

**LAPAROSCOPIC PARAVAGINAL
REPAIR IN MANAGEMENT OF
PROLAPSE OF THE ANTERIOR
VAGINAL WALL
(PARAVAGINAL DEFECTS)**

*Thesis Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of
M.D. Degree in Obstetrics & Gynecology*

BY

Yacoub Ishac Ibraheem

M.S. Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology-Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Professor Dr. Mohamd Abd El-Haleem Mehanna

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams university

Professor Dr. Gamal Abd El-Salam Wafa

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams university

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Cairo

2006

إصلاح سقوط الجدار الأمامى للمهبل المصحوب بضعف الدعامات الجانبيه عن طريق منظار البطن الجراحى

رسالة توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى أمراض النساء والتوليد

مقدمة من

الطبيب / يعقوب اسحق ابراهيم
ماجستير أمراض النساء والتوليد جامعه عين شمس

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/ محمد عبدالحليم مهنا

أستاذ أمراض النساء والتوليد
كلية الطب – جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ جمال عبدالسلام وفا

أستاذ أمراض النساء والتوليد
كلية الطب – جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب
جامعة عين شمس

2006

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Doctor **Mohamed Abd El-Haleem Mehanna**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University. I have the honor to accomplish this work under his supervision.

I wish to express my gratitude to Doctor **Gamal Abd El-Salam Wafa**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, who offered me support and experience during this work.

I would like to thank Doctor **Mohamed Hassen Nasr El-Deen**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his Guidance and supervision.

I would also like to thank Doctor **Mohamed Abd El-Hamid** for his encouragement and help throughout the entire work.

I would also like to thank Doctor **Tarek Shaaban**

At last, but not least, I should not forget to thank every patient who freely cooperated with me to make this work possible. I wish to all those patients the best of health, hoping that this work would be of value to them and others in the future.

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
AIM OF THE WORK.....	4
REVIEW OF LITERATURE:	
• Historical Evolution of surgical repair of anterior vaginal Repair.....	5
• Anatomy of lateral pelvic wall.....	11
• Biomechanics of Genital prolapse.....	60
• Pathogenesis of urogenital prolapse.....	72
• Types of vaginal supports defects.....	92
• Clinical evaluation of pelvic floor.....	98
• Topography of pelvic support.....	111
• Laparoscopic paravaginal repair.....	122
PATIENTS AND METHODS.....	130
RESULTS.....	150
DISCUSSION.....	168
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	179
REFERENCES.....	182
ARABIC SUMMARY	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
1	Passive and Active support structures of the pelvic floor.....	16
2A.1	Parietal pelvic fascia.....	24
2A.2	Visceral pelvic fascia.....	25
2A.3	The Uterosacral ligaments.....	27
2A.4	The Cardinal Ligaments.....	28
2A.5	The Pubocervical ligaments.....	26
2A.6	The Pubocervical Septum or Fascia.....	30
2A.7	The Rectovaginal Septum or Fascia.....	31
2A.8	The Pericervical Ring.....	32
2.B	A Vascular Spaces of the pelvis.....	33
3	Anatomic classification of vaginal support defects.....	92
4	The significance of abnormal finding on neurologic testing.....	104
5	Staging of pelvic organ prolapse based on the POPQ system examination.....	121
6	Various surgical options and their Success rate.....	125
7,8	Frequency as regard age, weight and parity.....	150
9	Prevalence of medical disorders.....	151
10	Distributions of symptoms and urodynamic diagnosis.....	151
11	Grades of cystocele preoperatively.....	152
12	Defined point Aa preoperatively.....	152
13	Defined point Ba preoperatively.....	153
14	Amount of residual urine preoperatively.....	153
15	Concurrent operation done.....	153
16	Mean number of suture taken on each side.....	154
17	Estimated blood loss during operation.....	154
18	Mean Operative time.....	154
19	Frequency of Haematuria occurs.....	155
20	Duration of postoperative catheterization.....	155
21	Postoperative hospital stay.....	156
22	Complications relevant to operation.....	156
23	Operative day in relation to menstrual period.....	157
24	Comparison of pre- and postoperative residual urine.....	157

Continued

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
25	Comparison between pre –and postoperative grading of cystocele.....	158
26	Comparison between defined point Aa pre- and postoperatively.....	159
27	Comparison between defined point Ba pre- and postoperatively.....	159
28	Follow-up period.....	160
29	Comparison between symptoms and Urodynamic pre- and postoperatively.....	161
30	Grades of cystocele in follow up in comparison to preoperative grading.....	162
31	Defined point Aa in patients in follow up in comparison preoperatively.....	162
32	Defined point Ba in patients in follow up in comparison preoperatively.....	163
33	Correlation between blood loss and operative time.....	163
34	Correlation between weight and operative time.....	164
35	Correlation between blood loss and operative day in relation to menstrual cycle.....	165
36	Correlation between operative time and operative day in relation to menstrual cycle.....	166

List of Figures

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Example for Hippocratic succession.....	7
2	Triple compartment of pelvis.....	11
3	Vaginal and uterine support (side view).....	13
4	Normal support as seen on vagina inspection.....	14
5	The Levator Ani musculature.....	15
6	The relationship between the pelvic floor and abdominal cavity.....	17
7	Vaginal support (an abdominal view).....	20
8	Vaginal support (sagittal view).....	20
9	Cross section of the pelvis.....	22
10	Level of support of upper and middle vagina.....	34
11	Superior view of a dissection of the floor of a female pelvis.....	36
12	Midsagittal section of the pelvis.....	39
13	The pelvic diaphragm viewed from below.....	40
14	The pelvic diaphragm viewed from above.....	40
15	Muscles of pelvic floor, lateral view.....	40
16	Lateral attachments of pubocervical fascia and the rectovaginal fascia.....	41
17	Innervation of levator ani, urethral striated sphincter and external anal sphincter muscles.....	43
18	Normal Vaginal Support.....	46
19	Cystocele – Midline defect in Pupocervical fascia (side view).....	47
20	Paravaginal defect.....	48
21	Vaginal prolapse relaxation.....	48
22	Cystourethrocele – as seen on vagina inspection.....	49
23	Triple compartment prolapse.....	49
24a	Normal lateral support.....	51
24b	Paravaginal defect.....	51
25	The retropubic defects and arches.....	51
26	A semiadhesive note as an example of paravaginal defect.....	52
27	Vaginal topography.....	56
28	Vaginal support loss.....	58

Continued

Fig. No.	Title	Page
29	The relationship between the pelvic floor and abdominal cavity.....	61
30	Sagittal section of the pelvis.....	65
31	Compression of the air in a surgical glove everts an in-turned finger in the same way that increased abdominal pressure tends to evert the vagina.....	66
32	Diagrammatic representation of the mechanism of prolapse.....	67
33	Boat in dry dock concept of pelvic organ prolapse.....	70
34	Proposed mechanism for acute injury to pelvic supportive structures at childbirth.....	74
35	Types of cystocele.....	75
36	Descent of fetal head through a fully dilated cervix against an undilated vagina.....	76
37	Scanning photomicrograph of collagen fibers in vivo.....	78
38	Tropocollagen unites.....	80
39	Types of collagen.....	81
40	Sagittal view of the levator ani at the point of delivery....	84
41	Location of pubocervical fascia defects that contribute to anterior vaginal wall.....	94
42	Example of three types of paravaginal defects.....	95
43	Vaginal defect analyzer.....	107
44	A. Lateral loss of support with flattening of the urethrovesical junction. B. lateral support with the curved forceps restores normal support.....	107
45	The vaginal elevator testing positions.....	109
46	Comparison of different classification of pelvic organ prolapse 1963-1998.....	113
47	Halfway system in grading prolapse.....	114
48	Landmarks for quantitative pelvic examination.....	117
49	Grid for recording prolapse measurements from quantitative examination.....	118
50	Normal support and complete prolapse with accompanying recording grid.....	118
51	Anterior vaginal wall prolapse with accompanying recording grid.....	119

Continued

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
52	Laparoscopy incisions.....	138
53	Insertion of the primary and ancillary trocar.....	138
54	Peritoneal incision.....	139
55	Dissection of fascia support.....	139
56	Dissection.....	139
57	Anatomical landmarks.....	140
58	View of Cave Retzius show large defect.....	142
59	White line, lateral vaginal attachment.....	142
60, 61	First Rt paravaginal suture.....	143
62, 63	Suturing on White line.....	145
63a	Repair suture in place.....	145
64	Corrected Rt paravaginal defect.....	146
65	Suture placement.....	146
66	Comparison between pre –and postoperative grading of cystocele.....	158
67	Correlation between blood loss and operative time.....	164
68	Correlation between weight and operative time.....	165
69	Correlation between blood loss and operative day in relation to menstrual cycle.....	166
70	Correlation between operative time and operative day in relation to menstrual cycle.....	167

INTRODUCTION

Pelvic organ prolapse is the downward displacement of structures that are normally located adjacent to the vaginal vault. Because these displacements are each associated with a defect in support structures, they may each be considered hernias. These conditions are common, affecting a progressively larger percentage of women as age advances. Whereas mortality is negligible, significant morbidity is associated with prolapse. Women in developed countries who have access to modern health care can benefit from the advances that have been made in treating prolapse. If the problem is viewed from a worldwide perspective, however, the scope of suffering is much greater. In areas of high parity and little or no access to health care, countless women suffer from problems associated with pelvic organ prolapse with no real possibility of resolution. The direct effect that these conditions have on urinary, gastrointestinal, and sexual functions can only be appreciated by those women burdened with these problems on a daily basis (**Te Linde, 1966**).

The anterior vaginal wall supports the bladder and the urethra. The anterior vaginal wall supportive layer called the pubocervical fascia. Its name is based upon its two ends of attachment. It attached distally to the pubic bone area and proximally to the cervix if the uterus has not removed. The pubocervical fascia is also attached laterally (on both sides) to the pelvic floor muscles, specifically the obturator internus muscle. As long as this vaginal wall stays in place the bladder and urethra will stay in its normal anatomical position. When there is break in the pubocervical fascia there is a loss of support of the urethra and/or bladder resulting in:

Introduction

Cystocele: Loss of support at the level of the bladder "bladder drop"

Urethrocele: Loss of support at the level of the urethra. Can be diagnosed by doing a Q-tip test and often coexists with stress urinary incontinence.

Cystourethrocele: Loss of support of both the urethra and bladder. These two conditions most commonly coexist (**Miklos, 2000**).

Prolapse of the anterior vaginal wall may be due to site-specific fascial defects in the pubocervical fascia, which can be classified as midline, transverse, lateral (paravaginal) or a combination. Attenuation or weakening of the endopelvic fascia may also contribute to the degree of prolapse (**Richardson et al., 1976**).

Lateral defect cystocele (paravaginal defect) is the most common anatomic defect that results in cystourethrocele, occurring in 85% to 90% of women with the condition (**Richardson, 1976**).

Anterior vaginal wall prolapse is one of the most challenging aspects of surgical gynecology. The traditional midline anterior colporrhaphy was designed on the assumption that anterior vaginal wall prolapse was caused by attenuation and weakening of the fascial supports of the bladder and vaginal wall. White first described the paravaginal repair in 1909 as an alternative to anterior colporrhaphy for the correction of cystocele (**White 1909, 1912**).

The concept of site-specific fascial defects popularized by **Richardson et al. (1976)**, forms the basis for the current paravaginal defect repair, which can be performed abdominally, vaginally or laparoscopically.

In 1976, Dr. Richardson of Atlanta., after careful clinical observations and cadaver dissections proposed and emphasized that the vast majority of cystocele is not caused by stretching or attenuation of pubocervical fascia but that is a result of a break of pubocervical fascia from its attachments to the pelvic side walls. He called this defect “paravaginal defect” and he strongly advocated the use of paravaginal repair, instead of traditional anterior vaginal repair (anterior colporrhaphy), for the treatment of cystocele (**Richardson et al., 1976**).

Pelvic floor defects can be repaired abdominally, vaginally and laparoscopically. Ideally, the pelvic reconstructive surgeon ought to be able to operate by the three routes with the efficiency depending on the pathologic condition, the surgical indications and the patient's needs (**Nichols and Pearson, 2000**).

Aim of the Work

The aim of the work is to determine the value of the laparoscopic surgical technique of paravaginal defects repair.

Historical Evolution of Surgical Repair of Anterior Vaginal Repair

Pelvic organ prolapse is the downward displacement of structures that are normally located adjacent to the vaginal vault. Because these displacements are each associated with a defect in support structures, they may each be considered hernias. These conditions are common, affecting a progressively larger percentage of women as age advances. Whereas mortality is negligible, significant morbidity is associated with prolapse. Women in developed countries who have access to modern health care can benefit from the advances that have been made in treating prolapse. If the problem is viewed from a worldwide perspective, however, the scope of suffering is much greater. In areas of high parity and little or no access to health care, countless women suffer from problems associated with pelvic organ prolapse with no real possibility of resolution. The direct effect that these conditions have on urinary, gastrointestinal, and sexual functions can only be appreciated by those women burdened with these problems on a daily basis (**Te Linde, 1966**).

Treatment of pelvic organ prolapse and the associated symptoms constitutes a major subject in gynecology. Especially in the advanced state, treatment of these conditions is one of the most challenging problems pelvic surgeons can face. Indeed, success in treating prolapse is frequently used to judge the skill of those surgeons. Providing permanent relief from this classic malady, by restoring normal anatomy and maximum physiologic function, always tests the ingenuity of gynecologists. As medical sophistication has progressed, so has the ability to understand more completely and better treat pelvic organ prolapse.

Evolution may be considered as change from original to the current state. Relating this to vaginal support, basic vaginal supportive anatomy remains unchanged. However, many therapeutic alternatives have evolved in attempts to repair supportive defects. Some of these procedures have been logical and appropriate, but many have used anatomically unsound principles resulting in kinking, obstruction, or other compensatory distortions (**Baden and Walker, 1987**).

Important highlights in the history of treatment of uterine prolapse were described by Phaneuf, by Te Linde, by Ricci, and by others. Mentioned in the writings of Hippocrates and Galen, the condition received little attention for many centuries. Unfortunately, this is still true today in some developing countries in the world. Long before the advent of modern surgery, various supports and pessaries and other ways of maintaining the uterus in its normal position were devised. Vaginal packing, tampons, massages, and exercises were used with some degree of success (**Phaneuf, 1935; Te linde, 1966; Ricci, 1952**).

Some patients were suspended from their feet for 24 hours in an attempt to achieve cure. Rodericus A. Castro advised that prolapse should be attacked with a red hot iron as if to burn it, "when fright would cause it to recede into the vagina."

Various caustics were applied to the vagina: silver nitrate, by Meding; nitric acid, by Phillips; acid nitrate of mercury, by Lougier; red hot iron, by Velpeau, Kennedy, Dieffenbach, and Degranges; and sulfuric acid, by Selnow, Richter, Hedrich, and Rokitanski (**Emge et al., 1966**).