

**EFFECT OF DIFFERENT IMPRESSION
TECHNIQUES ON ADAPTATION AND
VERTICAL MOVEMENT OF DISTAL
EXTENSION PARTIAL DENTURE BA-
SES**

Thesis

Submitted to Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shames University
for fulfillment of the requirements for master degree in
Removable Prosthodontics

By

Mohamed Shady Nabhan Mohamed

B.D.S, 2002

Ain Shames University

Supervisors

Dr. Hany Ibrahim Eid

Professor of removable Prosthodontics,

Dean of Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shames University

Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet

Assistant Professor of removable Prosthodontics

Ain Shames University

Table of content

INTRODUCTION.....	- 1 -
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	- 3 -
Forces acting on removable partial denture	- 4 -
Problems of bilateral distal extension removable partial denture	- 6 -
Support	- 6 -
Retention.....	- 9 -
Stability	- 11 -
Management of distal extension problem	- 13 -
Reduction of the load	- 13 -
Wide distribution of load	- 14 -
Over denture for distal extension removable partial denture	- 15 -
Distribution of load between residual ridge and abutments.....	- 17 -
Physiologic basing.....	- 23 -
Methods of measuring denture base adaptation	- 33 -
Methods of measuring denture base movement	- 34 -
AIM OF THE STUDY.....	- 39 -
MARTEIALS AND METHODS	41
Clinical examination	42
Denture construction	42
Mouth preparation	44
Group (A) removable partial denture (anatomical form impression)	46
Group (B) removable partial denture (altered cast impression)	53
Group (C) removable partial denture (Hindle impression technique)	55
Post insertion evaluation	57

Measurement of denture base adaptation	57
Measurement of Denture base movement	60
RESULTS	63
Effect of different impression techniques on denture base adaptation ...	63
Effect of different impression techniques on denture base movement ...	67
DISCUSSION	71
Discussion of the methodology	71
Discussion of the results	74
Effect of different impression techniques over denture base adaptation.	74
Effect of different impression techniques over denture base movement.	75
SUMMARY	77
CONCLUSION	79
REFERENCES	81
ARABIC SUMMARY	95

List of figures

Figure 1 maxillary and mandibular arches	43
Figure 2 Lower preliminary impression	43
Figure 3 Study cast on dental surveyor	45
Figure 4 wax spacer and special tray for anatomical form impression	47
Figure 5 final anatomical impression	47
Figure 6 wax pattern for metal framework	47
Figure 7 Metal framework try-in in	50
Figure 8 Radiographic template	50
Figure 9 adapting wire to crest of the ridge	51
Figure 10 Finished denture	52
Figure 11 altered cast impression tray	54
Figure 12 Altered cast impression	54
Figure 13 altered cast	54
Figure 14 Hindle impression trays	56
Figure 15 Hindle impression	56
Figure 16 steps for measuring denture base adaptation	59
Figure 17 steps for measuring denture base movement	62
Figure 18 column chart of mean values for denture base adaptation for all groups.	64
Figure 19 A column chart of denture base movement mean values for all groups.	68

List of tables

Table 1 measurements of effect of different impression techniques on denture base adaptation for all groups	64
Table 2 One way analysis of variance ANOVA test comparing denture base adaptation between all groups.	65
Table 3 Tukey's pairwise multiple comparison test of denture base movement	66
Table 4 effect of different impression technique on denture base movement	67
Table 5 One way analysis of variance ANOVA test comparing denture base movement between all groups.	69
Table 6 Tukey's pairwise multiple comparison test of denture base movement	70

Acknowledgment

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hany I. Eid**, Professor of Prosthodontics, and Dean of Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his supervision and guidance.*

*I am also grateful to **Dr. Marwa E. Sabet**, Ass. Prof. of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for teaching how to write a thesis.*

*Many thanks and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Ingy T. Lebstein**, Chairman of Prosthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her continuous support and encouragement.*

*I am also grateful to **Mr. Khaled ElKashab**, Dental technician, Prosthetic Department, Ain Shams University and all other technicians for their help and assistance.*

*Many thanks go to my **colleagues** for their support and cooperation and to my patients.*

*Finally, I would like to express my love and appreciation to my **wife, my son Omar and my parents.***

Mohamed Shady N. Mohamed

INTRODUCTION

Management of patients with free end saddles edentulous areas are usually challenging because lack of posterior abutment and different in compressibility of supporting structure. ⁽¹⁾

This difference in resiliency of supporting structure led to rotation of denture base under occlusal load around fulcrum line passing through main occlusal rests. Rotation of denture base transmits destructive forces to abutments and residual ridge. ⁽²⁾

This problem is more significant in mandibular arch due to limited area of supporting structure in comparison to maxillary arch.

The occlusal load should be distributed properly between abutment and residual ridge. To achieve maximum support from residual ridge the denture base should be adapted over residual ridge. ⁽³⁾

Denture base movement should be minimized to control torque over abutment teeth. Different impression theories and techniques were suggested to control and equalize forces between abutment and residual ridge.

Altered cast impression technique was recommended by many authors as it drives better support from residual ridge and equalizes stresses between residual ridge and abutments. ^{(4), (5)}

However altered cast impression technique are considered time consuming, many technicians are not familiar with it. ⁽⁶⁾

Several studies found no clinical significant between altered cast impression technique and anatomical impression technique regarding denture base movement under occlusal load. So this study compare altered cast impression technique with anatomical form im-

pression technique and another one piece cast functional impression technique “Hindle impression technique” as regard denture base adaptation over residual ridge and denture base movement under occlusal load. ^{(6), (7)}

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Free end saddle removable partial dentures rehabilitating distal extension edentulous areas are defined as "A removable dental prosthesis that is supported and retained by natural teeth only at one end of the denture base segment and in which a portion of the functional load is carried by the residual ridge".⁽⁸⁾

Patient with bilateral distal extension ridges may be treated by removable partial denture, cantilever type of fixed partial denture distal extension, or implant supported partial denture according to clinical and radiographic evaluation. However removable partial denture is the most common and conventional treatment approach.⁽⁹⁾

The main objective of removable partial denture is to preserve remaining structure rather than restoring missing structures. An incorrectly designed removable partial denture may be considered a potentially destructive appliance. So Treatment with distal extension removable partial denture necessitates precise framework construction and proper partial denture design following biomechanical principles and considerations.^{(10), (11)}

In clinical study patients were evaluated after ten years of wearing removable partial dentures without supervision or servicing. high extraction rate of abutment and non-abutment teeth was found. In addition, the periodontal condition of the remaining teeth deteriorated. However, this deterioration was higher in the abutment teeth farther than in the non-abutment teeth. In order to eliminate the periodontal damages caused by the removable partial dentures a regular recall system is strongly recommended.⁽¹²⁾