

CHARACTERISTIC CHANGES IN US ECHOGENICITY, CT ATTENUATION AND MR IMAGING SIGNAL INTENSITY IN CHARACTERIZATION OF INFECTIOUS LIVER DISEASES.

Essay

Submitted for Partial fulfillment of the Master Degree in
Radiodiagnosis

Presented by

Raghda Asaad Abdel-Wahab

M.B., B.Ch.

Faculty of medicine

Ain shams university

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Mohsen Gomaa Hassan

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Hussien

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2012

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, thanks to Allah, to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Mohsen Gomaa Hassan, for his sincere encouragement, constant advice and valuable guidance throughout the performance of this work.

I owe special gratitude to Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Hussien , for his close supervision and continuous advice which gave me the best guide during different stages of this work.

I would like to thank my professors, my husband, my family, my friends and my colleagues for their support and moral encouragement.

Raghda Asaad Abdel-Wahab

Contents

Title	Page
• <i>List of abbreviations</i>	<i>I</i>
• <i>List of figures</i>	<i>IV</i>
• <i>Introduction and aim of the work</i>	<i>1</i>
• <i>Chapter 1:</i>	
- <i>Anatomical consideration of liver</i>	<i>4</i>
• <i>Chapter 2:</i>	
- <i>Pathological consideration of infectious liver diseases</i>	<i>29</i>
• <i>Chapter 3:</i>	
- <i>Technique of US, CT & MRI of liver</i>	<i>39</i>
• <i>Chapter 4:</i>	
- <i>Changes in US, CT & MRI in infectious liver diseases</i>	<i>60</i>
• <i>Summary and Conclusion</i>	<i>115</i>
• <i>References</i>	<i>116</i>
• <i>Arabic Summary</i>	<i>--</i>

List of Abbreviations

<i>Acc :</i>	<i>Accessory</i>
<i>ADC :</i>	<i>Apparent diffusion coefficient</i>
<i>ALA :</i>	<i>Amebic liver abscess</i>
<i>2D :</i>	<i>Two-dimensional</i>
<i>CECT :</i>	<i>Contrast enhanced computed tomography</i>
<i>CEUS :</i>	<i>Contrast enhanced ultrasound</i>
<i>CHA :</i>	<i>Common hepatic artery</i>
<i>CT :</i>	<i>Computed tomography</i>
<i>3D :</i>	<i>Three dimensional</i>
<i>DWI :</i>	<i>Diffusion weighted imaging</i>
<i>FIESTA :</i>	<i>Fast imaging employing steady state acquisition</i>
<i>FISP :</i>	<i>Fast imaging with steady-state precession</i>
<i>FLASH :</i>	<i>fast low-angle shot</i>
<i>FOV :</i>	<i>Field of view</i>
<i>GDA :</i>	<i>Gastroduodenal artery</i>
<i>HA :</i>	<i>Hepatic artery</i>
<i>HASTE :</i>	<i>Half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo spin echo</i>
<i>HAV :</i>	<i>Hepatitis A virus</i>

<i>HBs Ag :</i>	<i>Hepatitis B surface antigen</i>
<i>HBV :</i>	<i>Hepatitis B virus</i>
<i>HCC :</i>	<i>Hepatocellular carcinoma</i>
<i>HCV :</i>	<i>Hepatitis C virus</i>
<i>HDV :</i>	<i>Hepatitis D virus</i>
<i>HT :</i>	<i>Hepatic trunk</i>
<i>HU :</i>	<i>Hounsfield unit</i>
<i>IV :</i>	<i>Intravenous</i>
<i>IVC :</i>	<i>Inferior vena cava</i>
<i>LAVA :</i>	<i>Liver acquisition with volume acceleration</i>
<i>LGA :</i>	<i>Left gastric artery</i>
<i>MRCP:</i>	<i>Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreato- graphy</i>
<i>MRE :</i>	<i>Magnetic resonance elastography</i>
<i>RES :</i>	<i>Reticulo endothelial system</i>
<i>PLA :</i>	<i>Pyogenic liver abscess</i>
<i>RPV :</i>	<i>Right portal vein</i>
<i>RPPV :</i>	<i>Right posterior portal vein</i>
<i>SMV :</i>	<i>Superior mesenteric vein</i>
<i>SPAIR :</i>	<i>Spectral presaturation attenuated inversion recovery</i>

<i>SPIR :</i>	<i>Spectral presaturation inversion-recovery</i>
<i>SSFSE :</i>	<i>Single-shot fast spin echo</i>
<i>RHA :</i>	<i>Right hepatic artery</i>
<i>SGE :</i>	<i>Spoiled gradient echo</i>
<i>SI :</i>	<i>Signal intensity</i>
<i>SMA :</i>	<i>Superior mesenteric artery</i>
<i>SPIO :</i>	<i>Super paramagnetic iron oxide</i>
<i>STEAM :</i>	<i>Stimulated echo acquisition mode</i>
<i>T :</i>	<i>Tesla</i>
<i>TE :</i>	<i>Time of echo</i>
<i>TR :</i>	<i>Time to repeat</i>
<i>VIBE :</i>	<i>Volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination</i>

List of Figures

<i>Figure number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page number</i>
<i>Fig. 1</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of Diaphragmatic and visceral surfaces of the liver</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Fig. 2</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of visceral surface of the liver</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Fig. 3</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of the Posterior relations of liver</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Fig. 4</i>	<i>Diagrammatic Illustration of hepatic segmental Anatomy</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Fig. 5</i>	<i>Computerized tomography of the liver, using the vessels as landmarks to define the different segments</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Fig.6</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of hepatic lobule</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Fig.7</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of Arterial supply of the liver</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Fig.8</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of portal vein</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Fig.9A</i>	<i>CT upper abdomen: Level of gastro-esophageal junction (T10)</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Fig.9B</i>	<i>CT abdomen: level of body of stomach (T11)</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Fig.9C</i>	<i>CT abdomen: level of body of pancreas (T12)</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Fig.9D</i>	<i>CT abdomen: level of pancreatic head (L1)</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Fig. 10</i>	<i>Coronal T1 MR Image shows relation of the liver to lower lung bases, heart as well as great vessels</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Fig. 11</i>	<i>Coronal T2 MR image shows relation of the liver to lower lung bases, heart as</i>	<i>25</i>

	<i>well as great vessels</i>	
<i>Fig. 12A</i>	<i>T1-weighted spin echo MR image showing the hepatic veins course between the major liver segments</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Fig.12B</i>	<i>T2-weighted spin echo MR image shows the hepatic veins as joining the IVC</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Fig.12C</i>	<i>T1-weighted spin echo MR image, show right and middle hepatic veins and right portal vein branches</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Fig.12D</i>	<i>T2-weighted spin echo MR image shows the liver parenchyma in compatrison to other structures</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Fig.12F</i>	<i>T2-weighted sequence shows signal intensity of the liver parenchyma in compqrison of signal intensity of the vessels</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>Fig.12H</i>	<i>T2-weighted sequence shows, gall bladder, duodenum, pancreas, and stomach</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Fig. 13</i>	<i>Diagramatic illustration of Life cycle of Echinococcus species</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Fig. 14A</i>	<i>Color Doppler sonogram shows main portal vein velocity measurement</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Fig.14B</i>	<i>Color Doppler sonogram shows hepatic arterial velocity measuremen</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Fig. 15A</i>	<i>Doppler sonogram show portal vein diameter</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Fig.15B</i>	<i>Doppler sonogram show portal vein circumference</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Fig. 16</i>	<i>Doppler US show different patterns of phacisity in hepatic veins.</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Fig. 17</i>	<i>Coronal T2WI shows the entire abdomen</i>	<i>51</i>

<i>Fig. 18</i>	<i>Axial image of the porta hepatis by using true fast imaging with steady-state precession</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Fig. 19</i>	<i>DWI series obtained before and after contrast enhancement</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Fig. 20</i>	<i>T1WI shows phases of dynamic contrast-enhanced hepatic imaging</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Fig. 21</i>	<i>US in Patient with acute hepatitis</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Fig. 22</i>	<i>US of cirrhotic liver</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Fig. 23</i>	<i>Ultrasound features of liver parenchymal texture</i>	<i>62</i>
<i>Fig. 24</i>	<i>Ultrasound features of the liver edge</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>Fig. 25</i>	<i>Sonographic pattenen assosciated with cirrohsis.</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>Fig. 26</i>	<i>CT scan of cirrohtic liver</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Fig. 27</i>	<i>Mixed micro- and macronodular cirrhosis in an explanted cirrhotic liver</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>Fig. 28</i>	<i>Hepatic parenchymal alterations at unenhanced MR imaging in a man with HCV-related cirrhosis CT.</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Fig.29</i>	<i>Axial 3D T1-weighted spoiled gradient-echo images of the cirrhotic liver (Dynamic study)</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Fig.30</i>	<i>Ultrasonography of HCC</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>Fig.31</i>	<i>Triphasic CT scan of HCC</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>Fig.32</i>	<i>Dynamic MRI in 69-year-old man had moderately differentiated HCC</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Fig.33</i>	<i>Dynamic MRI in 52-year-old woman had well-differentiated HCC</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>Fig.34</i>	<i>Ultrasonography of Liver hydatid disease</i>	<i>79</i>
<i>Fig.35</i>	<i>Ultrasonography shows a lesion with mixed echogenicity, with hydatid sand</i>	<i>80</i>

<i>Fig.36</i>	<i>Ultrasonography of hydatid disease show multiple internal septa and floating membranes</i>	<i>80</i>
<i>Fig.37</i>	<i>Ultrasonography of multivesicular hydatid cyst</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Fig.38</i>	<i>Ultrasonography of calcified hydatid cyst</i>	<i>81</i>
<i>Fig.39</i>	<i>CT scan of Calcified unilocular hydatid cyst</i>	<i>82</i>
<i>Fig.40</i>	<i>CT scan shows hydatid (pericyst)</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Fig.41</i>	<i>CT scan shows type II hydatid disease</i>	<i>84</i>
<i>Fig.42</i>	<i>CT scan shows type III hydatid disease</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Fig.43</i>	<i>CT scan shows type IV hydatid disease</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Fig.44</i>	<i>CT scan shows superinfection at partially calcified hydatid cyst</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>Fig.45</i>	<i>CT scan shows complicated hepatic hydatid cyst</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>Fig.46</i>	<i>T1WI & T2WI of Type II hydatid disease</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>Fig.47</i>	<i>T2-weighted and post-contrast images show the exophytic growth of hydatid cyst (type II)</i>	<i>89</i>
<i>Fig.48</i>	<i>T2-weighted magnetic resonance image show the hydatid cyst that occupies almost the entire right lobe of the liver</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Fig.49</i>	<i>T2-weighted magnetic resonance image show “snake sign”</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Fig.50</i>	<i>T1WI & T2WI show infected hydatid cyst</i>	<i>92</i>
<i>Fig.51</i>	<i>T1WI & T2WI show a huge and multilocular hydatid cyst</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>Fig.52</i>	<i>Ultrasonography in 61-year-old man with E. multilocularis infection of the liver</i>	<i>94</i>

<i>Fig.53</i>	<i>Ultrasonography in 45-year-old woman with metastatic E. multilocularis infection..</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>Fig.54</i>	<i>CECT shows hypodense central lesion representing necrotic tissue (E.multiloclaris)</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Fig.55</i>	<i>Coronal contrast enhanced T1-weighted fat saturated image shows "geographical map". pattern, a characteristic-imaging feature of E.multiloclaris</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Fig.56</i>	<i>Axial-unenhanced CT scan reveals large calcification with irregular margins in the liver, representing non vital final stage of E. multiloclaris</i>	<i>97</i>
<i>Fig.57</i>	<i>CECT reveals infiltration of the hepatic hilum by parasitic tissue</i>	<i>97</i>
<i>Fig.58A</i>	<i>3D MR cholangiogram shows multiple small nodular filling defects within the dilated bile ducts,representing metacestodal vesicles.</i>	<i>98</i>
<i>Fig.58B</i>	<i>Endoscopic retrograde cholangiogram confirm diagnosis of multiple intraductal cysts</i>	<i>98</i>
<i>Fig.59</i>	<i>Enhanced CT scan shows lobar atrophy (characteristic feature for alveolar echinococcosis of the liver)</i>	<i>98</i>
<i>Fig.60</i>	<i>Diagrammatic illustration of different patterns of portal fibrosis in schistosomiasis</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Fig.61</i>	<i>Schistosomiasis. Longitudinal US image through the liver shows the characteristic US network pattern</i>	<i>101</i>
<i>Fig.62</i>	<i>Schistosomiasis. CT scan of the liver reveals characteristic pericapsular and</i>	<i>102</i>

	<i>periportal calcifications</i>	
<i>Fig.63</i>	<i>Different sonographic apperances of ALAs</i>	<i>104</i>
<i>Fig.64</i>	<i>CECT shows multiple hepatic microabscesses</i>	<i>105</i>
<i>Fig.65</i>	<i>CECT shows multiple hepatic abscesses</i>	<i>106</i>
<i>Fig.66</i>	<i>CECT shows unilocular ameboic liver abscess</i>	<i>107</i>
<i>Fig.67</i>	<i>Liver abscess.CECT shows homogenous hypodense non enhancing mass with thick capsule or wall</i>	<i>107</i>
<i>Fig.68</i>	<i>MRI of pyogenic liver abscess</i>	<i>108</i>
<i>Fig.69</i>	<i>US in immunocompromised patient shows hepatic candida lesions</i>	<i>109</i>
<i>Fig.70</i>	<i>Candidiasis. Contrast-enhanced CT scan of the liver shows multiple hypoattenuating microabscesses</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>Fig.71</i>	<i>Candidiasis. CECT shows small hypodense lesions within the hepatic dome.</i>	<i>111</i>
<i>Fig.72</i>	<i>Candidiasis. CECT shows numerous microabscesses with peripheral enhancement</i>	<i>111</i>
<i>Fig.73</i>	<i>Candidiasis. Axial T1-weighted MR image reveals relatively hyperintense lesions</i>	<i>112</i>
<i>Fig.74</i>	<i>CT scan of miliary hepatic tuberculosis.</i>	<i>114</i>
<i>Fig.75</i>	<i>CT scan of Hepatosplenic tuberculosis.</i>	<i>114</i>

INTRODUCTION

Imaging has an important role in the diagnosis and follow-up of hepatic infections. In routine clinical practice, US, CT, and MR imaging may be used. Characteristic changes in US echogenicity, CT attenuation, or MR imaging signal intensity and typical enhancement patterns can contribute to the diagnosis of specific infectious diseases, including abscesses, parasitic diseases, fungal diseases, granulomatous diseases, viral hepatitis, and other less common infections (*Mortelé et al, 2004*).

In planning the therapeutic approach for patients who have or are suspected of having liver lesions, imaging is one of the major sources of information. Although ultrasonography (US) will depict most of the focal liver lesions, characterization of the nature of such lesions often depends on an additional imaging evaluation, sometimes followed by a histopathologic examination (*Matthijs Oudkerk et al, 2002*).

The two principal modalities for the additional imaging evaluation of the liver, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, have undergone marked technical advances over the past few years (*Matthijs Oudkerk et al, 2002*).

CT is particularly helpful in revealing the presence of calcifications and gas and in detailing the enhancement pattern (*Mortelé et al, 2004*).

In patients suspected of having hepatic fungal infection, arterial phase CT depicts significantly more hepatic lesions than does CT performed during the other phases, and it reveals more lesions with enhancement patterns suggestive of infection. Arterial phase CT should be performed in

addition to portal venous phase CT in patients suspected of having hepatic fungal infection (**Metser et al, 2005**).

The multiplanar capability of MR imaging and its sensitivity to small differences in tissue composition increase its specificity for certain hepatic infections, including hydatid cyst and candidiasis (**Mortelé et al, 2004**).

Clinical MR imaging sequences for hepatic imaging continue to evolve at a fast rate. The three basic demands if MR imaging has been chosen for hepatic imaging are: improving parenchymal contrast, suppressing respiratory motion artifact, and ensuring complete anatomic coverage. To guarantee satisfactory consideration of these three basic demands, use of the advanced designs of T1-weighted and T2- weighted imaging sequences remains the greatest challenge in hepatic MR imaging (**Boll and Merkle, 2009**).

With the introduction of abdominal diffusion weighted imaging, a very promising tool for extraction of both qualitative and quantitative information from hepatic MR imaging series without the administration of exogenous contrast medium became available (**Boll and Merkle, 2009**).

The elasticity of liver parenchyma shows a strong correlation with degree of hepatic fibrosis and association with increased vascular resistance, as seen in elevated portal venous pressure. MR Elastography allows quantification of the viscoelastic property of the liver, in particular for assessment of hepatic fibrosis (**Boll and Merkle, 2009**).

AIM OF THE WORK

This essay is designed to demonstrate characteristic changes in US echogenicity, CT attenuation & MR imaging signal intensity in characterization of infectious liver diseases.