

**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION  
OF SOME GOAT BREEDS RAISED UNDER  
EGYPTIAN CONDCTIONS**

**BY**

**AHMAD MOHAMED JNIED**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Aleppo University, 2004**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of  
the requirements for the degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**in**

**Agricultural Science  
(Genetics)**

**Department of Genetics  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Sham University**

**2009**

**Approval Sheet**

**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION  
OF SOME GOAT BREEDS RAISED UNDER  
EGYPTIAN CONDCTIONS**

**BY**

**AHMAD MOHAMED JNIED**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Aleppo University, 2004

**This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:**

**Prof. Dr. Abdel–Wahab Mohamed Hassan.....**

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Banha  
University

**Prof. Dr. Eman Mahmoud Fahmy.....**

Prof. of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed .....**

Prof. of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

**Date of Examination: 7 / 7 / 2009**

# **MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME GOAT BREEDS RAISED UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITONS**

BY

**AHMAD MOHAMED JNIED**

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Aleppo University, 2004

**Under the supervision of:**

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed**

Prof. of Genetics, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Reda Ismail Anous**

Prof. of Animal Husbandry, Department of Animal Production,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I wish to express my great and sincere gratitude to **ALLAH** who give me the prosperity and ability to achieve this work.

Great thanks to my home country, **Syria**, which gave me the opportunity to complete my post-graduate education, also thanks are forwarded to my second country **Egypt** which received me, offered all available facilities and abilities and **funded** this study.

The author wishes to express his great and sincere thanks, deepest respects and appreciations to his principle supervisor **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed** for his continuous encouragement, valuable advices and for the continuous support for thesis requirements.

Sincere respects and appreciations are offered to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Reda Ismail Anous** for his continuous revising, help and his endless support during the progress of his study.

I cannot forget my brother **Dr. Aiman Hanafy Atta** and thank him too much for his kind help and valuable advices.

Appreciation is also expressed to **Dr. Yasser Mohamed Saad** for his role in resolving lab-work difficulties.

The author extends his sincere thanks and respect to every one of the staff of the Department of Genetics and his laboratory colleagues, especially **Dr. Hamdi Ibrahim Alsaffouri, Nouh Eyd Ahmed and Dr. Mahmoud Moalla Ibrahim** for their help.

Firstly and finally the author offers his family; parents, wife, coming baby, brothers and sisters his great love for their encouragement and praying for him, especially his wife for her unlimited encouragement and continuous support.

## ABSTRACT

**Ahmad Mohamed Jnied: Molecular Genetic Characterization of Some Goat Breeds Raised Under Egyptian Conditions. Unpublished M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2009.**

Two Egyptian (*Baladi and Barki*) and one Syrian (*Damascus*) goat breeds were characterized using biochemical (SDS-PAGE and Native-PAGE) and molecular genetic (RAPD-PCR and SSR-PCR) techniques. The Syrian breed was previously classified into prolific and non-prolific groups according to litter size trait.

SDS-protein and RAPD analysis showed a high homogeneity value within each of the studied breeds, while native-protein analysis showed a lower homogeneity value within each one of the Damascus breed groups than Baladi and Barki breeds. Moreover, all used analyses showed some specific bands which differentiated among the studied breeds. In addition, Native-protein and RAPD analyses were more prominent than SDS-protein and SSR analyses in distinguishing among the four studied breeds. However, the dendrogram was constructed among the studied breeds based on the combined results analysis which showed that the two groups of Damascus breed were clustered together in one group, while Baladi breed was distantly related from this cluster.

### **Key words:**

Genetic Characterization, Molecular Fingerprint, Biochemical Fingerprint, Genetic Markers, Similarity, Dendrogram, Polymorphism, Goat Breeds.

## **CONTENTS**

<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Biochemical genetic fingerprinting	3
2. Molecular genetic fingerprinting	11
<b>III. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>18</b>
1. Materials	18
1.1. Animals management	18
1.2. Blood samples collection	19
2. Methods	20
2.1. Biochemical genetic study	20
2.1.1. SDS-PAGE electrophoresis	20
2.1.1.1. Stock solutions	20
2.1.1.1.1. Gel buffers	20
2.1.1.1.1.1. resolving gel (4x Tris-HCl/SDS, pH 8.8)	20
2.1.1.1.1.2. Stacking gel buffer (4xTris-HCl/SDS, pH 6.8)	20
2.1.1.1.2. Acrylamide stock solution (30 %)	20
2.1.1.1.3. Ammonium persulfate stock solution (APS 10% W/V)	21
2.1.1.1.4. SDS electrophoresis buffer	21
2.1.1.1.5. Staining solution	21
2.1.1.1.6. Destaining solution	21
2.1.1.2. Gel preparation	21
2.1.1.3. Preparing and loading samples	22
2.1.1.3.1. SDS sample buffer (2X Tris , pH 8.8)	22
2.1.1.3.2. Sample loading dye (5 X)	22
2.1.1.4. Electrophoretic conditions	23
2.1.1.5. Gel staining and destaining	23
2.1.2. Native-PAGE electrophoresis	23

## II

2.1.2.1. Preparing and loading samples	24
2.1.2.2. Gel running	24
2.2. Molecular genetics study	24
2.2.1. DNA extraction from frozen blood	24
2.2.2. Stock solutions	26
2.2.2.1. Cell lysis buffer, PH 8.0	26
2.2.2.2. Ammonium acetate solution (7.5 M)	26
2.2.2.3. Agarose gel buffer (5X Tris-borate (TBE)), pH 8.0	27
2.2.2.4. DNA running (electrode buffer 1x TBE), pH 8.0	27
2.2.2.5. Ethidium bromide dye solution	27
2.2.3. Agarose gel preparation	27
2.2.4. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA ( RAPD)	28
Analysis	
2.2.4.1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions	28
2.2.4.1.1. Primers	28
2.2.4.1.2. PCR conditions	29
2.2.4.1.3. DNA amplification cycles	30
2.2.5. Simple sequence repeats (SSR) analysis	30
2.2.5.1. PCR mixture for SSR analysis	30
2.2.5.2. SSR-PCR conditions	31
2.3. Gel and densitometric analysis	31
2.4. Dendrogram construction	33
<b>IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	34
1. Protein polymorphisms	34
1.1. Genetic polymorphism of the tested goat breeds as detect by SDS-protein	34
1.1.1. Within each studied breed	34
1.1.1.1. Baladi breed	34
1.1.1.2. Barki breed	34
1.1.1.3. Damascus breed	37

### III

1.1.2. SDS-protein among the studied breeds	39
1.1.3. SDS-protein-specific markers for the tested breeds	42
1.2. Genetic polymorphism of the studied goat breeds used native-protein	42
1.2.1. Within the studied breeds	43
1.2.1.1. Baladi breed	43
1.2.1.2. Barki breed	43
1.2.1.3. Damascus breed	45
1.2.2. Among the studied breeds	48
1.2.3. Native-protein-marker for the tested breeds	50
2. Molecular genetics fingerprinting	53
2.1. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	53
2.1.11. Genetic variation within-breeds	67
2.1.11. Genetic variation among-breeds	68
2.1.13. RAPD- specific markers for the studied breeds	68
2.2. Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR)	73
3. Combined results from SDS-protein, Native-protein, RAPD, and SSR analyses	77
<b>V. SUMMARY</b>	79
<b>VI. REFERENCES</b>	83
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	



## LIST OF TABLES

1.	Composition of the resolving gel (15%) and the staking gel (3.9%).	22
2.	Resolving acrylamide gels for native protein electrophoresis	24
3.	Codes, sequences, and GC per cent contents of primers used	29
4.	Name, sequences and annealing temperature degrees of each SSR primer.	32
5.	Score of SDS-protein banding pattern of Baladi breed individuals.	35
6.	Score of SDS-protein banding pattern of Barki breed individuals.	36
7.	Score of SDS-protein banding pattern of prolific Damascus breed group.	38
8.	Score of SDS-protein banding pattern of non- prolific Damascus breed group.	39
9.	Score of SDS-protein banding pattern of the studied breeds [Baladi, Barki and Damascus (prolific and non-prolific groups)].	41
10.	Similarity matrices among the tested goat breeds based on SDS –protein banding pattern.	41
11.	Score of native-protein banding pattern of Baladi breed individuals	44
12.	Score of native-protein banding pattern of Barki breed individuals.	45
13.	Score of native-protein banding pattern of prolific Damascus breed group individuals.	46
14.	Score of native-protein banding pattern of non-prolific Damascus breed group individuals.	48

15. Homogeneity percentages within the studied goat breeds 48  
based on the native-protein banding patterns.
16. Score of native-protein banding patterns of bulked 49  
samples for the studied breeds.
17. Similarity matrices of the studied goat breeds based on 50  
native –protein profiles.
18. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 55  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer A7.
19. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 56  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C2.
20. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 57  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C5.
21. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 58  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C8.
22. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 60  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C16.
23. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 61  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C19.

## VI

24. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 62  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer C20.
25. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 64  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer UBC24.
26. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 65  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with primer UBC.
27. Number of detected bands (N.D.B), number of 66  
polymorphic bands (N.P.B), Polymorphism% and  
molecular size range (bp) for RAPD fragments resulted  
with UBC primer.
28. Average of similarity values within each of Baladi, Barki, 67  
Prolific Damascus and non-prolific Damascus breeds of  
each used primer.
29. Score of RAPD-PCR polymorphic bands produced using 70  
ten bulked samples for Baladi, Barki and Damascus  
(prolific and non-prolific) breeds against the ten tested  
primers.
30. Similarity matrices among the studied breeds based on 71  
RAPD-PCR analysis.
31. Score of SSR-PCR produced fragments using ten bulked 75  
samples for each Baladi, Barki and Damascus (prolific  
and non-prolific) breeds against the ten tested primers.
32. Similarity matrices among the studied breeds based on 75  
SSR analysis.
33. Similarity matrices among the studied breeds based on 77  
combined data analyses.

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Side view of morphological features for Baladi (A), Barki (B) and Damascus (C) breed females.	19
2.	SDS-protein banding pattern of prolific Baladi breed individuals.	35
3.	SDS-protein banding pattern of non-prolific Barki breed individuals.	36
4.	SDS-protein banding pattern of prolific Damascus breed group.	37
5.	SDS-protein banding pattern of non-prolific Damascus breed group.	38
6.	SDS-protein banding pattern of the studied goat breeds [Baladi, Barki and Damascus (prolific and non-prolific groups)].	40
7.	Dendrogram tree for the genetic distance among the studied goat breeds based on SDS –protein banding pattern.	42
8.	Native-protein banding pattern of Baladi breed individuals.	43
9.	Native-protein banding pattern of Barki breed individuals.	44
10.	Native-protein banding pattern of prolific Damascus breed group individuals.	46
11.	Native-protein banding pattern of non-prolific Damascus breed group individuals.	47
12.	Native-protein banding patterns of Bulk samples for the studied breeds.	49
13.	Dendrogram of the genetic distances for the studied goat breeds based on native-protein profiles.	50
14.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific	54

## VIII

	Damascus (d) breeds against primer A7.	
15.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C2.	55
16.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C5.	57
17.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C8.	58
18.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C16.	59
19.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C19.	61
20.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer C20.	62
21.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer UBC24.	63
22.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer UBC92.	65
23.	RAPD fragments of ten individual samples for Baladi (a), Barki (b), prolific Damascus (c) and non-prolific Damascus (d) breeds against primer UBC93.	66
24.	RAPD-PCR fragments produced using ten bulked samples for Baladi, Barki and Damascus (prolific and non-prolific) breeds against the ten tested primers.	69

## IX

25.	Dendrogram for the genetic distances among the studied breeds based on RAPD-PCR analysis.	72
26.	SSR-PCR fragments produced using ten bulked samples for Baladi, Barki and Damascus (prolific and non-prolific) breeds against the ten tested primers.	74
27.	Dendrogram for the genetic distances among the studied breeds based on SSR-PCR analysis.	76
28.	Dendrogram for the genetic distances among the studied breeds based on combined data analyses.	78

## I. INTRODUCTION

Goats are widely distributed all over the world. They thrive in a wide variety of environmental conditions. They also consider as one of the most important economic sources of meat and milk in developing countries.

Improving the reproductive efficiency of goat flocks, especially in the developing countries, can increase the efficiency of kid production and consequently goat meat. On the other hand, the need to intensify goat production to conform today's systems of concentrated farming and to make goat raising more economically rewarding necessitated the use of prolific goats to increase returns per unit of production.

In Egypt, there are three major native goat breeds namely; Baladi, Barki (reared for meat production) and Zaraibi (reared for milk production).

Recently, many breeds were imported for crossing with the native breeds to improve their meat and milk production (such as Shami or Damascus goat). As a case, the Ministry of Agriculture in Egypt imported some bucks and does of the Damascus goat for crossing with the Barki goat to improve its meat production and recently the crossing between Damascus and Baladi goat was started for the same reason.

Analysis of genetic diversity as well as relationships between or within genera, species, or even individuals is essential to improve goat production. During the last three decades, classical strategies for evaluating genetic variability such as comparative anatomy, morphology, and embryology, have been increased. Nowadays, biochemical genetic fingerprint as well as molecular genetic fingerprint are quiet useful tools for genetic relationships studies among different resources (**White and Coocke, 1992; Radovic and Vapa, 1996**). Modern DNA manipulation provides unlimited potentialities for establishing accurate fingerprints at the molecular level.