

TOOTH EROSIVE POTENTIAL OF SOME SOFT DRINKS

Thesis

*Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of Master Degree in
Pediatric Dentistry*

By

***Maha Moussa Azab Moussa
B.D.S. Cairo University
(2006)***

*Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University
2012*

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Kamal El Din M. El Motayam

Professor of Pediatric and Dental Public Health

Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Osama Ibrahim El Shahawy

Lecturer of Pediatric and Dental Public Health

Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Acknowledgement

I am most thankful to **God** for all his kindness and grace, for having granted me the effort to accomplish this work.

It was an honor to work under the supervision of eminent professors, who I appreciate their whole hearted support and immense facilities. To them, I owe more than I can record.

I was fortunate enough to carry out this work under the supervision of **Prof. Dr. Kamal El Din M. El Motayam** *Professor of Pediatric and Community Dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University*. Thanks for his generous help and advice. It was a great honour to work with a great professor like him.

Words will never be able to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Osama Ibrahim El Shahawy** *Lecturer of Pediatric and Community Dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University* for his patience, continuous support, priceless encouragement and unlimited help throughout the work.

I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Amer** *Head of Length and Precision Engineering Division, National Institute of Standards* for his cooperation and patience throughout the profilometric study of this thesis.

I would like to thank **Dr. Amany M. Fikry** *Ass. professor of Physical Chemistry* and **Dr. Doaa Samir** *Ass. Lecturer of Bio-chemistry Faculty of Science Cairo University* for their co-operation and guidance in the chemical study of this thesis.

My thanks are extended to staff members and to all my colleagues in Pediatric and Community Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for their co-operation and unlimited help.

Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the Study	44
Materials and Methods	45
Results	59
Discussion	79
Summary and Conclusions	93
Recommendations	95
References	96
Arabic summary	

إمكانية التآكل الحمضي للأسنان لبعض

المشروبات الغير كحولية

رسالة مقدمة إلى كلية طب الفم و الأسنان
جامعة القاهرة
توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في طب أسنان الأطفال

مقدمة من
مها موسى عزب موسى
بكالوريوس طب و جراحة الفم و الأسنان
جامعة القاهرة
2006

كلية طب الفم و الأسنان
جامعة القاهرة
2012

تحت إشراف

ا.د. كمال الدين محمد المتيم

أستاذ طب أسنان الأطفال و الصحة العامة للفم و الأسنان

الوقائي

كلية طب الفم و الأسنان

جامعة القاهرة

د. أسامة إبراهيم الشهاوى

مدرس طب أسنان الأطفال و الصحة العامة للفم و الأسنان

كلية طب الفم و الأسنان

جامعة القاهرة

List of Tables

Table (1) Groups of samples included in this study	46
Table (2) Mean differences, standard deviation values for the changes in mean Roughness average	59
Table (3) Initial pH and Neutralizable acidity for each drink	65
Table (4) Change in Ca and P concentrations and weight loss after 24 hours	66
Table (5) Correlations between different variables	67

List of figures

Fig. (1) pH Meter	47
Fig. (2) Contact stylus profilometer.....	51
Fig. (3) Tooth covered with nail polish except for a standardized disk	52
Fig. (4) Spectrophotometer.....	54
Fig. (5) Reagents for Ca and P detection.....	54
Fig. (6) Pamphlet for using Ca detection reagent	55
Fig. (7) Pamphlet for using P detection reagent	56
Fig. (8) Stereo microscope with digital camera	57
Fig. (9) Scanning electron microscope.....	58
Fig. (10) Mean surface roughness before and after immersion for each group...	60
Fig. (11) Changes in surface roughness after immersion within each group.....	60
Fig. (12) Surface profile for enamel sample before and after immersion in Coca Cola drink.....	62

Fig. (13) Surface profile for enamel sample before and after immersion in 7 UP drink	63
Fig. (14) Surface profile for enamel sample before and after immersion in JUHAYNA drink	64
Fig. (15) Initial pH and neutralizable acidity for each drink	65
Fig. (16) Change in Ca and P concentration after 24 hours immersion	66
Fig. (17) Weight loss after 24 hours immersion	67
Fig.(18) SM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples before, and after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X63)	69
Fig.(18') SM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X63)	70
Fig.(19) SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples before, and after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X400)	72
Fig.(19') SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples after 24 hours immersion in drinks.(X400)	73
Fig.(20) SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples before, and after 24 hours immersion in drinks.(X2000)	74

Fig.(20') SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X2000)	75
Fig.(21) SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples before, and after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X4000)	76
Fig.(21') SEM micrographs showing erosive lesions on enamel surface samples after 24 hours immersion in drinks. (X4000)	77

Introduction

Dental erosion is defined as the acid induced irreversible mineral loss of dental hard tissues without the involvement of microorganisms **Eccles, (1979)**.

The occurrence of dental erosion was reported as early as the 19th century **Royston, (1808)**, and since then the incidence and prevalence of dental erosion is increasingly being reported. Its prevalence appears to be increasing especially among children and adolescents **Nunn et al., (2003)**.

Especially with the decline in caries rates in some countries, erosion is now becoming a focus of increasing interest both in clinical dentistry and research work.

The management of dental erosion is an area of clinical practice that is undoubtedly expanding. The past two decades have seen numerous investigations and reports on the prevalence, etiology, pathogenesis and modifying factors of dental erosion **Amaechi et al., (2003)**.

Soft drinks consumption has frequently been reported to be one of the most important risk factors of dental erosion. It is known that soft drink consumption is very high and may be increasing **Jensdottir et al., (2004)**.

In clinical studies, drinks with low pH such as cola-based carbonated drinks, have often been the drinks most related to dental erosion. Nevertheless in vitro studies have shown fruit juices to have higher neutralizable acidity than cola-based carbonated drinks; this has been used to suggest that fruit juices also may have considerable erosive potential **Edwards et al., (1999)**.

There is, consequently, a need to determine which soft drinks' properties -e.g. pH and neutralizable acidity- are the most important with respect to determining their erosive potential.

Review of literature

Enamel forms a protective covering of variable thickness covering the entire surface of tooth crown, providing the shape and contour of the crown. As it covers that part of the tooth exposed to oral environment, it is the primary site of defense against dental caries **Sharawy et al., (1991)**.

The inorganic content of enamel is crystalline calcium phosphate (Hydroxyapatite) with the chemical formula $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$. Small amounts of carbonate, magnesium, potassium, sodium, strontium, lead and fluoride are also present and therefore enamel crystals are considered imperfect hydroxyapatite. Most ion substitutes other than fluoride result in a small increase in the solubility of the crystal at an acidic pH. Although, the main bulk of enamel is formed of densely packed hydroxyapatite crystals, a fine network of organic material and water exist between the crystals **Eanes, (1979)** and **Eisenmann, (1998)**.

Enamel is composed of enamel prisms, prism sheaths and in some regions a cementing interprismatic substance **Sharawy et al., (1991)**.

Enamel prisms in human enamel are morphological features produced by ameloblasts. They are considered to be petrified images of the pathway of ameloblasts. The prisms own their existence to a highly organized pattern of crystal orientation **Radlanski et al., (2001)**.

When prisms are examined in cross sections, a keyhole shape can be observed. Enamel prisms are seen with specific orientation where the head of the key hole is oriented towards the cuspal or incisal direction, and the tail is oriented towards the cervix of the tooth, So that the tail of one enamel prisms lies between heads of two other prisms **Sharawy et al., (1991)**.

Not all prismatic enamel in human tooth shows the keyhole pattern, three shapes are commonly seen:

Pattern 1: Prisms appear completely circular. This is commonly seen near the enamel dentine junction and cusp tips.

Pattern 2: Prisms are arranged in longitudinal rows.

Pattern 3: Prisms are so called arcade which is the most common variety found in human.

The appearance of enamel prisms is dependent on the plane of section.
Helmcke, (1967)

Radlanski et al., (1995) reported that there is no difference between enamel prisms of permanent and deciduous enamel, except that prisms are smaller in deciduous enamel.

Scott et al., (1973) using electron microscope was able to distinguish: enamel prisms, prism sheath; a concentration of organic material at the periphery of the prism, and interprismatic substance.

Prism sheath was long considered to be a wholly organic membrane but, it is now well established that it contains more organic material than the prism **Gustafson et al., (1967)**. **Eisenmann, (1998)** reported that it is the boundary

where the crystals of the prisms meet those of the interprismatic region at sharp angles.

Prisms are held together by interprismatic cementing substance, which sometimes separates prisms and their sheaths or may be absent in areas leaving prism sheaths in contact with each other **Frank et al., (1967)** and **Gustafson et al., (1967)**.

Surface enamel differs physically and chemically from subsurface enamel. Surface enamel is harder, less porous, less soluble, and more radio opaque than subsurface enamel. It is richer in trace elements especially: fluoride, zinc, lead, and chlorides, it is lower in carbonated content, water content and higher in degree of mineralization. These properties may contribute to the ability to resist acid dissolution and caries initiation, as well as to the character of the early enamel lesion **Anderson et al., (1992)**.

Aprismatic or structureless enamel signifies the outer most layer of enamel a 30 µm thick layer described in 70% of permanent teeth and all deciduous teeth **Sharawy et al., (1991)**.

Surface enamel structure

-*Perikymata*: The enamel surface may be smooth or may have fine ridges, such ridges result from the *striae of Retzius* terminating on the surface enamel, forming transverse wave like grooves parallel to each other and to the cement-enamel junction. However, perikymata spacings vary and