VEGETATION OF AL-JABAL AL-AKHDAR AND POPULATION ECOLOGY OF TWO EBENUS SPECIES (LEGUMINOSAE) ENDEMIC TO NORTH AFRICA

Presented by

Omar Saad Sharashy

A Thesis Submitted to Faculty of Science

For the Degree of Ph. D. in Science (Plant Ecology)

Botany Department Faculty of Science Cairo University

2010

ABSTRACT

Student Name: Omar Saad Sharashy

Title of the thesis: "Vegetation of Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar and population ecology of two *Ebenus* species (Leguminosae) endemic to North Africa"

Degree: Ph. D. of Science (Plant Ecology)

Abstract: The complex topography of Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar resulted in the heterogeneity of habitats and greatly affects the plant community establishment and species richness. Study of the population demography and dynamics of the endemic *Ebenus armitagei* reveals that obstacles for natural regeneration and conservation of the species even at the protected sites are significant losses of seeds due to insect predation, low percentage of seedling emergence and low reproductive value. The endemic *Ebenus pinnata* shows intra-population variations in the plant's functional types; Ephemeral, Moddular and Coppiced, as a plasticity mechanism for survival and existence under the environmental heterogeneity.

Key words: Libya, *Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar*, vegetation, species richness, ordination, classification, *Ebenus* species, life table, fecundity schedule, demography, phenology

Supervisors:

From Cairo University:

- 1- Prof. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy
- 2- Dr. Hanan Farouk Kabiel

From Alexandria University:

3- Prof. Loutfy Boulos

Prof. Effat Fahmy Shabana

signature

Chairman of Botany Department Faculty of Science - Cairo University

APROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Thesis Title: "Vegetation of Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar and population ecology of two *Ebenus* species (Leguminosae) endemic to North Africa"

Name of candidate: Omar Saad Sharashy

This thesis has been approved for submission by the supervisors:

From Cairo University:

Prof. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy

Professor of Conservation & Applied Ecology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

Signature:

Dr. Hanan Farouk Kabiel

Lecturer of Plant Ecology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

Signature:

From Alexandria University:

Prof. Loutfy Boulos

Emeritus Professor of Taxonomy and Flora, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

Signature:

Prof. Effat Fahmy Shabana

Chairman of Botany Department Faculty of Science - Cairo University

Thesis examiners

- (1) Prof. Alan J. Gray Emeritus Professor Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH) CEH, Dorset laboratory, Wallingford, UK
- (2) Prof. knut krzywinski Professor Botanical Institute University of Bergen Allegaten 41, N 5007 Bergen, Norway
- (3) Prof. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy Professor of Conservation & Applied Ecology Botany Department, Faculty of Science Cairo University, Egypt

To My Family

Omar Saad Sharashy

This thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree at this or any other university. The references in the text show how specifically the extent to which I have availed myself to the work of other authors.

Omar Saad Sharashy

Acknowledgement

I express my sincere thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Ahmad Kamel Hegazy** for suggesting the research topic, his support and guidance throughout the investigation from the initial planning and the field work to the manuscript revision. I appreciate his encouragement, advice, constructive criticism, patience and for igniting my curiosity about plant ecology.

I am thankful to **Prof. Loutfy Boulos** for his guidance in field work, identification of plant specimens and meticulous revision of the manuscript. His willingness to teach me will always be appreciated.

Special thanks to *Dr. Hanan F. Kabiel* for her supervision, understanding support, guidance, unfailing enthusiasm and generosity of spirit. Her support and help during writing the thesis and related publications is highly appreciated. My sincere thanks to *Dr. Magdy I. El-Bana* for his help in running the computer programs for vegetation data analysis and interpretation.

Thanks to my friends and colleagues who gave me generously of their time and who were willing to help me whenever needed.

My gratitude and love to my wife and children who have supported and seen me through the best and worst times of this study.

Content

Subject	Page
List of Plates	iv
List of figures	V
List of tables	vi
Introduction	
Vegetation	1
Endemism in North Africa	3
Study species	4
Objectives	4
References	7
Chapter One	
Vegetation and species altitudinal distribution in <i>Al-Jabal Al-Akh</i> landscape area	dar
Abstract	9
Introduction	10
Materials and methods	12
Study area	12
Soil analysis	15
Vegetation	17
Results	18
Soil characteristics	18
Indirect gradients analysis	18
Direct gradient analysis	53
Discussion	57
The coastal landscape	59
The northern side landscape	59
The southern side landscape	61
The northern versus southern side landscape	62
Common species in different habitat types	63
References	67

Chapter Two

Population ecology of the endemic *Ebenus armitagei*

Introduction	75
Materials and methods	76
Study species	. 76
Study sites	. 76
Demography and life table statistics	80
Population phenology	. 81
Seed and vegetative traits	. 82
Results	. 83
Soil analysis	. 83
Adult population demography	83
Life cycle traits	. 86
Seed and vegetative traits	. 89
Population phenology traits	. 92
Discussion	
Conservation considerations	97
References	
Chapter Three Population ecology of the endemic <i>Ebenus pinnata</i>	
opulation ecology of the endernic Ebenus puntuu	
Abstract	103
Introduction	104
Materials and methods	105
Study species	
Study site	
Functional traits	. 108
Plant populations	. 109
Results	
Soil	. 110
Population demography traits	. 110
Population phenological traits	. 113
r r	, 11.

74

11
12
12
1.0
13
13
15
15

List of Plates

Subject	Page
Plate I.1. Ebenus armitagei individual plant (a) and close up view (b)	5
Plate I.2. Ebenus pinnata individual plant (a) and close up view (b)	6
Plate 1.1. Site 1; vegetation in Deryanah coastal plain.	25
Plate 1.2. Site 2; coastal sand dune vegetation in Birccess.	26
Plate 1.3. Site 3; coastal salt marsh vegetation in Birccess.	27
Plate 1.4. Site 4; low elevation vegetation in Al-Dercya 1.	28
Plate 1.5. Site 5; vegetation in Wadi El-Akar.	29
Plate 1.6. Site 6; high elevation vegetation in Al-Dercya 2.	30
Plate 1.7. Site 7; vegetation in Shahat area.	31
Plate 1.8. Site 8; coastal plain vegetation in Susah.	32
Plate 1.9a. Site 9; northern slope vegetation in El Fadil Abou Omar	33
Plate 1.9b. Site 9; northern slope vegetation in El Fadil Abou Omar (close up)	34
Plate 1.10. Site 10; vegetation in Wadi Algharega.	35
Plate 1.11a. Site 11; mountain top vegetation in Sidi Ahmad Al-Hemery	36
Plate 1.11b. Site 11; mountain top vegetation in Sidi Ahmad Al-Hemery	37
Plate 1.12. Site 12; mountain top vegetation in Slanta.	38
Plate 1.13a. Site 13; southern slope vegetation in Qandulah.	39
Plate 1.13b. Site 13; orchard vegetation in Qandulah.	40
Plate 1.14. Site 14; dry southern slope vegetation around Al-Marj-Al-Baida motorway 1.	41
Plate 1.15a. Site 15; vegetation in Wadi El-Kouf.	42
Plate 1.15b. Site 15; vegetation in Wadi El-Kouf.	43
Plate 1.15c. Site 15; vegetation in Wadi El-Kouf.	44
Plate 1.16. Site 16; southern slope vegetation around Al-Baida-Al-Marj motorway	45
Plate 1.17. Site 17; southern slope vegetation around Al-Marj-Al-Baida motorway 2	46
Plate 2.1. Close up view of a flowering branch of <i>Ebenus armitagei</i>	77
Plate 3.1. Functional types of <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> .	107

List of Figures

Figure 1.2: The study area in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar showing the study sites		
Figure 1.2: The study area in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar showing the study sites. Figure 1.3. Mean monthly temperature for Derna and Shahat in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar. Figure 1.4. Cluster dendrogram showing vegetation groups. Figure 1.5. DCA showing vegetation groups. Figure 1.6. Total number of families and species and percent contribution of herbs. Figure 1.7. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Sarcopoterium spinosum. Figure 1.8. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Phlomis floccose. Figure 1.9. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Echium angustifolium. Figure 1.10. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Juniperus phoenicea. Figure 1.11. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Giobularia alypum. Figure 1.12. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Globularia alypum. Figure 1.13. CCA- ordination biplot of the study sites. Figure 1.14. CCA- ordination biplot of the study species Figure 1.15. Relationship between altitude and the total number of species in the sites. Figure 2.1. The study sites of Ebenus armitagei at the Western Mediterranean coast Figure 2.3. Difference between the stable age and the actual age distributions to the population of Ebenus armitagei. Figure 2.4. Survivorship and killing power of Ebenus armitagei Figure 2.5. Reproductive rate and intrinsic rate of population increase per capita of Ebenus armitagei Figure 3.1. Ordination biplot of the first two principal components of a PCA carried out on the three Ebenus pinnata populations and eight soil characters matrix. Figure 3.2. Iuvenile and adult population demography of the three functional types of Ebenus pinnata: ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types. Figure 3.5. Survivorship-log scale, mortality rate and expectation of future life of the three functional types of E. pinnata at the different life cycle stages. 11 Figure 3.6. Life table statistics of the ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types	Figure I.1. The three major phytogeographical regions of Libya	2
Figure 1.2: The study area in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar showing the study sites. Figure 1.3. Mean monthly temperature for Derna and Shahat in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar. Figure 1.4. Cluster dendrogram showing vegetation groups. Figure 1.5. DCA showing vegetation groups. Figure 1.6. Total number of families and species and percent contribution of herbs. Figure 1.7. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Sarcopoterium spinosum. Figure 1.8. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Phlomis floccose. Figure 1.9. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Juniperus phoenicea. Figure 1.10. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Juniperus phoenicea. Figure 1.11. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Globularia alypum. Figure 1.12. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Globularia alypum. Figure 1.13. CCA- ordination biplot of the study sites. Figure 1.14. CCA- ordination biplot of the study species. Figure 1.15. Relationship between altitude and the total number of species in the sites. Figure 2.1. The study sites of Ebenus armitagei at the Western Mediterranean coast. Figure 2.2. Population structure and reproductive value of Ebenus armitagei. Figure 2.3. Difference between the stable age and the actual age distributions to the population of Ebenus armitagei. Figure 2.5. Reproductive rate and intrinsic rate of population increase per capita of Ebenus armitagei. Figure 3.1. Ordination biplot of the first two principal components of a PCA carried out on the three Ebenus pinnata populations and eight soil characters matrix. 11 Figure 3.2. Juvenile and adult population demography of the three functional types of Ebenus pinnata: ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types of Ebenus pinnata: ephemeral type, modular type, and coppiced type. Figure 3.5. Survivorship-log scale, mortality rate and expectation of future life of the three functional types of E. pinnata at the different life cycle stages. 11 Figure 3.6. Life table statistics of the ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional ty	Figures1.1. The location of Libya and the major phytogeographical regions	11
Figure 1.3. Mean monthly temperature for Derna and Shahat in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar Figure 1.4. Cluster dendrogram showing vegetation groups		13
Figure 1.4. Cluster dendrogram showing vegetation groups	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16
Figure 1.5. DCA showing vegetation groups		20
Figure 1.6. Total number of families and species and percent contribution of herbs		21
Figure 1.7. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Sarcopoterium spinosum		23
Figure 1.8. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Phlomis floccose		47
Figure 1.9. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Echium angustifolium		48
Figure 1.10. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Juniperus phoenicea	• • •	49
Figure 1.11. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of Cistus parviflorus	• • •	50
Figure 1.13. CCA- ordination biplot of the study sites		51
Figure 1.14. CCA- ordination biplot of the study species	Figure 1.12. Overlay diagram showing the distribution of <i>Globularia alypum</i>	52
Figure 2.1. The study sites of Ebenus armitagei at the Western Mediterranean coast Figure 2.2. Population structure and reproductive value of Ebenus armitagei	Figure 1.13. CCA- ordination biplot of the study sites	54
Figure 2.1. The study sites of Ebenus armitagei at the Western Mediterranean coast Figure 2.2. Population structure and reproductive value of Ebenus armitagei	Figure 1.14. CCA- ordination biplot of the study species	55
Figure 2.2. Population structure and reproductive value of Ebenus armitagei	Figure 1.15. Relationship between altitude and the total number of species in the sites	58
Figure 2.3. Difference between the stable age and the actual age distributions to the population of Ebenus armitagei	Figure 2.1. The study sites of <i>Ebenus armitagei</i> at the Western Mediterranean coast	78
Figure 2.4. Survivorship and killing power of Ebenus armitagei		85
Figure 2.4. Survivorship and killing power of Ebenus armitagei		0.7
Figure 2.5. Reproductive rate and intrinsic rate of population increase per capita of Ebenus armitagei		87
Figure 2.6. Phenophases of the Omayed, Hekma protected and Hekma unprotected populations	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	88
Figure 2.6. Phenophases of the Omayed, Hekma protected and Hekma unprotected populations		00
Figure 3.1. Ordination biplot of the first two principal components of a PCA carried out on the three <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> populations and eight soil characters matrix		90
Figure 3.1. Ordination biplot of the first two principal components of a PCA carried out on the three <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> populations and eight soil characters matrix		93
on the three <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> populations and eight soil characters matrix	1 1	93
Figure 3.2. Juvenile and adult population demography of the three functional types of <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> as based on the number of inflorescences per individual plant		111
Ebenus pinnata as based on the number of inflorescences per individual plant	1 11	111
Figure 3.3. Phenophases of the ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types 11 Figure 3.4. Seeds of <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> : ephemeral type, modular type, and coppiced type. 11 Figure 3.5. Survivorship-log scale, mortality rate and expectation of future life of the three functional types of <i>E. pinnata</i> at the different life cycle stages		112
Figure 3.4. Seeds of <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> : ephemeral type, modular type, and coppiced type. 11 Figure 3.5. Survivorship-log scale, mortality rate and expectation of future life of the three functional types of <i>E. pinnata</i> at the different life cycle stages. 11 Figure 3.6. Life table statistics of the ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types		114
Figure 3.5. Survivorship-log scale, mortality rate and expectation of future life of the three functional types of <i>E. pinnata</i> at the different life cycle stages		117
three functional types of <i>E. pinnata</i> at the different life cycle stages		/
Figure 3.6. Life table statistics of the ephemeral, modular and coppiced functional types		119
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	/
of Beening puntation	of Ebenus pinnata	120

List of tables

Table 1.1. Study sites in Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar landscape.	14
Table 1.2. Soil characteristics of the study sites.	19
Table 1.3. CCA-ordination for 111 species	56
Table 1.4. Correlation coefficients between environmental variables and ordination	
CCA-axes	56
Table 2.1. Physical and chemical characteristics (Means \pm SD) of the soil supporting	
growth of Ebenus armitagei	84
Table 2.2. Seed and germination and vegetative cutting success of <i>Ebenus armitagei</i> .	91
Table 3.1. Functional type traits of <i>Ebenus pinnata</i> .	116
Table 3.2. Synthetic table showing functional trait variations between ephemeral and	
coppiced types of <i>E. pinnata</i>	125

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

General Introduction

Vegetation

Few ecological studies were carried out on the biotic and abiotic components of desert ecosystems in Libya. Some parts of the country were studied in connection with other vast areas of the Sahara, North Africa or with subjects devoted to special topics, e.g., pasture, savannah and esparto lands (steppe or grazing land characterized by loamy soils and covered by the esparto grass, Lygeum spartum). Most of these studies are not published and only few were published (Scholz, 1974; Boulos, 1977; Schishov, 1980; Brullo & Furnari, 1994; Hegazy, 1999a & b; Le Houérou, 1997; El-Kady, 2000; Huerta-Martínez et al. 2004).

The landscape in Libya (The fourth-largest country in Africa) exhibits well defined landforms. The main geomorphological systems include sand formations, gravel and rocky desert depressions, salt affected lands, fallow lands, wadis, escarpments and mountainous landscape. Libya comprises three main phytogeographical areas (provinces): Tripolitania, Cyrenaica and Fezzan (Figure I.1). Tripolitania covers the north western corner of the country and contains the *Nafusah* plateau. Cyrenaica, the largest phytogeographical region, covers the entire eastern half of the country and houses *Al-Jabal Al-Akhdar* (the Green Mountain) Landscape. The Fezzan covers the land south of Tripolitania and considered the home of desert lands, including the Sahara.

Although there are numerous floristic studies, little attention has been paid to the ecology and vegetation beyond generalized descriptions of some sites in the Green Mountain region (Boulos, 1972; Johnson, 1973; Jafri & El-Gadi, 1977-1993; Brullo & Furnari, 1981; Zayed, 2005). Few