### Frequency of Bacteremia in Chronic Liver Diseased Egyptian Patients after Oesophageal Variceal Band Ligation

### Thesis

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Tropical Medicine
Ain Shams University

### Doaa Mohamed shaker

M.B.B.CH

## Supervised by

### Prof. Dr. Mohga Ali Reda

Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

### Dr. General. Medhat Hassan El Sahhar

Consultant of GIT, Liver and Endoscopy Police Hospital (El Agouza)

### Dr. Hany Mansour Khalil Dabbous

Lecturer of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2014



First and foremost I feel always indebted to **GOD**, the most kind and the most merciful, who gives me the power to complete this work.

It is a great honour to express my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Mohga Ali Reda*, Professor of Tropical Medicine Department, Faculty of medicine, Ain-Shams University, for her kind and constant supervision, continuous encouragement and great help, which have enabled me to accomplish this work.

My deepest thanks to *Dr. Hany Mansour Khalil Dabbous*, Lecturer of Tropical Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, who I am indebted to and who cared about every detail written down in this work.

I am most grateful to *Dr. General. Medhat Hassan El Sahhar*, Consultant of GIT, Liver and Endoscopy, Police Hospital (El Agouza), for his supervision and participation in this work.

Lastly I wish to express my deep thanks to *Dr. Zeinab Elqenawy*, *Dr. of Medical Microbiology and Immunology*, for her kind help.

# List of Contents

|   | Page   |
|---|--|
| • | Introduction 1   |
| • | Aim of the Work4   |
| • | Review of Literature:                                      |
| • | Chapter (1): Oesophageal varices5                          |
| • | Chapter (2): Instrumental treatment of oesophageal varices |
| • | Chapter (3): infectious disease following endoscopy        |
| • | Patients and Methods 70                                    |
| • | <b>Results</b>   |
| • | <b>Discussion</b>  |
| • | <b>Summary101</b>  |
| • | Recommendations 103  |
| • | <b>References</b> 104                                      |
| • | Arabic summary   |

## List of Abbreviations

| <b>A-II</b> An | giotensin II                                   |
|----------------|--|
| A-V block At   | rio ventricular block                          |
| <b>ALB</b> All | bumin  |
| ALTAla         | anine amino transferase                        |
| <b>ALK</b> All | kaline phosphatase                             |
| ASTAs          | partate amino transferase                      |
| ASGEAn         | nerican society for Gastrointestinal endoscopy |
| <b>BSG</b> Bri | itish society of gastroenterology              |
| <b>Ca</b> Ca   | lcium  |
| <b>CB1</b> Ca  | nnabinoid 1                                    |
| <b>CBC</b> Co  | emplete blood picture                          |
| <b>CDC</b> Ce  | nters for disease control                      |
| ChildCh        | ild-turcotte- Pugh score                       |
| <b>CNS</b> Ce  | ntral nervous system                           |
| <b>CO</b> Ca   | rbon monoxide                                  |
| <b>COX</b> Cy  | clooxygenase                                   |
| <b>EBL</b> En  | doscopic band ligation                         |
| <b>EGD</b> Oe  | sophagogastroduedenoscopy                      |
| EISEn          | doscopic injection sclerotherapy               |
| eNOSEn         | dothelial nitric oxide synthase                |

**EST**.....Endoscopic sclerotherapy

Ets.....Endothelins

**EVBL**.....Endoscopic variceal band ligation

EVL .....Endoscopic variceal ligation

FHVP.....Free hepatic vein pressure

**FDA** .....Food and drug administration

GI.....Gasterointestinal

**HBV**.....Hepatitis B virus

**HbsAg.....**Hepatitis B surface antigen

**HCV** .....Hepatitis C virus

HIV ......Human immunodeficiency virus

**HOS** .....Heme oxygenase

**HREV**.....High risk oesophageal varices

HVPG ......Hepatic venous pressure gradient

INR .....International normalizing ratio

**IQR**.....Inter-quartile range (non parametric)

**ISMN** ......Isosorbide mono nitrate

IV .....Intravenous

NO.....Nitric oxide

MELD.....MODEL FOR END- STAGE LIVER DISEASE

NSBB ......Non selective B blocker

PGH2.....Prostaglandin H2

PTFE .....Polytetrafluroethylene

**PT.....**Prothrombine time

**PROTH.CONC** Prothrombine concentration

**SAGES.....**society of American gastrointestinal and endoscopic surgeons

SAJS ......South African journal of surgery

SGNA......The Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates

**SIRS.....**Systemic inflammatory response syndrome

**SBP** .....Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

**TIPS.....**Transjagular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts

T.BIL .....Total bilirubin

**TXA2** ...... Thromboxane A2

US......United states

VEGF......Vascular endothelial cell growth factor

WHVP......Wedged hepatic vein pressure

# list of Figures

| Figure No.  | Page |
|---|------|
| Figure (1): Pathophysiology of portal HTN         | 9    |
| Figure (2): Banded varix viewed through amultiban | d    |
| suction cap                                       | 31   |

# List of Tables

| Table No.          | Page   |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Tables of R        | Review:  |  |  |
| <b>Table (1):</b>  | Classification of oesophageal varices hemorrhage   |  |  |
| <b>Table (2):</b>  | Baveno scoring system for portal hypertensive gastropathy21  |  |  |
| <b>Table (3):</b>  | Primary prophylaxis and secondary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage46                                     |  |  |
| <b>Table (4):</b>  | Vasoactive agents used in the management of acute hemorrhage   |  |  |
| <b>Table (5):</b>  | Approximate incidence of bacteraemia in immuno-competent individuals undergoing gastrointestinal endoscopy |  |  |
| <b>Table (6):</b>  | Classification of oesophageal varices72  |  |  |
| Tables of Results: |  |  |  |
| <b>Table</b> (1):  | Distribution of gender, smoking, child stage and grading of oesophageal varices among studied patients     |  |  |
|                    | Distribution of symptoms among studied patients 78   |  |  |

| <b>Table (3):</b>  | Distribution of signs of liver disease among studied patients79   |
|--------------------|---|
| <b>Table (4):</b>  | Descriptive statistics of the age, child score and MELD score among studied patients79                            |
| <b>Table (5):</b>  | Comparison between gender and occurrence of infection after band ligation80                                       |
| <b>Table (6):</b>  | Comparison between history of hematemesis and occurrence of infection after band ligation                         |
| <b>Table (7):</b>  | Comparison between history of hepatic coma and occurrence of infection after band ligation                        |
| <b>Table (8):</b>  | Comparison between history of melena and occurrence of infection after band ligation82                            |
| <b>Table (9):</b>  | Comparison between presence of ascites and occurrence of infection after band ligation83                          |
| <b>Table (10):</b> | Comparison between presence of lower limb oedema and occurrence of infection after band ligation                  |
| <b>Table (11):</b> | Comparison between age of patients, mean blood count parameters and occurrence of infection after band ligation85 |
| <b>Table (12):</b> | Comparison between cases with and without infection as regards the mean liver function tests                      |
|                    | WOLD  |

| <b>Table (13):</b> | Comparison between cases with and without   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | infection as regards the mean body  |
|                    | temperature before and after band ligation  |
|                    | and also comparison between cases with and  |
|                    | without infection after band ligation as regard   |
|                    | the mean albumin87  |
| <b>Table (14):</b> | Distribution of Hepatomegaly and Splenomagly by abdominal ultra sonography88  |
| <b>Table (15):</b> | Comparison between presence of hepatomegaly by ultra sonography and occurrence of infection after band ligation89                                       |
| <b>Table (16):</b> | Comparison between Child classification of patients and the occurrence of infection after band ligation   |
| <b>Table (17):</b> | Comparison between mean Child score, MELD score and occurrence of infection after band ligation   |
| <b>Table (18):</b> | Comparison between grading OV and the occurrence of infection after band ligation92   |
| <b>Table (19):</b> | Distribution of the blood stream infection after band ligation as detected by blood culture (bacteremia) and type of organisms (incidence of infection) |

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Oesophageal varices (OV) affect about 50% of patients with liver cirrhosis and formation rate is about 5% per year (Escorsell and Bosch, 2004). In patients with cirrhosis, the incidence of OV ranges from 35% to 80% and approximately a third of patients with OV experience variceal bleeding, and up to 70% of the survivors have one or more additional episodes of bleeding (Tsokos et al., 2002). The identification of patients at high risk for OV bleeding enables prophylactic management (Kayacetin et al., 2004). Endoscopic variceal band ligation has become the method of choice for secondary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding. As a result of this favorable experience, there has been interest in extending the use of esophogeal band ligation to primary prophylaxis of oesophageal variceal bleeding (De le Pena et al., 2005).

Complications are rare, but no procedure is completely free of risk. If you are planning to have an endoscopic band doctor will review list ligation, your a of possible complications, which may include: **Painful** swallowing, bleeding, oesophageal damage, infection, fever (McCoy, 2009).

The risk of infection after upper gastrointestinal tract endoscopy has been found to be infrequent (*Low et al., 1980*); However, invasive endoscopic procedures can lead to various complications including remote bacterial infection (*Vergis et* 

al., 2007). The most common systemic complication of the upper endoscopic procedure is fever which is usually transitory and results from mucosal inflammation not related to infection, however, there are considerable reports of serious bacterial complications such as; brain, perinepheric abscesses, bacterial peritonitis and bacterial endocarditis (Allison et al., 2009).

Gastrointestinal bleeding is associated with bacterial infection up to 66% of patients with cirrhosis (*Pauwels et al.*, 1996). These patients are vulnerable to infection because of disruption of the intestinal mucosal barrier and frequent invasive manipulation during hemorrhage (*Deitch et al.*, 1990. Approximately one third of cirrhotic patients with EV develop an episode of oesophageal hemorrhage, with subsequent high morbidity and mortality (*Hong et al.*, 2009).

Endoscopic variceal ligation, which has largely supplanted sclerotherapy ( *Da Silvreira Rohr et al., 1997*). Six studies with EVL have reported bacteremia rates ranging from 1-25% with a mean frequency of 8.8% (*Lin et al., 2000*). Most reported organisms were, a streptococcus of the viridans group (*Maulaz et al., 2003*), staphylococcus aureus (*Rohr et al., 1997*), coagulase-negative Staphylococcus epidermidis (*Tseng et al., 1993*).

Although antibiotic prophylaxis is indicated for all patients with variceal bleeding, some experts suggest that the

#### Introduction

decision to use antibiotic prophylaxis in high-risk patients solely to prevent infectious complications should be individualized (*Hirota et al.*, 2003). According to the guidelines antibiotic prophylaxis is not indicated for patients undergoing EBL for non-bleeding varices (*Qureshi et al.*, 2005).

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

The purpose of this study is to determine:

- 1- The incidence of bacteremia in chronic liver disease patients submitted to elastic band ligation for oesophageal varices.
- 2- To determine the commonest type of bacteria.

### PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF PORTAL Hypertension and Oesophageal Varices

#### Introduction

Portal hypertension is a clinical syndrome defined by a portal venous pressure gradient exceeding 5 mmHg, Cirrhosis is the most common cause of portal hypertension (*Garcia-Pagan and Bosch*, 2005). In cirrhosis, the principal site of increased resistance to outflow of portal venous blood is within the liver itself. This results from 2 factors:

- 1. Mechanical obstruction to flow because of fibrotic disruption of architecture.
- 2. A dynamic component produced by active contraction of vascular smooth muscle cells and activated stellate cells (*Sancho-Bru et al.*, 2003).

The hallmark of portal hypertension is a pathologic increase in the pressure gradient between the portal vein and the inferior vena cava, which is measured by the hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG). Briefly, the wedged hepatic vein pressure (WHVP), a marker of sinusoidal pressure, and the free hepatic vein pressure (FHVP) are measured with radiologic assistance. HVPG is calculated by the following formula: