

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

## التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار





# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة







# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



**An evaluation of two active lingual arches  
used for resolving moderate lower anterior  
crowding in mixed dentition**

*Thesis*

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements  
of Master Degree in Pedodontics

By  
**Ahmed Ezat Abdel Azem Dawood**  
**B.D.S.(1998)**

**Faculty of Dentistry**  
**Tanta University**  
**2005**

B

11197

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

## Supervisors

**Dr. Medhat Mohamed El Sakhawy**

Professor and Head of Orthodontic Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Tanta University

659  
El Sakhawy

**Dr. Azza Mahmoud Tag El Din**

Ass. Prof. of pedodontics

Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Tanta University

Azza

**Dr. Talat Mohamed Beltagy**

Lecture of Pedodontics

Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Tanta University

Talat Beltagy



## DEDICATION

*Dedicated to  
my parents &  
my wife and  
my daughter Basant.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to professor Dr. **Medhat Mohamed El Sakhawy** Professor and Head of Orthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University for his high appreciated advice and encouragement.*

*I would like also to express my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Azza Mahmoud Tag El Din** Ass. Prof. of pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry ,Tanta University for her help and continuous guidance throughout the course of this research.*

*I am also grateful to **Dr. Talat Mohamed Beltagy** Lecture of Pedodontics & Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University for his help throughout the course of this research.*

## Contents

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES.....	
LIST OF TABLES.....	
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
- Etiology of crowding.....	4
- Criteria of crowding.....	8
- Development of the mixed dentition.....	9
- Arch length analysis.....	11
- Dental arch development.....	17
- Study of crowding.....	24
- Management of crowding.....	27
III. AIM OF THE WORK.....	34
IV. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	35
V. RESULTS.....	47
VI. DISCUSSION.....	77
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	87
VIII. REFERENCES.....	91
IX. PROTOCOL.....	
X. ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Title	Page
Fig.(1):	Friel's spring lingual arch design appliance.	45
Fig.(2):	Finger's spring lingual arch design appliance.	45
Fig.(3):	Pedodontic diagnostic chart.	46
Fig.(4):	Children tolerance in the treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring & treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study periods.	49
Fig.(5):	Percentage of appliance breakage in the treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring & treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study periods.	49
Fig.(6):	Percentage presence of tongue trauma in the treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring & treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study periods.	50
Fig.(7):	Percentage of gingivitis in the treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring & treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study periods.	50
Fig.(8):	A case before and after treatment in treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring.	52
Fig.(9):	Cephalometric tracing of molar & incisor position in treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring.	54
Fig.(10):	A case before and after treatment in treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring.	56
Fig.(11):	Cephalometric tracing of molar & incisor position in treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring.	58
Fig.(12):	A case in control group (C) having lower anterior crowding.	60
Fig.(13):	Cephalometric tracing of molar & incisor position in control group (C).	62
Fig. (14):	The changes in intercanine width during the study period.	73

Fig. (15):	The changes in intermolar width in the studied groups during study periods	73
Fig. (16):	Arch depth changes in the studied groups during study periods.	73
Fig. (17):	Mean leeway space in the studied groups during study periods.	74
Fig. (18):	Mean arch length diameter in the studied groups during study periods.	74
Fig. (19):	Mean SNA value in the studied groups before and after treatment.	75
Fig. (20):	Mean SNB value in the studied groups before and after treatment.	75
Fig. (21):	Mean molar position value in the studied groups before and after treatment.	75
Fig. (22):	Mean incisor position in studied groups before and after treatment.	76
Fig. (23):	Mean IIA value in studied groups before and after treatment.	76

**LIST OF DIAGRAMS**

Diagram	Title	Page
Diagram(1):	Anterior crowding in mixed dentition.	37
Diagram(2):	Measuring points in the study models.	38

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
Table(1):	Clinical assessment score.	44
Table(2):	Clinical assessment for treated groups during study periods.	47
Table(3):	Mean & standard deviation of linear measurements in treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring during study period.	51
Table(4):	Mean & standard deviation of angular measurements in treated subgroup (A) Friel's spring during study period.	53
Table(5):	Mean & standard deviation of linear measurements in treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study period.	55
Table(6):	Mean & standard deviation of angular measurements in treated subgroup (B) Finger's spring during study period.	57
Table(7):	Mean & standard deviation of linear measurements in control group (C) during study period.	59
Table(8):	Mean & standard deviation of angular measurements in control group (C).	61
Table(9):	Comparisons of mean and standard deviation in the linear measurements between the studied groups during study periods.	65
Table(10):	Spearman's correlation coefficient of linear measurements between the studied groups.	66
Table(11):	Comparisons of mean and standard deviation in angular measurements from cephalometric films	67