



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
AUTOMOTIVE DEPARTMENT

# **PERFORMANCE OF A VEHICLE ADAPTIVE CRUISE CONTROL SYSTEM USING FUZZY LOGIC**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering (Automotive)

Submitted by

Eng. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Abdelwahab

B.Sc. in Mechanical Engineering (Automotive), Ain Shams University, 2010

## **Supervisors**

**Dr. Nabila Shawky Elnahas**

Faculty of Engineering

Ain-Shams University

**Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Abdel-Aziz**

Faculty of Engineering

Ain-Shams University

CAIRO, 2015





AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING  
Automotive

# **PERFORMANCE OF A VEHICLE ADAPTIVE CRUISE CONTROL SYSTEM USING FUZZY LOGIC**

Submitted by  
Eng. Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Abdelwahab  
B.Sc. in Engineering (Automotive), Ain Shams University, 2010

## **Examiners' Committee**

<b>Name and Affiliation</b>	<b>Signature</b>
<b>Prof. Sameh Maher Metwally</b> <b>Automotive , Helwan University</b>	.....
<b>Prof. Taher Gamal Abu Elyazid</b> <b>Design and Production , Ain Shams University</b>	.....
<b>Prof. Abd Elaziz Morgan Abd El aziz</b> <b>Mechanical Power , Ain Shams University</b>	.....

Date: 21 /11 2015

# STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

**Student name**

**Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud Abdelwahab**

Signature

.....

Date: 21 /11 /2015

## Researcher Data

Name	: Mohamed Ahmed Mahmoud
Date of birth	: 18/7/1988
Place of birth	: Cairo
Last academic degree	: B.Sc-2010
Field of specialization	: Automotive Engineering
University issued the degree	: Ain Shams
Date of issued degree	: July 2010
Current job	: Teaching Assistant at the Automotive Department – Faculty of Engineering – Ain Shams University

# SUMMARY

The thesis is concerned with the practical and theoretical evaluation of a vehicle performance equipped with dynamic control to keep safety distance between the vehicle and the other vehicles or obstacles using fuzzy logic and PID controllers.

The thesis contains a simple theoretical model to simulate the dynamic movement at fixed or moving obstacle and control speed of the vehicle by control throttle and brake pedals.

The thesis described both the fuzzy logic and PID logic controllers and how they can be used to control throttle and brake pedals positions in order to control the vehicle's speed.

The thesis includes the sensors, which used for measuring vehicle speed as well as relative speed and distance between the vehicle and frontal obstacle and how such data can transferred to the control unit, which is described also.

The thesis includes the curves of the vehicle acceleration and speed variations with time at different operating conditions and comparison between the theoretical and practical results.

The analysis of the experimental and theoretical results shows the advantages of the active safety system over the passive system. The active system can reduce possible number of accidents by keeping safe distance from leading vehicles using fuzzy logic controller, which have many advantages than other logic controllers for its capabilities of operating at different driving conditions. Finally, the thesis suggests some recommendations for the future studies in the same field.

**Key words:** Adaptive cruise control, Throttle by wire, Brake by wire, Fuzzy logic, PID logic, modelling and simulation

# Acknowledgments

This thesis carried out with the kind assistance of colleague, family and friends. I would like to thank my supervisors, **Dr. Nabila Al Nahas** and **Dr. Mohamed Abdelaziz** for their support and guidance throughout this project, and for their patience and understanding on a more personal level. I was fortunate to be able to profit from their skills, not only the systems and control theory and research in the field.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Abdelaziz** for his support kindness, understanding and his insights that helped me to complete this thesis

My thanks go to the teachers, secretaries, and other staff at the automotive department for creating a good atmosphere for students in general and for me in particular.

I owe a huge debt of gratitude to my other friends for their friendship and support. In particular special thanks go to my best friend **Eng. Mohamed Esssam** who is always there for me, despite the geographical distance, and has helped me to keep going in hard times and has shared many happy moments with me. I have been greatly supported by my parents, my sister and my wife, and I would like to give them special thanks for standing by me through all the difficult times, and not giving up on me trying to finish my studies.

Finally, my thanks go to the Examiners' Committee, **Prof. Sameh Maher Metwally, Prof. Taher Gamal Abu Elyazid and Prof. Abd Elaziz Morgan Abd El Aziz.**

**November 2015**

# Contents

<b>LIST OF FIGURES AND PHOTOS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURE.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
1-1 Introduction .....	9
1-2 Research Objective.....	12
<b>CHAPTER 2 .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>13</b>
2-1 Adaptive Cruise Control Using Fuzzy Logic .....	13
2-2 Adaptive Cruise Control Using PID .....	19
2-3 Adaptive Cruise Control Using Neural Network.....	21
2-4 Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control System.....	21
2-5 Adaptive Cruise Control System Modeling and Simulation .....	25
2-6 Adaptive Dynamic Programming Algorithm (SADP) .....	35
2-7 IMM Algorithm .....	35
2-8 Microscopic Simulation Program—ACCSIM .....	35
<b>CHAPTER 3 .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>MODELING AND SIMULATION .....</b>	<b>38</b>
3-1 Modeling.....	38
3-1-1 Equation of Motion and Maximum Tractive Effort .....	38
3-1-2 Motion Resistance .....	40
3-1-3 Powertrain .....	41
3-1-4 Braking Performance.....	42
3-2 Simulation .....	45
3-2-1 Propulsion Model .....	45



3-2-2	Motion Resistance .....	46
3-2-3	Equation Of Motion .....	47
3-2-4	Braking System .....	48
3-3	Conclusion .....	49
CHAPTER 4	.....	50
CONTROL STRATEGY	.....	50
4-1	Introduction to Adaptive Cruise Control .....	50
4-2	PID Controller .....	52
4-3	Design Of Fuzzy Logic Controller For Vehicle Following .....	53
4-3-1	Fuzzification .....	54
4-3-2	Fuzzy Rule Base .....	56
4-3-3	Fuzzy Inference .....	57
4-3-4	Defuzzification .....	57
CHAPTER 5	.....	59
EXPERIMENTAL WORK	.....	59
5-1	Experimental Work on Full Scale Car .....	59
5-1-1	Radar Sensor .....	64
5-1-2	Car Speed Sensor .....	65
5-1-3	Microcontroller .....	65
5-2	Experimental Work on Radio Controlled Car .....	66
5-2-1	Ultrasonic Sensor.....	68
5-2-2	Microcontroller.....	68
5-3	Software .....	69
5-4	Calibration.....	70
5-4-1	Car Speed Sensor Calibration.....	71
5-5	Conclusion .....	72
CHAPTER 6	.....	73
DISCUSSION OF EXPERIMENTAL AND SIMULATION RESULTS	.....	73

<b>6-1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>6-2 Time Response For 100% PWM .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>6-3 Time Response For 75% PWM .....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>6-4 Time Response For 50% PWM .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>6-5 Time Response For 25% PWM .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>6-6 Full Scale Car Simulation Results .....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>6-6-1 First Scenario.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>6-6-2 Second Scenario.....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>6-6-3 Third Scenario .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>6-7-1 Test Scenario.....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7 .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>7- 1 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>7-2 Future Work.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>Appendix B .....</b>	<b>103</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES AND PHOTOS

	Page
<b>Figure 1.1a:</b> Fatalities and Fatality Rate per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) by Year, [2]	<b>9</b>
<b>Figure 1.1b:</b> People injured and injury rate per 100 million VMT per Year, [2]	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> Comparison between the experimental and simulation results of ACC car speed variations following a leading car traveling at 88 km/h constant speed, [6]	<b>15</b>
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> The dash-dotted line indicates the actual headway distance of the ACC car during measurement, the solid line is the simulation result based on an advanced fuzzy controller, [6]	<b>15</b>
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> The dash-dotted line indicates the actual headway distance of the ACC car during measurement, the solid line is the simulation result based on an advanced fuzzy controller, [6]	<b>16</b>
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Forces acting on a two-axle vehicle during traction	<b>39</b>
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> Forces and moments acting on a tire during braking	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure 3.3:</b> Forces acting on a two-axle vehicle.	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Block diagram for Simulink model for propulsion system	<b>46</b>
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> Block diagram for Simulink model for resistance forces	<b>47</b>
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> Block diagram for Simulink model for equation of motion	<b>48</b>
<b>Figure 3.7:</b> Block diagram Simulink model for braking system	<b>48</b>
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> Block diagram to represent the control system algorithm used to simulate the ACC system	<b>50</b>
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> Adaptive cruise control using PID logic controller	<b>51</b>
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Adaptive cruise control using fuzzy logic controller	<b>51</b>
<b>Figure 4.4:</b> PID control logic	<b>52</b>

<b>Figure 4.5:</b> The control structure for ACC using PID logic controller	<b>53</b>
<b>Figure 4.6:</b> Relative velocity membership ranges	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure 4.7:</b> Error distance membership ranges	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure 4.8:</b> Desired acceleration membership ranges	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure 4.9:</b> Desired fuzzy logic surface viewer	<b>56</b>
<b>Figure 4.10:</b> Centroid defuzzification	<b>58</b>
<b>Figure 4.11:</b> Control structure for ACC using fuzzy logic controller	<b>58</b>
<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Block diagram of Throttle by wire system	<b>61</b>
<b>Figure 5.2:</b> Block diagram of Brake by wire system	<b>64</b>
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> Block diagram showing data flow between main components for full-scale car	<b>66</b>
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> Block diagram showing data flow between main components for RC car	<b>69</b>
<b>Figure 5.5:</b> Block diagram showing Simulink code for implementing the adaptive cruise control system	<b>70</b>
<b>Figure 5.6:</b> Simulink code for implementing the adaptive cruise control system and save results on SD card	<b>70</b>
<b>Figure 5.7:</b> Car speed with sensor output voltage	<b>71</b>
<b>Figure 6.1:</b> Test results at control the dc motor at 100% PWM	<b>75</b>
<b>Figure 6.2:</b> Test results at control the dc motor at 75% PWM	<b>76</b>
<b>Figure 6.3:</b> Test results at control the dc motor at 50% PWM	<b>77</b>
<b>Figure 6.4:</b> Test results at control the dc motor at 25% PWM	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 6.5:</b> Car stopping time with DC motor PWM	<b>78</b>
<b>Figure 6.6:</b> DC motor current with DC motor PWM	<b>79</b>
<b>Figure 6.7:</b> DC motor current rise time with DC motor PWM	<b>80</b>
<b>Figure 6.8:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car speed variations following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>81</b>

<b>Figure 6.9:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car acceleration variations following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>82</b>
<b>Figure 6.10:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car relative distance variations following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>83</b>
<b>Figure 6.11:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car throttle performance following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>84</b>
<b>Figure 6.12:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car brake performance following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>84</b>
<b>Figure 6.13:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car speed variations using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers following fixed obstacle in 100 m initially from it	<b>85</b>
<b>Figure 6.14:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car acceleration variations following fixed obstacle in 70 m initially from it	<b>86</b>
<b>Figure 6.15:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car relative distance variations following fixed obstacle in 70 m initially from it using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>87</b>
<b>Figure 6.16:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car throttle performance following fixed obstacle in 70 m initially from it using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>88</b>
<b>Figure 6.17:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car brake performance following fixed obstacle in 70 m initially from it using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>88</b>
<b>Figure 6.18:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car speed variations following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed and at 100 m initial from the following car using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>89</b>

<b>Figure 6.19:</b> Comparison between the simulation results of ACC car relative distance variations following a leading car traveling at 40 km/h constant speed and at 100 m initial from the following car using Fuzzy and PID logics controllers	<b>90</b>
<b>Figure 6.20:</b> Relative speed membership ranges	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 6.21:</b> Error distance membership ranges	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 6.22:</b> Comparison between the simulation and experimental results of ACC car relative distance variations following fixed obstacle at 0.28 m initial from it using Fuzzy logic	<b>92</b>
<b>Figure 6.23:</b> Comparison between the simulation and experimental results of ACC car brake position variations following fixed obstacle at 0.28 m initial from it using Fuzzy logic	<b>93</b>
<b>Photo 1.1:</b> Rear accident	<b>10</b>
<b>Photo 5.1:</b> Full scale car chassis	<b>59</b>
<b>Photo 5.2:</b> Throttle by wire actuator	<b>60</b>
<b>Photo 5.3:</b> Throttle by wire feedback	<b>60</b>
<b>Photo 5.4:</b> DC motor driver	<b>61</b>
<b>Photo 5.5:</b> Brake by wire system	<b>62</b>
<b>Photo 5.6:</b> Brake by wire actuator driver	<b>63</b>
<b>Photo 5.7:</b> Radar sensor, which attached to the vehicle	<b>64</b>
<b>Photo 5.8:</b> Proximity switch	<b>65</b>
<b>Photo 5.9:</b> Smartech speedy tiger Radio controller car	<b>67</b>
<b>Photo 5.10:</b> Ultrasonic sensor attached to the RC car	<b>68</b>

# LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1 Examples of vehicle passive and active safety systems	11
Table 4.1 Fuzzy matrix of fuzzy logic for ACC Controller	57