocography
FFN	: Fetal Fibronectin
FHR	: Fetal heart rate
GAGs	: Glycosaminoglycans
IGFBP-1	: Insulin like growth factor binding protein-1
IQR	: Interquartile range
IU	: International units
Kg	: Kilograms
LGA	: Large for gestational age
LR-	: Negative likelihood ratio
LR+	: Positive likelihood ratio
Mg	: Milligrams
MHZ	: Mega Hertz
Min.	: Minutes
Mm	: Millimeter
NICU	: Neonatal intensive care unit
NPV	: Negative predictive value
NS	: Non-significant
PCA	: Posterior cervical angle
PGDH	: prostaglandin dehydrogenase
PGE1	: Prostaglandin E1
PGE2	: Prostaglandin E2
PGF2- $\alpha$	: Prostaglandin F2- $\alpha$
PPV	: Positive predictive value
RCOG	: Royal college of obstetricians and Gynecologists

---

## **List of Abbreviations (Cont.)**

---

RCT	:	Randomized controlled trial
ROC	:	Receiver operator characteristics
S	:	Significant
SD	:	Standard deviation
Sec.	:	Seconds
TVS	:	Transvaginal scan
TVUS	:	Transvaginal ultrasound
UK	:	United kingdom
US	:	Ultrasound

## List of tables

<i><b>Table</b></i>	<i><b>Title</b></i>	<i><b>Page</b></i>
1	The Bishop scoring	23
2	Numeric Rating system	23
3	Initial Characteristics of Included Women	61
4	Pre-Induction Status of Included Women	63
5	Characteristics of Induction of labour Process in Included Women	66
6	Neonatal Outcome in Included Women	68
7	Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Initial Characteristics	70
8	Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Pre-Induction Characteristics	72
9	Correlation between Initial Bishop Score, Sonographic Cervical Length and Duration of labour	75
10	Area under ROC Curves for Association between Both Initial Bishop Score and Initial Sonographic Cervical Length, and Successful Induction of labour	80
11	Validity of Initial Bishop Score and Initial Sonographic Cervical Length as Predictors of Successful Induction of labour	81

## List of Figures

<b><i>Fig.</i></b>	<b><i>Title</i></b>	<b><i>Page</i></b>
1	Schematic frontal view of female anatomy	5
2	Sagittal section in the female pelvis	5
3	Simple columnar and stratified squamous epithelium	8
4	Photomicrograph of dried cervical mucus obtained from the cervical canal of a woman pregnant at 32 to 33 weeks	16
5	Cervix near the end of pregnancy (A) and before labour (B)	19
6	The pattern of cervical dilation that takes place during the course of normal labour takes the shape of sigmoid curve, two phases of cervical dilation are the latent phase and active phase	20
7	Dinoprostone structure	30
8	Misoprostol structure	36
9	Mifepristone structure	39
10	Transvaginal ultrasound image for the cervical canal	56
11	Bar-Chart showing Distribution of Maternal Age in Included Women	61
12	Bar-Chart showing Distribution of BMI in Included Women	62
13	Bar-Chart showing Distribution of Gestational Age in Included Women	62
14	Pie-Chart showing Pre-Induction Fetal Membranes Status in Included Women	64
15	Pie-Chart showing Initial Bishop Score in Included Women	64
16	Box-Plot Chart showing Initial sonographic cervical length in Included Women	65



## List of Figures (Cont.)

<b><i>Fig.</i></b>	<b><i>Title</i></b>	<b><i>Page</i></b>
17	Pie-Chart showing Method Used for Induction of labour in Included Women	67
18	Box-Plot Charts showing Durations of labour in Included Women	67
19	Pie-Chart showing Outcome of Induction of labour in Included Women	68
20	Pie-Chart showing Neonatal Gender in Included Women	69
21	Box-Plot Chart showing Apgar Scores in Neonates of Included Women	69
22	Pie-Chart showing Need for NICU in Neonates of Included Women	70
23	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Age	71
24	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Age	71
25	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Initial Membranes Status	73
26	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Initial Bishop Score	73

## List of Figures (Cont.)

<b><i>Fig.</i></b>	<b><i>Title</i></b>	<b><i>Page</i></b>
27	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Initial Bishop Score	74
28	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Women who Had Successful Induction of labour and Women who Had CS for Failed Induction of labour regarding Initial Sonographic Cervical Length	74
29	Scatter Plot showing Correlation between Initial Bishop Score and Sonographic Cervical Length	76
30	Scatter Plot showing Correlation between Initial Bishop Score and Induction-to-Onset of Active Phase Interval	76
31	Scatter Plot showing Correlation between Initial Bishop Score and Induction-to-Delivery Interval	77
32	Scatter Plot showing Correlation between Initial Sonographic Cervical Length and Induction-to-Onset of Active Phase Interval	77
33	Scatter Plot showing Correlation between Initial Sonographic Cervical Length and Induction-to-Delivery Interval	78
34	ROC Curve for Association between Initial Sonographic Cervical Length and Successful Induction of labour	79

## List of Figures (Cont.)

<i><b>Fig.</b></i>	<i><b>Title</b></i>	<i><b>Page</b></i>
35	ROC Curve for Association between Initial Bishop Score and Successful Induction of labour	80

TRANSVAGINAL ULTRASOUND CERVICAL  
LENGTH AS AN INDICATOR OF SUCCESSFUL  
INDUCTION OF labour

Thesis

Submitted by

Hamed Abdelsadek Aziz Hamed Al-Aarag

M.B.B.Ch

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

For partial fulfillment of The Master degree in  
Obstetrics and Gynecology

Supervised by

**Dr. Noha Hamed Rabie**

Ass. Prof. of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Dr. Ahmad Husseiny Salama**

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

2013

## Introduction

The induction of labour is an obstetric procedure for triggering uterine contractions by mechanical, pharmacologic, or both measures in an attempt to achieve vaginal delivery. This therapeutic modality is used in up to 20% of pregnancies (*RCOG, guideline number 9, 2001*).

It represents one of the most common interventions in Obstetrics nowadays, the frequency of which increased from 9.5% in 1991 to 20.6% in 2003. (*Martin JA et al, 2003, and ACOG Committee opinion 2003*).

Planned induction of labour has become an established part of modern obstetric practice (*Shepherd et al.,1981*).

Individual induction of labour, especially in the treatment of abnormal pregnancy (preeclampsia-eclampsia, pyelonephritis), reduces maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. Recall that delivery is either warranted or not. The indication for induction should be so valid that if it fails, delivery is performed by cesarean section. (*Benson & Pernoll, 2001*).

Theoretically, transvaginal ultrasonographic measurement could represent a more accurate assessment of the cervix than digital examination because the supravaginal portion of the cervix usually comprises about 50% of cervical length, but this is highly variable among individuals. This portion is difficult to assess digitally specially if the cervix is closed, in addition, effacement is subjective and can vary considerably among examiners.

The logistic regression model of *Gonen et al. (1998)*, found that only the Bishop score and parity, not cervical

length, significantly correlated with vaginal delivery and duration of labour *"A study done on 86 cases"*.

***Paterson-Brown et al. (1991)***, also found no correlation between cervical length and duration of labour or between cervical length and vaginal delivery. *"A pilot study done on 50 cases"*.

However, ***Tan et al. (2007)*** found that both cervical length and Bishop score are useful predictors of the need for cesarean delivery following labour induction. *"A prospective study was performed on 249 cases"*.

Also ***Pandis et al. (2002)*** found that transvaginal sonographic measurement of cervical length provides a useful prediction of the likelihood of vaginal delivery within 24 h of induction *"A study performed on 240 cases"*.

The Bishop score, since its description in 1964, remains the gold standard for assessing favorability for induction of labour (***Crane, 2006***). Transvaginal sonographic cervical length has been shown, by a number of studies to be a better predictor of cesarean delivery than Bishop Score in women undergoing induction of labour (***Daskalakis et al., 2007; Peregrine et al., 2006; Rane et al., 2005***).

Although the Bishop score is simple and easy to apply, it is a subjective evaluation method and results may vary according to the clinical experience of the person applying it. Vaginal examination allows examining only 50% of cervix and may cause problems in those having a closed external os (***Jackson et al., 1992***).

## **Aim of the work**

The aim of this study is to determine the efficiency of transvaginal ultrasonographic cervical length to predict successful labour induction.

## **Research question**

Is transvaginal ultrasound estimation of cervical length efficient in predicting successful labour induction?

## **Research hypothesis**

Null hypothesis,

Transvaginal ultrasound estimation of cervical length doesn't differ in its ability to predict successful labour induction when compared to clinical Bishop scoring.