

Ain-Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Structure Engineering

UTILIZATION OF CLAY AND GEOMEMBRANE LINING IN LANDFILLS

By FADY MOHAMED BADRAN MOHAMED

B.Sc. in Civil Engineering (Hon.) (2004)

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering)

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Mona Mustafa Eid

Dr. Mohamed Taha Abdel Rahman

Professor of Geotechnical & Foundation Eng. Faculty of Engineering – Ain-Shams University

Assistant professor of Geotechnical & Foundation Eng.- Faculty of Engineering – Ain-Shams University

April – 2008 Cairo – Egypt Abstract

ABSTRACT OF M.SC. THESIS

Title: UTILIZATION OF CLAY AND GEOMEMBRANE

LINING IN LANDFILLS

Submitted by: Fady Mohamed Badran Mohamed

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Mona Mustafa Eid Dr. Mohamed Taha

ABSTRACT

Landfills are different to dumps or tips, which are what most people think of when they hear about landfills, because they are carefully constructed with special lining and covering systems to contain the waste. The aim is to avoid any hydraulic [water-related] connection between the wastes and the surrounding environment, particularly groundwater. Traditionally, these liner systems are composite of compacted clayey soil and a synthetic membrane. However, In Egypt most of the engineered landfills are having a single liner system consisting from geomembrane (HDPE) sheets. For that purpose, part of this study investigates the effect of imperfections of the HDPE sheets on the overall permeability of the composite liner to emphasize the importance of the secondary liner in the prevention of the ground water table contamination.

Engineering specifications for a compacted clay liner are based mainly in a permeability coefficient less than 10⁻⁷cm/s and the need for stability during construction and operation of the landfill.

Sand-bentonite mixtures are often used as a barrier material when there is a lack of naturally occurring clayey soils at the landfill site. The addition of relatively small amounts of bentonite (5-15%) can improve the performance of a granular material providing both a low permeability and an enhanced mechanical stability. In addition, bentonites, obtained in dry,

Abstract

powdered forms, are much easier to blend with onsite sandy soils and to be compacted than wet, sticky clods of clay.

The central part of the experimental program focused on the detailed investigation of the permeability coefficient of the sand-bentonite mixtures. The factors affecting it such as the bentonite content and the vertical stress are studied. The impact of real municipal solid waste leachate on the liner permeability is also investigated.

Volume change after inundation is also investigated taking into consideration the effect of the bentonite content and the type of the permeating fluid

The results are presented and analyzed. These results are implemented to elaborate a frame work to the liner design procedure. Recommendations for future researches are made.

Keywords: MSW Landfills, Sand-bentonite mixtures, Natural Clay liner, Geomembrane, MSW Leachate, Coefficient of Permeability, Composite Liner.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Name : Fady Mohamed Badran Mohamed

Date of Birth: June. 1, 1982

Place of Birth: Cairo, Egypt.

Qualifications: B. Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering (Structural Eng.)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain- Shams University-Hon.

(2004)- Distinction with honor degree.

Present Job : Teaching Assistant in Structural Engineering

Department (Geotechnical group), Faculty of

Engineering, Ain- Shams University

EXAMINING COMMITTEE

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof.Dr. Abdel Fattah Abdel Kader Youssef Prof. of Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering Monufia University	•••••
Prof.Dr. Ezzat Abdel Fattah Emera Prof. of Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering Ain-Shams University	•••••
Prof. Dr. Mona Mustafa Eid Prof. of Geotechnical & Foundation Engineering Ain-Shams University	

DATE: 10/04/2008

Statement

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain-Shams University for the degree of

Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering).

The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author in the

Department of Structural Engineering, Ain-Shams University, from 2006 to

2008.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at

any other university or institution.

Date

: 10 / 04 / 2008

Name

: FADY MOHAMED BADRAN MOHAMED

Signature

: Fadymbadra

V

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all I want to thank Allah who guided me and helped me to finish this work in a proper shape.

I would like to thank Dr. Mohamed Taha for getting me into the Geo-environmental engineering field, and providing a relaxed and positive working environment. Your Help made this thesis what it is. Special thanks also go to Prof. Mona Eid for her support and parental attitude. Her continuous discussions and suggestions are really appreciated. I would like to thank also Prof. Fathallah El-Nahas for his complete support. His help, guidance and enthusiasm are gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to thank AMA Arab Environmental Company especially the Engineering Department for keeping me in touch with what was going on at the landfills. Thanks also go to FCC Company for supplying me with the factual Leachate giving this work reliability and practical applications. I would like also to thank Dr. Gamal Abdel El Nasser for giving me a complete chemical analysis for the leachate used in this study for free.

My thanks are extended to all the staff members of Geotechnical group in Ain Shams University. Also I would like to thank all the technicians in the laboratory of Soil Mechanics for their help in the laboratory testing and their guidance.

I would like to thank my parents, my brother, my grandfather and all of my family for supporting me throughout my education, especially in college.

> Fady Mohamed Badran Cairo-2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	I
Statement	. V
Acknowledgments	VI
Table of ContentsV	VII
List of Figures	ΧII
List of TablesXV	III
List of SymbolsX	IX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. GENERAL	
1.2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	2
1.3. THESIS OGANIZATION	
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. INTRODUCTION	
2.2. MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW) LANDFILLS	
2.2.1. Landfilling-an overview	
2.2.2. Landfill definition	
2.2.3. The Role of Landfill in the Waste Management System	
2.2.4. The Environmental Impact of Landfill (DWAF, 1998)	
2.3. SOLID WASTE DECOMPOSTION AND ITS CHEMICAL BY PRODUCTS	
2.3.1. Municipal waste sources (Kentucky training manual, 2003)	
2.3.1.1. Residential	
2.3.1.2. Institutional	
2.3.1.3. Commercial	9
2.3.1.4. Medical (Biohazard/Infectious) Waste	
2.3.2. Waste decompositions (Ireland EPA, 2002)	
2.3.3. Landfill Gases (LFG)	
2.3.4. Leachate generation	
2.3.5. Movement of gas and leachate	
2.4. LANDFILLS COMPONENTS	
2.4.1. Waste management boundary	
2.4.2. Liner	. 17

2.4.2.1. Commonly used materials as landfill liners according to	
Ireland EPA, (2002)	.18
2.4.2.1.1. Compacted clay liner (CCL)	.18
2.4.2.1.2. Bentonite enhanced soils (BES)	
2.4.2.1.3. Geomembranes (Flexible membrane liner)	.20
2.4.2.1.4. Geosynthetic clay liner (GCL)	.21
2.4.2.2. Different liner materials in landfills and comparison	
between them	
2.4.2.3. Liner systems in MSW landfills	
2.4.3. Cover	
2.4.4. Leachate collection system	
2.4.5. Gas control and recovery system	
2.4.6. Gas monitoring probe system	
2.4.7. Groundwater monitoring well system	
2.4.8. Genesis of Landfill Liner System in U.S.A	.32
2.5. SAND-BENTONITE MIXTURES	.33
2.6. VOLUME CHANGE OF COMPACTED MATERIALS AFTER	·
WETTING	
2.6.1. Collapsible soils	
2.6.1.1. Factors influencing collapse	
2.6.1.2. Collapse mechanism	
2.6.2. Swelling soils	
2.6.2.1. Mechanism of swelling of compacted bentonite	
2.6.2.2. Mechanism of swelling of compacted mixtures of sand and bentonite	
2.7. THE PERMEABILITY OF SAND-BENTONITE MIXTURES	.46
2.7.1. Sand-bentonite mixture as landfill liner	.46
2.7.2. Factors affecting the permeability of sand-bentonite mixtures	.47
2.7.2.1. Percentage of bentonite	.47
2.7.2.2. Type of bentonite:	.49
2.7.2.3. Nature of the Bentonite:	.52
2.7.2.4. Mixture and compaction:	.54
2.7.2.5. Water content:	.54
2.7.2.6. Grain size distribution for the base material:	.54
2.7.2.7. Time:	.56
2.7.2.8. Type of permeating fluid:	.57
2.7.3. Simplified Evaluation on the Permeability of Sand-bentonite	•
mixtures:	
2.7.4. Permeability requirements of Landfill liners in accordance to)
the SEPA:	.65

3. EXPERIMENTAL WORK	66
T, INTRODUCTION	66
T,T MATERIALS USED IN TESTING PROGRAM	67
۳,۲,۱ Sand	67
۳,۲,۱,۱ Grain size distribution	
۳,۲,۱,۲ Specific gravity determination	
۳,۲,۲ Bentonite	
Properties of bentonite used (by the manufacturer)	70
۳,۲,۲,۲ Atterberg limits test	
۳,۲,۲,۳ Specific gravity	
۳,۲,۳ Clay	
7,7,7,1 Atterberg limits test	
T,Y,T,Y Specific gravity	
۳,۲,٤ Geomembrane	72
T,T PERMEATING FLUIDS IN THE TESTING PROGRAM	74
۳,۳,۱ Tape water	74
۳,۳,۲ Leachate	
۳٫٤ APPARATUSES USED IN THE TESTING PROGRAM	76
٣,٤,١ Modified proctor test apparatus	76
٣,٤,٢ Oedometer test apparatus	
٣,٤,٣ Triaxial apparatus	
۳,٤,٤ Falling head permeameter	79
3.4.5. Constant head permeameter	80
۳,۰ SAMPLE PREPARATION AND TEST PROCEDURE	81
۳,۰,۱ Compaction sample	81
۳٫۰,۲ Permeability and Consolidation Samples	
۳,۰,۲,۱ Sand-bentonite samples	81
۳,0,۲,۲ Clay samples	
۲٫۰٫۳ Shear strength samples	
Sand-bentonite samples	
۳,۰,۳,۲ Clay samples	
۳,0,٤ Falling head permeameter sample	85
7.7 LABORATORY TESTING PROGRAM	87

E. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	90
٤,١ INTRODUCTION	90
٤,٢ COMPACTION TESTS	90
Effect of the bentonite content on the maximum of	
and the optimum moisture content	•
٤,٣ OEDOMETER TESTING	98
٤,٣,١ Introduction	98
٤,٣,٢ The permeability test results	
٤,٣,٢,١ The effect of the bentonite content	
٤,٣,٢,٢ The effect of the permeating fluid	102
٤,٣,٢,٣ The effect of the vertical stress	105
۲,۳,۳ The compressibility results	112
fig. The effect of the bentonite content on the soil	
compressibility	
E, W, Y, Y The effect of the Permeating Fluid	
4.3.4. The volume change behavior of compacted sample	
bentonite during inundation	
ξ, ٣, ξ, \ The effect of the bentonite content on the volume	•
during inundation	
٤,٣,٤,٢ The effect of the soaking fluid	
٤٫٤ TRIAXIAL SHEAR TESTS	121
٤,٤,١ The effect of bentonite content on the shea	r strength
parameters	_
ξ,ξ,Υ The effect of bentonite content on the shear strength	at failure 122
٤,٠ DIFFERENT TEST RESULTS FOR NATURAL CLA COMPARISON WITH THE RESULTS OF SAND-BE	
MIXTURES	130
٤,٥,١ Oedometer testing	130
٤,٥,١,١ Compressibility characteristic for the natural cla	
٤,٥,١,٢ Permeability coefficient for the natural clay	
٤,٥,٢ Triaxial shear testing	
٤,٥,٣ Comparing sand-bentonite mixture with natural	
results	-
£.7 COMPOSITE LINER TESTING	138

• LINER DESIGN CRITERIA	141
o, INTRODUCTION	141
o, The Determining the required amount of Bento In the Mixture	
°, The Corresponding Maximum Dry Density	
۰٫٤ DETERMINING THE LINER DEFORMATION	144
°,° DETERMING THE MIXTURE SHEAR STRENGTH	145
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURT	
RESEARCHES	151
6.1. INTRODUCTION	151
6.2. CONCLUSIONS	152
6.3. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	156
REFERENCES	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: The role of landfill in waste management system (DWAF, 1998)
Figure 2.2: Major stages of waste degradation (DWAF, 1998)
Figure 2.3: Major stages of waste degradation (Kentucky training manual, 2003)
Figure 2.4: Leachate and gas movements (Kentucky training manual, 2003)
Figure 2.5: Schematic diagrams a Municipal Solid Waste Landfill System
Figure 2.6: Schematic diagram of a cross section in a Municipal Solid Waste Landfill System (Alaa K. Ashmawy, 2003)
Figure 2.7: Effect of W.C. and the compactive energy on K of silty clay (After Mitchell, Hooper and Camoanella, 1965)
Figure 2.8: Typical single composite landfill liner system. (Alaa K. Ashmawy, 2003)
Figure 2.9: Typical double composite landfill liner system (Alaa K.Ashmawy, 2003)
Figure 2.10: Typical landfill covers system. (Alaa K. Ashmawy, 2003) 28
Figure 2.11: Landfill with leachate collection system installed (DWAF, 1998)
Figure 2.12: Utlization of Geonets or granular soil in leachate collection systems in single composite linner
Figure 2.13: Utlization of Geonets or granular soil in leachate collection systems in double composite linner
Figure 2.14: Example of vertical gas well arrangements (Ireland EPA, 2000)
Figure 2.15: Two possible states of sand grains in a deflocculated clay system: (a) clay particles 'coating' sand grains and (b) clay particles 'welding' sand grains (A.Samingan, 2005)

Figure 2.16: Structural changes in a granular soil resulting from increase in applied load and reduction of pore water pressure: (a) loosely packed granular material; (b) displacement of grains resulting from an increase in applied load; (c) small displacement of grains due to compressive forces resulting from menisci at the boundaries of the saturated soil; (d) particles "bonded" together by the action of high curvature menisci at the grain contact points (after Jennings and Burland, 1962)
Figure 2.17: Illustration of a granular skeleton bonded by clay bridges for compacted uniform sand with 10% bentonite content (after J. Montanez, 2002)
Figure 2.18: Collapsed sample after wetting by inundation for compacted uniform sand with 10% bentonite content (after J. Montanez 2002)
Figure 2.19: Compacted bentonite (after J. Montanez, 2002)
Figure 2.20: Swollen bentonite (after J. Montanez, 2002)
Figure 2.21: Mechanism of swelling in sand-bentonite mixtures: (a) as compacted; (b) filling of the granular voids; (c) overall expansion of the material (J. Montanez, 2002).
Figure 2.22: Concept of processes of the swelling behavior of bentonite sand mixtures: (a) The swelling deformation is restricted; (b) A a constant vertical pressure (after Komine and Ogata 1999) 44
Figure 2.2.23: Volumetric change upon wetting for samples of well-graded sand with 15% bentonite content. Vertical stress=85 kPa (after A.Samingan, 2005)
Figure 2.24: Relation between bentonite % and Permeability at different dry density (after H. Komine 2003)
Figure 2.25: Coefficient of Permeability vs. bentonite content for SSBMs prepared with powdered bentonite (after T. Abichou et al 2001)
Figure 2.26: Influence of sodium bentonite on Permeability
Figure 2.27: Permeability for sand mixed with different types of bentonite (after Gustafsson, 2000)

Figure 2.28: Permeability Vs. void ratio of bentonite for all tests performed (after T. Abichou et al, 2001)
Figure 2.29: Sand grading used in research relevant to landfill liners 55
Figure 2.30: Reduction of Permeability of sandy gravels and gravely sands (all with some silt) plotted against percentage of added sodium bentonite. (After Brandl, 1992)
Figure 2.31: Permeability of a smectitic till permeated with simulated MSW leachate (After Yanful et al., 1990)
Figure 2.32: Relationship between k and the ϵ_{sv} (after H. Komine, 2003). 61
Figure 2.33: Illustration of the Permeability versus K ₁ , Filled symbols indicates sand-bentonite mixtures. Open symbols indicate pure bentonite
Figure 2.34: K ₁ as a function of percentage of bentonite versus dry density (after G.Sällfors and Öberg-Högsta, 2002)
Figure 2.35: Permeability (m/s) of a homogeneous sand-bentonite as a function of percentage of bentonite versus dry density (after G.Sällfors and Öberg-Högsta, 2002)
Figure 3.1: Grain size distribution of the used sand
Figure 3.2: The modified proctor test equipments
Figure 3.3: (a) Schematic diagram of the Oedometer cell (b) Consolidation test in progress
Figure 3.4: The Triaxial test in progress
Figure 3.5: Schematic diagram of falling head permeameter
Figure 3.6: The Preparation of clay samples for consolidation test 83
Figure 3.7: The Preparation of Sand-bentonite mixture for the triaxial test.
Figure 3.8: The Preparation of Clay sample for the triaxial test
Figure 3.9: (a) the falling head permeameter; (b) The HDPE sheet used in the test
Figure 3.10: Flow chart representing the laboratory tests program 89