

**STUDIES ON BUNCH ROT DISEASES OF  
GRAPEVINE AND THEIR CONTROL**

**BY**

**MOHAMED ZAKI EL-SAYED GANIB**

**B. Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2000.**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of**

**Master of Science**

**In**

**Agricultural Sciences  
(Plant Pathology)**

**Department of Plant Pathology  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Cairo University  
EGYPT**

**2007**

## **APPROVAL SHEET**

### **STUDIES ON BUNCH ROT DISEASES OF GRAPEVINE AND THEIR CONTROL**

**M. Sc. Thesis  
BY**

**MOHAMED ZAKI EL-SAYED GANIB**  
**B.Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2000**

Approved by:

**Dr. MOHAMED SALAH EL DIN FELAIFEL .....**  
**Chief Researcher of Plant Pathology Research Institute, A. R. C.**

**Dr. MOHSEN AHMED MOSTAFA .....**  
**Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Dr. MOHAMED FAROUK ATTIA .....**  
**Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Dr. MAGDY MOHAMED SABER .....**  
**Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Date:    /    /**

**SUPERVISION SHEET**

**STUDIES ON BUNCH ROT DISEASES OF  
GRAPEVINE AND THEIR CONTROL**

**M. Sc. Thesis  
By**

**MOHAMED ZAKI EL-SAYED GANIB**  
**B. Sc. (Plant Pathology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 2000.**

**SUPERVISION COMMITTEE**

**Dr. MOHAMED FAROUK ATTIA**  
**Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Dr. MAGDY MOHAMED SABER**  
**Professor of Plant Pathology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University.**

**Dr. HUSSEIN ABD EL KAWY HUSSEIN**  
**Senior Researcher of Plant Pathology, Pl. Patho. Res. Inst., A. R. C.**



## DEDICATION

*I dedicate this work to whom my heart felt thanks to my parents and brothers and my sister for all the support they lovely offered along the period of my Degree of Master of Science. Also my friend's Mohamed Sabry and Alla Hashim for their patience and help, as well as to me.*

## *ACKNOWLEDGEMENT*

*The author wishes to express about his sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to Dr. M. F. M. Attia and Dr. Saber M. M. M. Professors of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for suggesting the problem, supervision, continued assistance and their guidance through the course of this study and revision the manuscript of this thesis. Special appreciation is due to Dr. H. A. H. Mahrous Senior Researcher of Fruit and Woody Trees Diseases Dept., Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, for his supervision, constructive criticism and for his help and sincere advice in the research work and also the preparation of the manuscript.*

*Special deep appreciation is given to THE Fayoum IPM Project (EG 007001 /2) for helping and providing full facilities throughout this work.*

*Grateful appreciation is also extended to all the staff members of Plant Pathology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, and Cairo University. Thanks are also due to all the staff members of Fruit Diseases Department., Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center for their help throughout this work.*

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>RESULTS .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>1. Survey of grapevine bunch rot disease in A R E .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>2. Fungi associated with grapevine bunch rot .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>3. Pathogenicty test .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4. Symptoms of the disease .....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>5. Reaction of different grapevine cultivars .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>6. Factors affecting infection of grapevine by bunch         Rot .....</b>	<b>56</b>
a. Effect of maturity stage of berries on rot development .....	<b>56</b>
b. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer.....	<b>57</b>
c. Effect of Gibberellin application.....	<b>59</b>
d. Effect of temperature on disease development	<b>65</b>
<b>7. Physiological studies .....</b>	<b>66</b>
a. Effect of temperature on mycelial growth .....	<b>66</b>
b. Effect of pH value on the mycelial growth ....	<b>68</b>
<b>8. Integrated disease management .....</b>	<b>70</b>
a. Agricultural practices (Canopy management) ..	<b>70</b>
b. Biological control .....	<b>78</b>
1. Laboratory experiments .....	<b>78</b>
2. Field experiments .....	<b>79</b>
c. Chemical control .....	<b>84</b>
1. Laboratory experiments.....	<b>84</b>
2. Field experiments .....	<b>87</b>
<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY .....</b>	

## LISTT OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1.	List of bio - fungicides used in controlling the bunch rot disease of grapes .....	39
2.	List of Fungicides used in controlling the bunch rot disease of grapes .....	42
3.	Survey of bunch rot disease of grapevine in the different governorates of Egypt during season 2002 .....	45
4.	Fungi isolated from naturally infected clusters of grapevine collected from different governorates and their frequency (%) .....	46
5.	Occurrence and frequency (%) of fungi associated with grapevine bunch rot disease in the different governorates	48
6.	Average percentage of artificially infected bunches and the final survivals of grapevine bunches cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) .....	49
7.	Reaction of different grapevine cultivars to fungi causing bunch rot disease under laboratory conditions. ....	55
8.	Reaction of different maturity stages of berries (cv Thompson Seedless) to infection by rots caused by the two tested fungi .....	56
9.	Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer on bunch rot disease of grapes, cv. Red Globe (During the seasons 2003, 2004) .....	57
10.	Effect of gibberellin application and a fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of bunch rot and yield of grapevine cv. Thompson seedless (Banati) under field conditions (During season 2004). ....	61



11. Effect of gibberellin application and a fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of bunch rot and yield of grapevine cv. Thompson seedless (Banati) under field conditions (During season 2005) .....	64
12. Effect of six different temperature degrees on the development of berries rot caused by the two tested fungi in grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless, after 6 days of inoculation .....	65
13. Average linear growth (mm) of the tested fungi on PDA medium, after 3 and 5 days incubation at different degrees of temperature .....	67
14. Effect of different pH values on the dry weight of mycelial growth of the tested fungi after 15 days of incubation .....	69
15. Effect of canopy management practices and fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of bunch rot and yield of grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (During season 2003) .....	71
16. Effect of canopy management practices and fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of bunch rot and yield of grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (During season 2004). .....	73
17. Effect of leaf treatment and fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of fruit rot and yield of grapevines cv. Thompson Seedless (During season 2003) .....	75
18. Effect of leaf treatment and fungicide (Euparen M) on incidence and severity of fruit rot and yield of grapevines cv. Thompson Seedless (During season 2004). .....	77
19. Effect of <i>Trichoderma viride</i> on bunch rot disease incidence and severity of grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) .....	79
20. Effect of bio- fungicides on the incidence and severity of bunch rot of grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) under field conditions (During season 2004).....	81

21.	Effect of bio-fungicides on the incidence and severity of bunch rot on grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) under field conditions (During season 2005) .....	82
22.	Effect of some fungicides on mycelial growth of the casuals of grape bunch rot after 5 – day incubation at 30 <sup>0</sup> c. ....	86
23.	Effect of treatment grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) with some fungicides on the incidence and severity of bunch rot on under field conditions (During season 2003) .....	89
24.	Effect of treatment grapevine cv. Thompson Seedless (Banati) with some fungicides on the incidence and severity of bunch rot under field conditions (During season 2004) .....	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

No	Title	Page
1.	Symptoms of grape rot on berries infected by <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	51
2.	Symptoms of grape rot on berries infected by <i>Cladosporium herbarum</i> .....	51
3.	Symptoms of grape rot on berries infected by <i>Alternaria alternate</i> .....	52
4.	Symptoms of grape rot on berries infected by <i>Botryodiplodia theobroma</i> .....	52
5.	Symptoms of grape rot on berries infected by <i>Rhizopus</i> sp. ....	53
6.	Healthy berries, infected (control) .....	53

## INTRODUCTION

Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) is the leading fruit crop all over the world. In Egypt, grapevine occupies the second rank among fruit crops after citrus. its important is due to the high sugar and vitamin contents, which are used for human consumption. However, the area under this economic crop was about 160005 feddans and the average of grape production reached 1391749 tons. (Anonymous, 2005).

Grapevine cultivars *i.e.*, Thompson Seedless (Banati), Superior, Early Superior, Flame Seedless, Ruby Seedless, Red Globe, Romi-Red, Fayoumi, Bezelanz, Azazi and Gharibi are the most important cultivars cultivated on a commercial scale, particularly in Behera, Dakahliya, Gharbiya, Menofiya, Sharkiya, Meniya, Fayoum and Beni Sweif governorates.

Under the Egyptian environmental conditions grapevine is attacked by several diseases, among which powdery and downy mildews and bunch rot diseases are of great economic importance.

Bunch rot under the Egyptian environmental conditions is a serious disease of grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) caused by *Alternaria alternata*, *Asperigillus niger*, *Botyritis cinerea*, *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Penicillium italicum*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, and *Rhizopus negricans* (El-Helaly *et al.*, 1965; Bedeer, 1976; Badawy, 1977; El-Tobshy *et al.*, 1981; Radwan, 1985; Farag, 1992 and Mahrous, 1988 and 2003). In this instance, bunch rot of grape berries is commonly occurs in cultivars with dense canopies or tightly berry clusters. In Egypt, first symptoms of the disease on susceptible

cultivars are generally evident when fruit sugar levels begin to increase (veraison).

The major objective of the modern Egyptian agriculture is offering strategy which would lead, with the minimum use of pesticides, to increase the yield of economic crops. Accordingly, it seems extremely important to study this disease and its causal pathogens under the Egyptian environmental conditions to determine the best control measures which lead, as possible, to minimize the great losses caused by this disease at different stages of vine growth.

The present study was carried out in the laboratory and in private vineyards located in different governorates.

As a matter of fact, the knowledge of grapevine bunch rot disease in Egypt is still scanty and uncertain. Therefore, the present investigation was planned to clarify some topics to cover, as possible, the problems caused by the disease and face grapevine producers in ARE. These topics are as follow

1. Determination the occurrence and spread of bunch rot disease through an extensive survey conducted in several localities to highlight the economic importance of the disease.
2. Identification of the pathogens associated with the infected clusters of grapevine representing different localities and cultivars.
3. Studing the pathogenicity of isolated fungi under standard conditions.
4. Studing the effect of some factors on the infection and the wide spread of the pathogens *i.e.* degree of maturity stages, different

levels of nitrogen fertilizer and some plant growth regulators (gibberellic acid).

5. The reaction of some grapevine cultivars to the disease.
6. The possible role of the antagonistic bio-agent *Trichoderma viride* in the biological control against grapevine bunch rots.
7. Screening of bio-fungicides to determinate the best and the least environmental hazardous one for biological control.
8. Screening of fungicides to determinate the best and the least environmental hazardous one for chemical control.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 1. Occurrence and distribution of the causal organisms of Grapevine bunch rot.

In many different countries, there are information and regional surveys about the infection of grapevine with bunch rot disease, *i.e.* Diaz Polanco and Bastida (1971) in Venezuela; Gupta (1956), Chahal and Malhi (1969), Patil and Moniz (1969) and Singh and Kainsa (1983) in India; Ouchi *et al.* (1976) in Japan; Du Plessis (1948) in South Africa; Marshina *et al.* (1979) in USSR; Barbetti (1980) and Nair (1985) in Australia; Krol (2004) in Poland and El-Helaly *et al.* (1965), Ragab (1971), Bedeer (1976), Badawy (1977), Abd-El-Sattar (1978), El-Tobshy *et al.* (1981), Radawan (1985), Farag (1992) and Mahrous (1988 and 2003) in Egypt.

Bunch rot disease of grapevine is mainly caused by different fungi belonging to different genera and species.

Du plessis (1948) attributed the rotting and spoilage of dessert grape to various causes, *e.g.* grey mold (*B. cinerea*), blue-green molds (*Penicillium* spp.), black molds (*Aspergillus* spp.), cobweb mold (*Rhizopus nigricans*) and velvet mold (*Cladosporium baccae*). *Hormiscienceum* sp., *Hormodendrum* sp., *Penicillium* sp., *Rhizopus* sp. and *Stemphylium* sp. are common raisin mold and rot fungi.

Gupta (1956) pointed out that *Aspergillus carbonarius* was isolated from infected grape berries. The fungus gained entrance through bruised surfaces or stem end of un-bruised fruits.