



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
GEOPHYSICS DEPARTMENT**

Seismic De- Multiple Techniques, Studies and Optimization

**BY
KHOLOUD BADR ELIOW
B. Sc.**

**A THESIS
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MASTER DEGREE OF
SCIENCE**

**IN
GEOPHYSICS
Supervised by**

**PROF. DR. A. NASSER HELAL
Professor of Geophysics
Geophysics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University**

**DR. AHMED ELBASSIONY
Associate Professor of Geophysics
Geophysics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University**

**CAIRO, EGYPT.
2015**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and above all, I would like to express my great thanks to” ALLA” who supplied me with strength and patience to complete this work.

I am indebted to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. A. Nasser Helal Professor of Geophysics and Dr. Ahmed Elbassiony Associate Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, and Faculty of science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, for supervising, critical reading and revising the manuscript.

My deep thanks, gratitude and appreciation are extended to Dr. Ahmed Elbassiony Associate professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the point of research, supervising and planning the work, critical reading and revising the manuscript.

My deep thanks Petroleum Geo-Services for facilitating the software for processing the 2D synthetic dataset.

ABSTRACT

The development of the surface related multiple elimination techniques have started to take a new curve. The traditional techniques using velocity discrimination of multiples and periodicity estimation are on their final development peek. The reason these techniques have reached a stall development is due to the problems they failed to solve in complex water bottom structures. This work will show how a 2D synthetic data with fairly complex water bottom relief can have quite complex interference of primaries and multiples in the near-surface without being correctly predicted by these techniques. The 2D SRME technique will have some problems in 2D in predicting the multiples at their correct time and phase.

The predictive deconvolution can still be accounted for in the shallow water bottom situation given the water bottom is flat. The limitation that will be presented in this work will through the light on the need of a more powerful technique that is free from assumptions related to the natural geologic nature of the problem. Instead, the optimal technique shall use the details of the geologic nature of the problem to solve it. The conclusion is to highlight the advantages and disadvantages of each of the studied methods so that precautions can be taken into account when dealing with similar geologic situations, and to draw a best practice for at least two different degrees of complexity of the water bottom

Contents

Subject	page
Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	ii
Contents	iv
List Of Figures	v
List Of Tables	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Outline	1
1.2 Available Data	3
1.3 Scope Of The Present Study	4
CHAPTER TWO: DE-MULTIPLE TECHNIQUES	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2. Multiple Removal Based On Move-Out And Dips Discrimination	6
2.2.1 F-K Demultiple	7
2.2.2 Radon Transforms	8
2.2.2.1 The Linear Radon Transform	10
2.2.2.2 The Parabolic Radon Transform	12
2.2.2.3 The Hyperbolic Radon Transform	13
2.2.3 Limitation	13
2.2.4 Conclusion	14
2.3 Periodicity Based Method Category	15
2.3.1 Predictive Demultiple	16
2.3.1.1 Designing Filter By Least Square Optimization	18
2.3.1.2 Predictive Deconvolution Parameter	20
2.3.1.2.1 Autocorrelation Window (W)	20
2.3.1.2.2 Operator Length (N)	21
2.3.1.2.3 Prediction Lag (A)	21
2.3.2 Shallow Water Demultiple	22
2.3.3 Limitation	23
2.3.4 Conclusion	25
2.4 Model Based Methods	26
2.4.1 Surface Related Multiple Elimination	26

2.4.1.1theory	29
2.4.2 Limitation	32
2.4.3 Conclusion	33
2.5 Adaptive Subtraction Of Predicted Multiples	33
2.5.1 Least Square Subtraction Strategy	34
2.5.2 Combine Global And Local Subtraction	35
2.5.3 Limitation	35
2.5.4 Conclusion	36
CHAPTER THREE: ELIMINATION OF SURFACE RELATED MULTIPLE	39
3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 Finite Difference Modeling With The Acoustic Wave Equation	39
3.3 Case Study	42
3.3.1 Predictive Deconvolution	51
3.3.2 Shallow Water Demultiple	68
3.3.3 Surface Related Multiple Elimination	82
3.4 CONCLUSIONS	100
CHAPTER FOUR: DE-MULTIPLE STRATEGY	103
4.1 Introduction	103
4.2 Multi-Model Adaptive Subtraction	104
4.2.1 Strategy 1	105
4.2.2 Strategy 2	110
4.3 Summary	117
4.4 Parabolic Radon Transform	120
4.5 Time Migration	128
4.6 CONCLUSIONS	133
SUMMERY AND CONCLUSIONS	135
REFERENCES	141
ARABIC SUMMARY	145

List of Figures

Figures	Page
Figure 2.1 A hyperbola in CMP gather (a) maps onto an ellipse in the (τ -p) domain (b); and a straight line in CMP gather maps to and a point in (τ -p) domain. Energy is tangent to the line B in (a) maps to the point B in (b)	11
Figure 2.2 The periodicity of multiples along p traces	11
Figure 2.3 a) a first order multiple consists of two primaries b) make all possible primary combinations	27
Figure 2.4 (a) illustrates this point where the surface multiple SABR has three primary segments: SA, AB, and BR. The surface multiple in Figure 2.1(b) consists of two primary reflection from the water bottom primary events SA and AR. Primary SA is in the trace whose shot and receiver are located at points S and A, respectively. Primary AR is in the trace whose shot and receiver are located at points A and R, respectively.	28
Figure 3 .1 The General workflow used to prepare the data to the different Demultiple procedures	43
Figure 3 .2 Interval velocity model converted to time domain showing different Primary horizons (P1 is the water bottom)	44
Figure 3 .3 Example of one of the synthetic shot records	45
Figure 3 .4 Example of the synthetic before/after spherical divergence correction	47
Figure 3 .5 Example of the synthetic before/after direct arrival attenuation	48
Figure 3 .6 NMO stack showing the complexity of the surface related multiples and their interference at the different water bottom reliefs. The study will show the effect of the different demultiple techniques at three different cases of water bottom complexity, namely case-1: shallow flat WB and case-2: dipping	50
Figure 3 .7 Shot gather before applying predictive deconvolution	53

Figure 3 .8 Multiple models from predictive deconvolution	54
Figure 3 .9 Shot gather after applying predictive deconvolution	55
Figure 3 .10 Flat WB A)Shot gather before applying predictive deconvolution B) Shot gather after applying predictive deconvolution C) Multiple models from predictive deconvolution First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	56
Figure 3 .11 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before applying predictive deconvolution B) Shot gather after applying predictive deconvolution C) Multiple models from predictive deconvolution First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	57
Figure 3. 12 CDP stack gather before applying predictive deconvolution	59
Figure 3. 13 Multiple model stack after predictive	60
Figure 3. 14 CDP stack gather after applying predictive deconvolution	61
Figure 3. 15 Stack display on the LEFT shows the predictive deconvolution multiples model. Stack display on the RIGHT show the stack after applying the adaptive subtraction of the model from the input data model stack after predictive deconvolution	63
Figure 3. 16 A)Tau-p transform of shot gather before applying predictive deconvolution B) Tau-p transform of shot gather after applying predictive deconvolution	64
Figure 3. 17 a) Auto correlation of shot gather before applying predictive deconvolution b) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying predictive deconvolution	65
Figure 3. 18 Flat WB: A) CDP stack before applying predictive deconvolution B)CDP stack after applying predictive deconvolution C)Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying predictive deconvolution D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying predictive deconvolution	66
Figure 3. 19 Dipping WB: A) CDP stack before applying predictive deconvolution B) CDP stack after applying predictive deconvolution C) Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying predictive deconvolution D) Auto	67

correlation of CDP stack after applying predictive deconvolution	
Figure 3. 20 Shot gather before applying SWD	69
Figure 3. 21 Multiple models from SWD	70
Figure 3. 22 Shot gather after applying SWD	71
Figure 3. 23 Flat WB A) Shot gather before applying SWD B) Shot gather after applying SWD C) Multiple models from SWD First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	72
Figure 3. 24 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before applying SWD B) Shot gather after applying SWD C) Multiple models from SWD First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	74
Figure 3. 25 CDP stack gather before applying SWD	75
Figure 3. 26 Multiple model stack after SWD	76
Figure 3. 27 CDP stack gather after applying SWD	77
Figure 3. 28 Stack display showing LEFT: the SWD multiple model stack and RIGHT: the NMO stack after application of SWD	79
Figure 3. 29 A) Auto correlation of shot gather before applying SWD B) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying SWD	80
Figure 3. 30 Flat WB: A) CDP stack before applying SWD B) CDP stack after applying SWD C) Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying SWD D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying SWD	81
Figure 3. 31 Dipping WB: A) CDP stack before applying SWD B) CDP stack after applying SWD C) Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying SWD D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying SWD	82
Figure 3. 32 Shot gather before applying SRME	84
Figure 3. 33 Multiple models from SRME	85
Figure 3. 34 Model of shot gather with phase filter of SRME	86
Figure 3. 35 Shot gather after applying SRME	87
Figure 3. 36 Flat WB A) Shot gather before applying SRME B) Shot gather after applying SRME C) Model of shot gather with phase filter of SRME First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	88

Figure 3 .37 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before applying SRME B) Shot gather after applying SRME C) Model of shot gather with phase filter of SRME First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*	89
Figure 3.38 A)Cross correlation of model with input CDP stack gather B) Cross correlation of model with phase filter with input CDP stack gather.	90
Figure 3 .39 CDP stack gather before applying SRME	
Figure 3 .40 Model CDP stack gather of SRME without phase filter	91 92
Figure 3 .41 Multiple model stack of SRME with phase filter	93
Figure 3 .42 CDP stack gather after applying SRME	
Figure 3.43 Stack display showing LEFT: the 2D SRME multiples model stack and RIGHT: the NMO stack after application of 2D SRME	94 96
Figure 3 .44 A) Auto-correlation of shot gather before applying SRME B) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying SRME	97
Figure 3. 45 Flat WB: A) CDP stack before applying SRME B)CDP stack after applying SRME C)Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying SRME D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying SRME	98
Figure 3. 46 Dipping WB: A) CDP stack before applying SRME B)CDP stack after applying SRME C)Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying SRME D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying SRME	99
Figure 4. 1 De-multiple workflow	105
Figure 4. 2 Flat WB A) Shot gather before strategy 1 B) Shot gather after strategy 1. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	106
Figure 4. 3 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before strategy 1 B) Shot gather after strategy 1. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	107
Figure 4. 4 A) Auto correlation of shot gather before applying strategy 1 B) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying strategy 1. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	108

Figure 4. 5 Flat WB : A) CDP stack before applying strategy 1 B)CDP stack after applying strategy 1 C)Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying strategy 1 D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying strategy 1	109
Figure 4. 6 Dipping WB : A) CDP stack before applying strategy 1 B) CDP stack after applying strategy 1 C) Auto correlation of CDP stack before applying strategy 1 D) Auto correlation of CDP stack after applying strategy 1	110
Figure 4. 7 Flat WB A) Shot gather before SWD and predictive deconvolution B) Shot gather after SWD and predictive deconvolution. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	111
Figure 4. 8 Flat WB A) Shot gather before strategy 2 B) Shot gather after strategy 2. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	112
Figure 4. 9 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before SWD and predictive deconvolution B) Shot gather after SWD and predictive deconvolution. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	112
Figure 4. 10 Dipping WB A) Shot gather before strategy 2 B) Shot gather after strategy 2. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	113
Figure 4. 11 A) Auto correlation of shot gather before applying SWD and predictive deconvolution B) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying SWD and predictive deconvolution. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	114
Figure 4. 12 A) Auto correlation of shot gather before applying strategy 2 B) Auto correlation of shot gather after applying strategy 2. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*.	115
Figure 4. 13 Flat WB: A) CDP stack before strategy 2 B) stack after applying strategy 2 C) Auto correlation of stack after applying strategy 2 D) Auto correlation of stack after applying strategy 2	116
Figure 4. 14 Dipping WB : A) stack before applying strategy 2 B) stack after applying strategy 2 C)Auto	117

correlation of stack before applying strategy 2 D) Auto correlation of stack after applying strategy 2

Figure 4. 15 Flat WB : A) stack gather without demultiple B) stack gather after applying strategy 1 C) stack gather after applying strategy 2. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*. 118

Figure 4. 16 Dipping WB : A) stack gather without demultiple B) stack gather after applying strategy 1 C) stack gather after applying strategy 2 .First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*. 119

Figure 4. 17 A) autocorrelation on shot gather without demultiple B) autocorrelation on shot gather after applying strategy 1 C) autocorrelation on shot gather after applying strategy 2. First order multiple denoted by M, while the second order multiple is denoted by M*. 120

Figure 4 .18 Flat WB: A) Raw CDP gather B) CDP gather after applying strategy1 C) CDP gather after applying Radon on strategy1 122

Figure 4 .19 Flat WB: A) Raw CDP gather B) CDP gather after applying strategy2 C) CDP gather after applying Radon on strategy2 123

Figure 4 .20 Dipping WB: A) Raw CDP gather B) CDP gather after applying strategy1 C) CDP gather after applying Radon on strategy1 124

Figure 4 .21 Dipping WB: A) Raw CDP gather B) CDP gather after applying strategy2 C) CDP gather after applying Radon on strategy2 125

Figure 4 .22 A) CDP stack after applying strategy 1 B) CDP stack after applying Radon on strategy 1 126

Figure 4 .23 A) CDP stack after applying strategy2 B) CDP stack after applying Radon on strategy2 127

Figure 4 .24 A) CDP stack after applying Radon on strategy1 B) CDP stack after applying Radon on strategy2 128

Figure 4. 25 A) Raw CDP stack gather before applying time migration B) Raw CDP stack gather after applying time migration 130

Figure 4. 26 Migrated stack display showing LEFT: Migrated stack before applying strategy1 and RIGHT: Migrated CDP stack after applying strategy1 131

Figure 4. 27 Migrated stack display showing LEFT: 132
Migrated stack before applying strategy2 and RIGHT:
Migrated stack after applying strategy2

Figure 4. 28 Migrated stack display showing LEFT: 133
Migrated stack after applying Radon on strategy1 and
RIGHT: Migrated stack after applying Radon on strategy2

List of Tables

Table	Page
Table (3-1): Detailed parameters for the two overlapping windows of the deconvolution operator	51
Table (4-1): Detailed parameters for parabolic radon	121

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 General Outline

The basic models in seismic processing assume that reflection data only consist of primaries (Hill, S., Dragoset, B., and Weglein, A., 1999). So far, multiples are considered as noise in seismic data. We have to suppress these multiples prior to migration, inversion, AVO analysis, and stratigraphic interpretation. Otherwise, multiples can be misinterpreted as, or interfere with, primaries and dramatically change the results of migration, inversion, AVO analysis, and stratigraphic interpretation.

According to where the downward reflection of the ray path occurs, multiples can be divided into two types (Dragoset, 1999); One is free-surface multiples that are sometimes referred to as surface-related multiples or surface multiples. This type of multiple has at least one downward reflection at the air-water “free surface” simple water-bottom multiples (or pure water-bottom multiples) and second-order water-bottom multiples (or seafloor peg-leg) or reverberation belong to this type of multiples.

The other type is internal multiples that have all of their downward reflections below the free surface. This type of multiple gets more attention when the exploration target is a subsalt or sub-basalt layer.

Over the years, many techniques for suppressing multiples have been tried. In recent years, multiple-suppression techniques based on

the wave equation have attracted attention because they seem to suppress all multiples without coincidentally attenuating the primaries (Dragoset, 1998). The choice of multiple-suppression methods does not only depend on the effectiveness of each method but is a compromise of the effectiveness, processing objective and cost of each method. Each method has its own assumptions, and it is useful when these assumptions are compatible with the data.

Three basic methods for suppressing multiples exist in published literature. The first group of methods, Deconvolution methods that use the periodicity of multiples for suppression and are effective in suppressing short-period free-surface multiples generated at shallow reflectors.

The second group of methods, surface related multiple elimination (SRME) and adaptive subtraction use recorded data to predict multiples this method obtain multiple-free data by subtracting the predicted multiples.

The third group of methods, filtering methods that use differential move-out between primaries and multiples that are separate in Radon domains. These filtering methods can successfully suppress multiples generated at moderate to deep reflectors where multiples are well-separated from their primaries.

In order to effectively attenuate residual multiples two strategies were applied:

Strategy 1: models for the SWD (deterministic de-convolution), Tau-P predictive deconvolution (statistical de-convolution) and surface related multiple elimination (SRME) were created from the input data then a multi adaptive subtraction was performed for all of them.