USE OF DIFFERENT ANTILUTEOLYTIC STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE FERTILITY IN CATTLE

AL-MOATAZ BELLAH MAHFOUZ MOSTAFA SHAARAWY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal production), Cairo University,1999. M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutration), Zagazig University-Benha Branch, 2005.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in Agricultural Science (Animal physiology)

Department of Animal production Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

2013

Approval Sheet

USE OF DIFFERENT ANTILUTEOLYTIC STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE FERTITLIY IN CATTLE

AL-MOATAZ BELLAH MAHFOUZ MOSTAFA SHAARAWY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Production), Cairo University,1999 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal Nutration), Zagazig University-Benha Branch, 2005

ımı	s thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:
Dr.	Abd-Elmoty Khairy Ibrahim Abd-Elmoty Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Minya University
Dr.	Hanafy Embaby El-Sobhy Prof. Emeritus of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Dr.	Essmat Bakry Abdalla Prof. of Animal Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Dr.	Farouk Abdalla El-Sayed Khalil

Date of Examination: 4/4/2013

USE OF DIFFERENT ANTILUTEOLYTIC STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE FERTILITY IN CATTLE

AL-MOATAZ BELLAH MAHFOUZ MOSTAFA SHAARAWY

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal production), Cairo University,1999. M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Animal production), Zagazig University-Benha Branch, 2005.

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Farouk Abdalla EL- Sayed Khalil

Prof. Emeritus of Animal physiology, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal supervisor).

Dr. Esmat Bakry Abd-Alla

Prof. of Animal physiology, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Mostafa Kotb Soliman El-Banna

Head of Research of Animal Physiology, Cattle Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture.

ABSTRACT

Al-Moataz Bellah Mahfouz Mostafa Shaarawy: Use of Different Antiluteolytic Strategies to Improve Fertility in Cattle. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2013.

The aim of the present study was to decrease early embryonic loss by elevate progesterone level to normal levels in dairy repeat breeder Friesian cattle. Two field experiments were conducted; the first was conducted in a governmental experimental station to determine the effect of hCG, GnRH, or P₄ administration after artificial insemination (AI) on luteal function, serum progesterone concentration and some reproductive performance of repeat breeder Friesian cows during winter and summer seasons. In this experiment 48 Friesian cows (24 in winter and 24 in summer) were used in the second and third parity and distributed equally to 4 sub groups. After AI, cows in each season were assigned randomly to four equal groups after balancing for daily milk production and parity as follows: G1 (n=6) received an intramuscular injection of 3000 IU hCG (Pregnyl, Novarel) on the 7th day after Al. G2 (n=6) received 100 mg of progesterone (Prontogest, Marcyrl) intramuscularly on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 6th and 9th days after Al. G3 (n=6) received an intramuscular injection of 10 µg GnRH (Receptal, Intervet) on the 12th day after Al. The last group; G4 (n=6): cows were left untreated and served as control.

Diameter and induction of corpora lutea were significantly (P<0.05) increased by hCG and GnRH treatment. However, in winter and in the 2nd parity, diameter of corpus lutem was significantly (P<0.05) improved as compared with summer and the 3rd parity, but induction of accessory corpora lutea was insignificantly improved. Concentrations of progesterone in serum

were significantly (P<0.05) increased in treated cows compared to the control. The results demonstrated that treated cows were more responsive in winter than in summer as indicated by serum progesterone concentration. Compared to control group, it was identified that GnRH or hCG administration significantly (P<0.05) increased conception rate and decreased days open. Number of services/conception was reduced by hormonal treatments but differences were not significant.

Second experiment was conducted in a commercial farm 18 German Friesian heifers and cows were divided into 2 equal group: G1 (n=9) treated with 3000 IU and G2 (n=9) untreated as a control group. In the second experiment hCG treatment improved insignificantly number of services/conception and conception rate in comparison with control group. Number of services/conception was improved insignificantly in control cows in commercial farm compared to experimental station. However, treated cows by hCG improved number of services/conception in experimental station in comparison with commercial farm.

In conclusion, this study supports the use of either hCG, or GnRH post-insemination as a method for enhancing pregnancy rates in lactating repeat breeder dairy cattle especially in summer under Egyptian condition.

Key words: hCG, progesterone, GnRH, seasons, parity, corpus luteum, conception rate, days open, Friesian cows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise and prayerful thanks to our Merciful God for everything. The author wishes to express his great indebtedness, deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to Dr. Farouk A. Khalil, Professor of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his close supervision, guidance and valuable help in writing, preparing and revising the manuscript.

The author also expresses his great gratitude and deepest thanks to Dr. Esmat Bakrey Abd-Alla, Professor of Animal Physiology, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, help and encouragement.

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation and deepest thanks to Dr. Mostafa Kotb Soliman El-Banna Chief Researcher of Animal Reproduction Physiology, Cattle Breeding Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, for his supervision, and providing facilities and valuable help throughout this study.

The author also wishes to express deepest thanks and his sincere appreciation to Dr. Mostafa Said Fadel Professor and Head of Ultrasound Unit, Animal Reproduction Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, for his help and encouragement.

The author also thanks and deep gratitude to Dr. Sabry Hemida Hassanin, Professor of Animal Physiology, Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Taif University for suggesting and planning the work, close and kind supervision.

The author would like to thank the manager and all his colleagues and workers in the Animal Breeding Experimental Station in "Karada", in "Delta Misr for Agriculture Investment and Animal Production", Cattle Breeding Department and Animal

Production Research Institute for their valuable help and encouragement during all of stages of this study.

Sincere gratitude and thanks are expressed to all members of my family for their support, patience and encouragement during the course of the study.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF PLATES	X
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ΧI
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1. Luteal function	3
1.1. Changes of luteal function in response to hormonal	
treatments	3
1.1.1. Gonadotropin-releasing Hormone (GnRH)	3
1.1.2. Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG)	4
1.1.3. Progesterone	7
1.2. Changes of luteal function in response to parity	7
2. Changes of blood progesterone concentration in	
response to hormonal treatments	7
2.1. Gonadotropin-releasing Hormone (GnRH)	7
2.2. Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG)	12
2.3. Progesterone	16
Changes of blood progesterone concentration in	
response to seasons	18
4. Changes of blood progesterone concentration in	
response to parity	21
5. Reproductive efficiency	22
5.1. Changes of reproductive efficiency in response to	
hormonal treatments	22
5.1.1. Gonadotropin-releasing Hormone (GnRH)	22
5.1.2. Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG)	30
5.1.3. Progesterone	35
	Page

6. Changes of reproductive efficiency in response in	
season	40
7. Changes of reproductive efficiency in response in parity	43
MATERIALS AND METHODS	45
First experiment	45
1.1. Experimental animals	45
1.2. Experimental design	46
1.3. Management and feeding	47
1.4. Environmental conditions	47
1.5. Ovary function	48
1.6. The pregnancy diagnosis	49
1.7. Reproductive performance	49
1.8. Blood sampling	49
1.9. Serum progesterone determination	49
1.10. Statistical analysis	50
2. Second Experiment	50
2.1. Experimental animals	51
2.2. Experimental design	51
2.3. Management and feeding	52
2.4. Environmental conditions	52
2.5. The pregnancy diagnosis	52
2.6. Reproductive performance	53
2.7. Statistical analysis	53
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55
First experimental	55
1.1. Luteal function	55
1.1.1. Effect of hormonal treatment combined with	
season on corpora luteum diameters (mm) and	
induction of accessory corpora lutea	55
	Page
1.1.2. Effect of hormonal treatments on corpus luteum	56

(CL) diameter and induction of accessory corpora	
lutea	
1.1.3. Changes in corpora luteum diameters (mm) and	
induction of accessory corpora lutea according to	
winter and summer seasons	65
1.1.4. Changes in corpora luteum diameters (mm) and	
induction of accessory corpora lutea according to	
parity	67
I.2. Progesterone concentration	69
1.2.1. Effect of hormonal treatments o blood serum P4	
concentration during winter and summer	69
1.2.2. Changes in serum P4 concentration according to	
different treatments	77
1.2.3. Changes in serum P4 concentration according to	
season	77
1.2.4. Change in serum P4 concentration according to	
parity	81
I.3. Reproductive performance	
1.3.1. Effect of hormonal treatment combined with	
seasons on reproductive performance	83
1.3.2. Effect of hormonal treatments on reproductive	
performance	84
1.3.3. Changes in days open period and number of	
services/conception according to seasons	89
1.3.4. Changes in days open period and number of	
services/conception according to parity	92
1.4. Breeding efficiency percentage	94
1.4.1. Breeding efficiency percentage a affected by	
different hormonal treatment during winter and	
summer	94
2	Page
1.4.2. Changes in breeding efficiency percentage	97
	٠.

according to different hormonal treatments	
1.4.3. Changes in breeding efficiency percentage	
according to winter and summer seasons	101
1.4.4. Changes in breeding efficiency percentage	
according to parity	101
2. Second experiment	104
2.1. Reproductive performance	104
2.1.1. Chganges in number of services/conception as	
affected by hCG treatment	104
2.1.2. Changes in number of services/conception	
according to parity	104
2.2. Breeding efficiency percentage	107
2.2.1. Breeding efficiency percentage as affected by	
hormonal treatment	107
2.2.2. Changes in breeding efficiency percentage	
according to parity	109
3. A comparison between commercial and experimental	
farms	109
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	113
REFFERENCES	119
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI Artificial insemination

BW Body weight

C Celosias

CIDR Controlled internal drug releasing device

CL Corpus luteum

d Day

DM Dry matter E₂ Estradiol 17

FSH Follicle stimulating hormone

GnRH Gonadotropin-releasing Hormone

h Hour

hCG Human chorionic gonadotrophin

HS Heat stress

i.m. Intra muscularIU International Unit

kg Kilogram

LH Luteinizing hormone

mg Milligram
ml (mL) Milliliter
n Number
ng Nano gram

P4 Progesterone

PRID Progesterone releasing-intervaginal device

TAI Timing artificial insemination

TN Thermo neutral

μg Microgram

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1) Changes in corpus lutuem diameter (mm) of cows in respon	nse
to hormonal treatments during winter and summer.	58
2) Changes in average number of corpora lutea of treated and	ł
control cows during winter and summer.	58
3) Changes in corpus luteum diameter (mm) as affected by	
treatments.	64
4) Changes in number of corpora luteaas affected by treatmer	nts. 64
5) Changes in corpus luteum diameter (mm) according to	
season.	66
6) Changes in number of corpora lutea according to season.	66
7) Changes in corpus luteum diameter (mm) according to pari	ty. 68
8) Changes in number of corpora lutea according to parity.	68
9) The effect of an injection of 3000 IU hCG on day 7 following	g Al
on progesterone profile (ng/ml) in winter (w) and summer (s	s). 72
10) The effect of an injection of 10 μgGnRH on day 12 following	g Al
on progesterone profile (ng/ml) in winter (w) and summer (s	s). 72
11) The effect of an injection of 100 mg of progesterone on day	's 2,
3, 4, 6 and 9 following AI on progesterone profile (ng/ml) in	
winter (w) and summer (s).	73
12) Changes in serum P ₄ concentration during days after	
insemination according to treatment.	78
13) Changes in serum P ₄ concentration during days after	
insemination according to season.	80
14) Changes in serum P ₄ concentration during days after	
insemination according to parity.	82
15) Changes in days open of cows in response to hormonal	
treatments during winter and summer.	86
16) Changes in number of services/conception of treated and	
control cows during winter and summer.	86

Figure	Page
17) Changes in days open period as affected by treatments.	88
18) Changes in number of services/conception as affected by	
treatments.	88
19) Changes in days open period according to season.	90
20) Changes in number of service/conception according to	
season.	91
21) Changes in days open period according to parity.	93
22) Changes in number of service/conception according to parity.	93
23) The effect of different hormonal treatments following first	
service upon breeding efficiency of postpartum dairy cows.	96
24) Changes in breeding efficiency percentage as affected by	
different hormonal treatments.	100
25) Changes in breeding efficiency percentage according to	
season.	102
26) Changes in breeding efficiency percentage according to parity.	103
27) Changes in number of services/conception as affected by hCG	
treatment.	105
28) Changes in number of service/conception according to parity.	106
29) Changes in breeding efficiency percentage as affected by hCG	
treatment.	108
30) Changes in breeding efficiency percentage according to parity.	110
31) Changes in number of services/conception of treated and	
control cows in experimental station and commercial farm.	112

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
1)	
A) Ultrasound image of left ovary of cow showing 2 m copora lutea. The diameter of the large one is 2.1 the small one is 2 cm in animal no. 771 treated with	cm and
B) Ultrasound image of left ovary showing one large C cm).	CL (2.9 60
2)	
A) Ultrasound image of pregnant cow, 33 day gestation crown length of the embryo is 1.07 cm in animal not treated with GnRH.	
B) Ultrasound image of pregnant cow, 33 day gestation crown length of the embryo is 1.07 cm in animal not treated with GnRH.	
3)	
A) Ultrasound image of the ovary of 3 months pregnar The ovary showed presence of one large CL (2.7 of animal no. 846 treated with hCG.	•
B) Ultrasound image of right ovary of pregnant cow. T has a corpus luteum with a diameter 1.7 cm in anir 720 treated with P4.	•