Self Efficacy and Work Stress among Nursing Teaching Staff Member and their Assistants.

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Master Degree in Nursing Administration

By

Reda Ragab Mohammed

(B.Sc. Nursing)

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2017

Self Efficacy and Work Stress among Nursing Teaching Staff Member and their Assistants.

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Master Degree in Nursing Administration

Supervised by

Prof. Samia Mohamed Adam

Professor of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing - Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Rabab Mahmoud Hassan

Assistant Professor of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2017



First of all and above of all, greatest thanks to "Allah". The most Merciful whose blessings on me can't be counted.

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. Samia Mohamed

Adam, Professor of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University for her precious help, moral support, fruitful advice, kind attitude and her valuable remarks that gave me the confidence and encouragement to fulfil this work.

I am immensely indebted and deeply grateful to Dr. Rabab Mahmoud

Hassan, Assist. Professor of Nursing Administration Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University, for her great encouragement, excellent guidance, powerful support, valuable constrictive advices and generous help, precious time, relentless mentoring and valuable support.

Special thanks are also extended to all the participants in this study who gave their time and cooperation during data collection.



Dedications

I would like to dedicate this thesis to

My parents

Brothers

Sisters and

My Husband

for Their Love, care, support and encouragement that allowed me to accomplish this work

🖎. Reda Ragab Mohamed

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Title	Page
•	Introduction	1
•	Aim of the Study	8
•	Review of Literature	
	> Teaching	9
	Nursing teaching staff members and their assistants	10
	Over view about self-efficacy	11
	Teacher'Self efficacy and their types	14
	➤ Importance of self-efficacy	15
	➤ Theories of self-efficacy	16
	➤ Sources of self-efficacy	13
	➤ Factors affecting self-efficacy	29
	Ways to improve self-efficacy	30
	➤ Over view about work stress	33
	➤ Sources of work stress	34
	Causes of work stress	37
	➤ Theories of work stress	39
	➤ Signs and symptoms of work stress	47
	➤ Work stress management	50
	Relation ship between self efficacy and work stress	53
•	Subjects and Methods	56
•	Results	65
•	Discussion	132
•	Conclusion	158
•	Recommendations	159
•	Summary	161
•	References	166
•	Appendices	193
•	Protocol	
•	Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Table	Page	
	Tables in Subjects and Methods		
1	Distribution of study subjects regarding to scientific department.	58	
2	Items of work stress questionnaire sheet. 59		
3	3 Items of work stress questionnaire sheet.		
	Tables in Results		
4	Characteristics of the studied teaching staff members.	67	
5	Demographic characteristics of the studied assistant faculty members.	72	
6	Teaching Staff members' level regarding Professional Self-Efficacy.	78	
7	Teaching staff members' perception regarding Social Self-Efficacy.	80	
8	Teaching Staff members' Perception regarding Academic Self Efficacy.	82	
9	Self-Efficacy level among teaching staff members.		
10	Assistant faculty members' level regarding Professional Self-Efficacy	86	
11	Assistant faculty members' perception level regarding Social Self-Efficacy.	88	
12	Assistant faculty members' perception level regarding Academic Self-Efficacy.	90	
13	Self-Efficacy level among assistant faculty members	92	

No.	Table	
14a	Sources of work stress among Teaching Staff members	94
14b	Sources of work stress among Teaching Staff members 96	
15a	Work Stress Features among Teaching Staff members 98	
15b	Work Stress Features among Teaching Staff members 99	
16	Total level of work stress dimensions among Teaching Staff members 101	
17a	Sources of work stress among assistant faculty members	102
17b	Sources of work stress among assistant faculty members' 103	
18a	Work stress Features among assistant faculty members 105	
18b	Work stress Features among assistant faculty members	107
19	Work stress level among assistant faculty members 109	
20	Relationship between Social Self Efficacy level and characteristics of Teaching staff members 111	
21	Relationship between Academic Self Efficacy level and characteristics of Teaching staff members	113
22	Relationship between Total Self Efficacy level and characteristics of Teaching staff members 115	
23	Relationship between sources of work stress and demographic characteristics of Teaching staff members 116	
24	Relationship between Professional Self Efficacy level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	118

No.	Table		
25	Relationship between Social Self Efficacy level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	119	
26	Relationship between Academic Self Efficacy level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	120	
27	Relationship between Total Self Efficacy level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	122	
28	Relationship between Sources of work stress and characteristics of assistant faculty members	124	
29	Relationship between work Stress Features level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	125	
30	Relationship between Total work stress level and characteristics of assistant faculty members	126	
31	Correlation Matrix between self-efficacy and work stress dimensions among assistant faculty members	128	
32	Correlation between self-efficiency and work stress dimensions among teaching staff members.		
33	Comparison between teaching staff members and their assistants regarding self efficacy dimensions.	130	
34	Comparison between teaching staff members and their assistants regarding work stress percent.	131	

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Figure	Page	
	Figures in Review of Literature		
1	Bandura's Triadic Reciprocal Determinism.	18	
2	The social cognitive: Theory Process of goal realization.	19	
3	Determining Efficacy Judgments.	24	
4	Sources of Self-efficacy Information.	26	
5	How Self Efficacy Beliefs are Created. 28		
6	The job demand-control (JDC) model.	43	
7	The ASSET Model of Stress.	46	
Figures in Results			
8	Distribution of teaching staff members in study sample by marital status.	68	
9	Distribution of teaching staff members in study sample by years of experience in teaching.	69	
10	Distribution of teaching staff members in study sample according to attendance of training courses about self efficacy.	70	
11	Distribution of teaching staff members in study sample according to attendance of training courses about work stress.	71	
12	Distribution of assistant faculty members in study sample by marital status.	73	

List of Figures 🕏

No.	Figure	Page
13	Distribution of assistant faculty members in study sample by gender.	74
14	Distribution of assistant faculty members in study sample by years of experience in teaching.	
15	Distribution of assistant faculty members in study sample according to attendance of training courses about self efficacy.	
16	Distribution of assistant faculty members in study sample according to attendance of training courses about work stress. 77	
17	Total Self Efficacy level among teaching staff members 85	
18	Total Self-Efficacy level among assistant faculty members	93
19	Total Score level of work Stress among assistant faculty members	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
CTS	Cybernetic theory of stress
JDC	Job demand-control
JSM	Job strain model
SE	Self efficacy

Self Efficacy and Work Stress among Nursing Teaching Staff Members and their Assistants.

Abstract

Background: Self-efficacy is people's beliefs about their capabilities to produce designated levels of performance that exercise influence over events that affect their lives. Aim: the present study aimed at exploring self efficacy and work stress among nursing teaching staff member and their Assistants. Design: A descriptive correlational design was used. Setting: This Study was conducted in faculty of nursing, which affiliated to Ain Shams university Tools of data collection: two tools were used namely self efficacy questionnaire. work stress questionnaire. **Subject:** Subject of this study was (88) teaching staff members and (68) assistants **Results:** The majority of teaching Staff members and thier assisstant had high total self efficacy. Less than quarter of teaching Staff members had high levels work of stress. One fifth of assistant faculty staff members had high levels of work stress. There was no statistically significant relationship between total Self Efficacy level and demographic characteristics of two study subject. Conclusion: there were highly statistically significant negative correlations between self efficacy dimensions and work stress among teaching staff members and their assistants. **Recommendations**: Faculty management have to initiate faculty staff members and their assistants participation in decision making and put into consideration the valuable opinion. Faculty management must develop strategies to create positive and safe work environment, supportive administrationand career development.

Keywords: Self efficacy, Work stress, Nursing teaching staff members and their assistants.

Introduction

The goal of every organization, whether profit or non-profit oriented, is to work towards achieving the goal and objective for its existence. For example, the major goal of any educational setting at any level is towards attainment of academic excellence by the students. The extent to which this goal can be actualized depends principally on the workforce most especially the teaching personnel. They constitute the oil that lubricates the factors of academic performance and educational enterprise as a whole. Teachers, like other employees in various organizations, are crucial in the actualization of the school goals and objectives (Adeyemo & Bola Ogunyemi, 2015).

Self-efficacy is people's beliefs about their capabilities to produce designated levels of performance that exercise influence over events that affect their lives. Strong self-efficacy enhances human accomplishment and personal well-being in many ways; people with high assurance in their capabilities approach difficult tasks as challenges to be measured rather than as threats to be avoided. They approach threatening situation with assurance that they can exercise control over them. Such an efficacious outlook produces personal accomplishment, reduces stress and lowers vulnerability to depression (Bandura, 2000).

In educational field, teacher's self-efficacy refers to the beliefs teachers hold regarding their capability to bring about desired instructional outcomes (Ruble, Usher & McGrew, 2011). Teachers' sense of self-efficacy plays a key role in influencing important academic outcomes. It is related to higher levels of student achievement and motivation, and has been shown to influence teachers' instructional practices, use of innovative teaching methods, enthusiasm. commitment, and teaching behavior (Skaalvik, 2007).

Self-efficacy affects their lives' beliefs, life choices, level of motivation, quality of functioning, resilience to adversity and work stress and depression. People's beliefs in their efficacy are developed by four main sources which include (Mastery experiences, vicarious experiences, social persuasion, and Physiological responses to experiences). Mastery experiences are situations in which people perform successfully. Vicarious experiences are situations in which people observe others perform successfully, compare themselves to that performance, and form beliefs about their own competencies. Social persuasion is feedback from others that is judged to be authentic and a reasonable match to one's personal assessment of capabilities. Physiological responses are physical and emotional reactions to situation (Bandura, 2012).

Self-efficacy affects the level of work stress and pressure and the depression caused from threatening situations. Individuals with high self-efficacy, reduce their stress level (Schwarzer & Hallum, 2008). Stress in the workplace has described as the twentieth century disease. Over 70% of employees world-wide describe their jobs as stressful with more than one in five reporting high levels of stress at work on a daily basis. Stress occurs when one is faced with events or encounters that him/her physical or psychological well-being (Kamal, 2012). Stress is an experience of being over whelmed that can be either positively or negatively valued by the worker (Barnett, 2013).

Work stress is considered one of the leading causes of work-related health problems in almost all professions around the world (Khurshid, Butt, Malik, 2011). Work stress can be defined as the experience of unpleasant, negative emotions such as tension, anxiety, frustration, anger and depression resulting from aspects of work (Salami, 2010).

Work related stress has negative effects on the efficiency of an organization, since; persons working under stressful conditions are not able to appropriately fulfill their duties. Experiencing long term work stress in the workplace leads to decline job satisfaction, inefficient job