

Comparative Study between Measuring (FSH, LH and E2) on Day two and on any Day of the Cycle

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of master Degree
in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Shaimaa Mohamed Ali

M.B.B.Ch,(2008), Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University
Resident of Ob/Gyn 6 October Central Hospital

Supervised by

Prof. Shereif Abd El Hameed

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University

Prof. Ahmed Hussein Salama

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University

Prof. Dina Hussein Salama

Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis
National Center for Radiation Research and Technology

Faculty of medicine

Ain Shams University

2017

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

لسبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah**, the Most Beneficent and Merciful. I can do nothing without Him.

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Shereif Abd El Hameed**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University, under his supervision, I had the honor to complete this work, I am deeply grateful to him for his professional advice, guidance and support.*

*My deep gratitude goes to **Prof. Ahmed Hussein Salama**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine –Ain Shams University, for his great support, tireless guidance and meticulous supervision throughout this work.*

*I would like also to than with all appreciation **Prof. Dina Hussein Salama**, Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, for the efforts and time she has devoted to accomplish this work.*

Last but not least, I like to thank all my Family, especially my Parents and my Husband, for their kind care, help and encouragement.

 *Shaimaa Mohamed Ali*

List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables.....	ii
List of Figures	vi
Abstract	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	7
Review of Literature	8
Patient and Methods	34
Results.....	39
Discussion	58
Summary and Conclusion	63
Recommendations	66
References	67
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
AFC	: Antral follicle count
AMH	: Anti-Mullerian Hormone
ART	: Assisted reproductive technique
BMI	: Body mass index
COH	: Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation
CP	: Clinical pregnancy
DHEA	: Dehydroepiandrosterone
DOR	: Diminished ovarian reserve
FGAs	: Functioning gonadotroph adenomas
FSH	: Follicle-stimulating hormone
GnRHα	: Gonadotropin releasing hormone
HIV	: Human immune deficiency virus
ICSI	: Intra cytoplasmic sperm injection
IHH	: Isolated Hypogonadotrophic Hypogonadism
IQR	: Interquartile range
IVF	: In vitro fertilization
LB	: Live birth
LH	: Luteinising hormone
ORT	: Ovarian reserve tests
PCOS	: Polycystic ovary syndrome
POI	: Primary ovarian insufficiency

RIA	: Radioimmunoassay
SD	: Standard deviation
SPSS	: Statistical package for social science
TSH	: Thyroid-stimulating hormone
TVUS	: Transvaginal ultrasonography

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Summary of clinical situations where FSH determinations are useful or are commonly requested.....	13
Table (2):	Acquired Causes of HH.....	28
Table (3):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard their age	39
Table (4):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard history of PCO	40
Table (5):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard history of Infertility	41
Table (6):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard cycle irregularity.....	42
Table (7):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard Parity.....	43
Table (8):	Description of the studied hormone values	44
Table (9):	Comparison between FSH Levels at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples	45
Table (10):	Comparison between LH Levels at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples	45
Table (11):	Comparison between E2 Levels at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples	46
Table (12):	Comparison between E2/FSH Levels at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples.....	46
Table (13):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (1 st sample) and Age	47

Table (14): Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (1 st sample) and FSH, E2 (1 st sample)	48
Table (15): Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (2 nd sample) and FSH, E2 (1 ST sample).....	50
Table (16): Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (3 rd sample) and FSH, E2 (1 ST sample).....	52
Table (17): Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (4 th sample) and FSH, E2 (1 ST sample).....	54
Table (18): Comparison between E2/FSH Ratio at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples as regard history of PCO.....	56
Table (19): Comparison between E2/FSH Ratio at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples as regard history of Infertility	56
Table (20): Comparison between E2/FSH Ratio at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples as regard Cycle Irregularity	57
Table (21): Comparison between E2/FSH Ratio at 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th samples as regard Parity	57

List of Figures

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Figure (1):	Laboratory testing to confirm diagnosis of primary ovarian insufficiency.....	19
Figure (2):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard their age.....	39
Figure (3):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard history of PCO	40
Figure (4):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard history of Infertility.....	41
Figure (5):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard cycle irregularity	42
Figure (6):	Frequency distribution of the studied patients as regard Parity	43
Figure (7):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (1 st sample) and Age	47
Figure (8):	Correlation between E2/FSH (1 st sample) and FSH (1 ST sample)	49
Figure (9):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (1 st sample) and E2 (1 ST sample)	49
Figure (10):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (2 nd sample) and FSH (1 ST sample)	51
Figure (11):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (2 nd sample) and E2 (1 ST sample)	51
Figure (12):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (3 rd sample) and FSH (1 ST sample)	53
Figure (13):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (3 rd sample) and E2 (1 ST sample)	53

Figure (14):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (4 th sample) and FSH (1 ST sample)	55
Figure (15):	Correlation between E2/FSH Levels (4 th sample) and E2 (1 ST sample)	55

ABSTRACT

Background: Evaluation of ovarian reserve has become an essential part of the treatment assessment of woman about to undergo assisted reproductive technique.

Aim of the Work: To evaluate measuring (FSH, LH and E2) at any day of the whole (menstrual cycle) compared to mandatory day2 measurement and establishing an

actual negative correlation between FSH & E2. **Patients and Methods:** Observational analytical prospective study on 50 women attending the Gynecology outpatient clinics

of Maternity hospital Ain-Shams University in 2016. **Results:** There was a significant

negative correlation between (E2/FSH) on the 3rd, 5th and 10th days of the cycle. Also there was insignificant negative correlation on day 21. **Conclusion:** there negative correlation

between basal (day2)(E2/FSH) which is equivalent or similar to that ratio on days (5,10,21) so there is no need to wait for hormonal analysis to the next cycle (day 2 or

3) to save time specially in patients >35years for them there is importance of cycle day3 for evaluation of ovarian reserve and prior ovulation induction and subsequent

pregnancy potential during the infertility work up. **Recommendations:** During the infertility work up , rigid adherence to cycle day3 collection ,no longer seems

necessary ,no need to wait for hormonal analysis to Estradiol and FSH to the next cycle as there is equivalent negative correlation between (E2/FSH) on menstrual cycle

days (3,5,10,21) aiming for saving time.

Key words: FSH, LH, E2, menstrual cycle

Introduction

The concept of ovarian reserve as assessed by follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) measurement has proven useful in predicting pregnancy outcome (*Scott and Hoffman, 1995*). Determination of cycle day 3 FSH has evolved as the standard for predicting oocyte quality and the likelihood of conception in assisted reproductive technology programmes. The information obtained from cycle day 3 FSH testing is invaluable in counselling patients as to their chances of achieving a pregnancy and deciding on options for stimulation protocols (*Scott et al., 1989*).

Muasher et al. (1988) demonstrated that basal cycle day 3 concentrations of FSH reflected the reproductive potential of that menstrual cycle. This could be applied further to discriminate between patients who would be more likely to respond to ovarian stimulation and those who would not (*Toner et al., 1991*). Cycle day 3 testing has emerged as a dictum from these studies because most stimulation protocols were initiated on cycle day 3, 4 or 5 (*Jones et al., 1984; Marrs et al., 1984*). The validity of testing on other days has not yet been explored. Early follicular phase oestradiol concentrations may reflect the stage of follicular development, with higher concentrations associated with asynchrony of follicular development. An abrupt early rise of

oestradiol may be a subtle sign of the shortened follicular phase often seen prior to menopause. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the intra- and inter-cycle variability of serum values of FSH and oestradiol in the early follicular phase (cycle days 2-5) (*Hansen et al., 1996*).

The importance of cycle day 3 FSH for evaluation of ovarian reserve and subsequent pregnancy potential has recently been emphasized in women >35 years during the infertility workup (*Scott and Hofmann, 1995*). Basal FSH values have been utilized to decide on treatment protocols and to counsel patients as to their potential pregnancy success (*Toner et al., 1991*). Many authors attest to the importance of cycle day 3 testing (*Fenichel et al., 1989; Pearlstone et al., 1992; Tanbo et al., 1992*). The emphasis on cycle day 3 testing seems to have evolved in part from convenience, based on the cycle day 3 start of most stimulation protocols (*Jones et al., 1984; Marres et al., 1984*). According to *Hodgen's work (1989)*, early follicular growth and recruitment occur in the beginning of the cycle prior to cycle days 5-7. By day 7 the one follicle destined to ovulate has been selected. Since the objective in ovarian hyperstimulation for assisted reproductive technology is to recruit more than one dominant follicle, stimulation must be initiated prior to the loss of this multipotentiality of the follicles. Before the widespread use of (GnRHa), stimulation

protocols traditionally began on cycle day 3 or 4. Thus, basal testing had to be performed by cycle day 3. According to our data, testing for FSH on any of cycle days 2-5 will give equivalent results, regardless of patient age (*Hansen et al., 1996*).

Ovarian reserve tests (ORT) help to predict the response to exogenous gonadotrophin stimulation and the likelihood of success with IVF and are widely accepted as an essential element of the evaluation of IVF (*Speroff & Fritz, 2005*). .

ORT can roughly be divided into three groups: (*Haadsma et al., 2007*).

These tests measure early follicular phase hormones level and they include: Female age; Cycle day 3 serum FSH concentration; Cycle day 3 serum estradiol (E2) concentration; Cycle day 10 serum progesterone(P) concentration; Cycle day 3 serum Inhibin B concentration; Serum Anti-Mullerian Hormone (AMH) concentration and Ovarian biopsies.

Basal FSH has been reported to be better predictor of ovarian response in IVF cycles stimulated with gonadotrophins than age (*Akira et al., 2005*).

As women ages, FSH becomes elevated in an attempt to force the aging ovary to respond. It starts to increase

because of reduced inhibin-B and E2 production by the diminished cohort of growing follicles. This event takes place a few years before the actual menopause (*Annemarie de vet et al., 2002*).

The cycle day 3 FSH level is one of the most commonly used tests for predicting success in IVF treatment. This was first described by *Muasher et al. (1988)*, and *Lenton et al. (1988)* demonstrated that women with an elevated cycle day 3 FSH had reduced ovarian reserve. Since then, several studies have shown that women with an elevated FSH level, independent of age, have a poor response to ovarian stimulation, leading to a lower pregnancy rate with assisted reproductive technique (ART) (*Abdalla and Thum, 2004*).

The basal level of serum FSH is used as a screening test for patients undergoing IVF. It is well documented that a high day 3 basal level of FSH is associated with a lower pregnancy rate. Indeed, some Units have been using this test to screen patients with a lower chance of a pregnancy in view of maintaining high clinic success rates (*Abdalla and Thum, 2006*).

In reproductive endocrinology, basal FSH is measured in order to detect women with ovarian failure. FSH measured in serum on day 3 of the menstrual cycle is probably the most