

Hemodynamic and Neurohormonal Response after Percutaneous versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.D. in Cardiology

By

Mohammad Abdelaziz Sayed Ahmed Ali Sherif

Master degree in Cardiology
Under the supervision Of

Professor Dr. Omar Salah Awad

Professor of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Professor Dr. Gert Richardt

Chief, the Heart and Vascular Centre
Segeberger Kliniken GmbH-Bad Segeberg – Germany
The Academic Teaching Hospital of the Christian-Albrechts-University
of Kiel

Professor Dr. Ghada Samir EL Shahed

Professor of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Doctor. Ahmed Aziz Khattab

Lecturer of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Doctor. Mazen Tawfik Ibraheem

Lecturer of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

**Ain Shams University
2011**

مقارنة نتائج الاستجابة الهورمونية و الكيميائية و الديناميكية الدموية بعد استبدال الصمام الاورطى باستخدام القسطرة بنتائج استبدال الصمام الاورطى جراحياً

دراسة مقدمة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراة في امراض القلب و الاوعية الدموية

طبيب / محمد عبد العزيز سيد احمد
ماجستير امراض القلب و الاوعية الدموية

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/ عمر صلاح عواد
استاذ امراض القلب والأوعية الدموية
كلية الطب-جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ جيرت ريتشارد
استاذ امراض القلب والأوعية الدموية
المستشفى التعليمي الاكاديمي-جامعة كيل بألمانيا

الأستاذ الدكتور/ غادة سمير الشاهد
استاذ امراض القلب والأوعية الدموية
كلية الطب-جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ أحمد عزيز خطاب
مدرس امراض القلب والأوعية الدموية
كلية الطب-جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ مازن توفيق ابراهيم
مدرس امراض القلب والأوعية الدموية
كلية الطب-جامعة عين شمس

القاهرة

كلية الطب-جامعة عين شمس

2011

Hemodynamic and Neurohormonal Response after Percutaneous versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement

Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.D. in Cardiology

By

Mohammad Abdelaziz Sayed Ahmed Ali Sherif

Master degree in Cardiology

Under the supervision Of

Professor Dr. Omar Salah Awad

Professor of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Professor Dr. Gert Richardt

Chief, the Heart and Vascular Centre

Segeberger Kliniken GmbH-Bad Segeberg – Germany

The Academic Teaching Hospital of the Christian-Albrechts-University
of Kiel

Professor Dr. Ghada Samir EL Shahed

Professor of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Doctor. Ahmed Aziz Khattab

Lecturer of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Doctor. Mazen Tawfik Ibraheem

Lecturer of Cardiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University

2007

Background:

Severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis is a proven indication for valve replacement according to the current guidelines ¹. The therapeutic modality of choice is surgical aortic valve replacement. Certain patients, however, cannot take advantage of this option due to comorbidities and/or hemodynamic conditions rendering them at high risk for surgery. In the EURO HEART Survey, 31.8% of all patients indicated for surgical aortic valve replacement were accordingly rejected. Several risk stratification systems have been developed for perioperative mortality risk assessment. The EuroScore is a widely used, web-based, easy applicable such tool. It includes patient-based, cardiac and operative related factors such as age, sex, renal insufficiency, left ventricular dysfunction, pulmonary hypertension and peripheral arteriopathy ². A logistic EuroScore of $\geq 15\%$ designates high risk.

In the year 2002 a novel alternative approach to surgical aortic valve replacement among critically ill patients with severe aortic valve stenosis has been first introduced by Cribier – the percutaneous aortic valve replacement ³. Contrasting to surgical replacement this method forms a much less invasive approach which therefore may be safely offered for high-risk surgical patients. Two independent percutaneous aortic valve replacement systems have gathered data of safety and

feasibility in human trials. The major difference among both systems lies in the expansion mechanism of the stent-mounted valve: balloon-expandable or self-expandable. The *CoreValve Revalving System®* (CRS) is a self-expandable, biological, nitinol-stent-mounted aortic valve prosthesis which has first been introduced in 2005. Since March 2007, this prosthesis became CE-Mark certified and commercially available on a limited scale among highly selected European centers who are participating in a registry that facilitates clinical monitoring in the post-market phase. To date, around 300 patients have been treated with the CRS, either during the clinical investigations or following CE-marking. Procedural success rates of >90% have been achieved with a 30 day mortality of 10% among this high-risk population for whom the CRS is currently intended.

Proper prosthetic valve size selection is of utmost importance for the success of valve replacement. For surgical aortic valve replacement, sizing is done by direct measurement of the aortic annulus intraoperatively. Occasionally mal-sizing occurs which may result in the patient-prosthesis-mismatch phenomenon first described by Rahmitoola ⁴. A mismatch is present when the effective prosthetic valve opening area is less than the patient's body surface area x 0.85. Effective valve areas below this value are associated with higher transvalvular gradients and negative hemodynamic effects. For percutaneous aortic valve

replacement, sizing is done preprocedural through the averaging of the combined measurement of the aortic valve annulus in transoesophageal echocardiography, aortic cine angiography and CT angiography. Undersizing of the prothesis may result in significant paravalvular aortic regurgitation while oversizing may result in rupture of the aortic annulus.

Hypothesis:

The favorable mechanical profile and easier size selection of the *CoreValve Revalving System®* may translate into a better hemodynamic response compared to surgically implanted aortic bioprothesis.

Aim:

Documentation of the hemodynamic characteristics of the CRS using established echocardiographic parameters and neurohumoral mediators in comparison with surgically implanted aortic bioprothesis.

Methods:

Design and patients:

This bicentric, prospective, observational study will be done in The Academic Teaching Hospital of the Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, including 30 patients with severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis ($AVA \leq 0.6\text{cm}^2/\text{m}^2$) and declared at high-risk for surgical replacement due to the following:

Age $\geq$ 80 years *or* logistic EuroScore $\geq$ 15% *or* age $\geq$ 65 years and one or more of the following: liver cirrhosis (Child class A –B), respiratory insufficiency (VMS<1L), previous cardiac surgery, pulmonary hypertension (systolic PAP>60mmHg), recurrent pulmonary emboli, right ventricular failure, hostile thorax (radiation, burns etc.), severe connective tissue disease, porcelain aorta, cachexia.

All patients will be subjected to percutaneous aortic valve replacement using the CRS. Patients will be compared with 30 patients undergoing surgical aortic valve replacement in the same time periode.

Interventions:

Percutaneous aortic valve replacement:

Patients positively screened will be investigated for feasibility of the procedure using transoesophageal echocardiography, cine angiography and CT angiography. Patients found suitable will receive the 18 F CRS. In Seldinger's technique a bi-groin access will be used. Valvuloplasty of the aortic valve will be performed under rapid ventricular pacing. After mounting the appropriate CoreValve prothesis onto the catheter delivery system, the CRS will be advanced and released at the desired position. Groin closure will be done using the Perclose® suture device.

Surgical aortic valve replacement:

Patients with severe symptomatic aortic valve stenosis and scheduled for single valve replacement will be included. All procedures will be performed in a standard manner using aortic valve bioprotheses. The choice of the prothesis will be left to the operator's discretion.

Hemodynamic parameters:

Using echocardiography the following hemodynamic parameters will be documented for all patients: transvalvular mean and peak gradients, quantification of aortic regurgitation using colour flow Doppler, left ventricular ejection fraction. All measurements will be done pre-procedural, at 30 days and 6 months post-procedural.

Neurohumoral mediators:

Using venous blood samples, the following will be measured in serum: NT-pro-BNP, BNP. Blood samples will be drawn pre-procedurally and at 30 days post-procedural.

Statistical analysis:

This is a hypothesis generating study; therefore no sample size calculation has been done. Appropriate statistical test will be applied to plot the differences in measured values before and after the procedures and compare them intra-individually and between groups. For each measure,

absolute and delta differences will be calculated. The statistical significance level will remain at 95% with p-values < 0.05.

References:

1. G. Kleikamp, A. Maleszka, A. Zittermann R.Körfer. Surgical management of aortic valve stenosis. Herz 2006; 31: 670-5.
2. S. Sack, P. Kahlert, S. Khandanpour et al. Aortic valve stenosis: from valvuloplasty to percutaneous heart valve. Herz 2006; 31: 688-693.
3. E. Grube, U. Gerckens, L. Buellesfeld. Percutaneous aortic valve replacement. Herz 2006;31:694-7.
4. R. Rosenhek. Measurement of gradient across prothetic valves. Leipziger Echokardiographie Symposium 2007;16.

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	I
List of Figures	III
List of Abbreviations	VI
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Chapter (1) Management Modalities of Severe Aortic Stenosis	5
Natural History & Diagnosis	5
Indications for Aortic Valve Replacement	7
The “gold standard” SAVR	10
Stented and stentless bioprotheses	14
Patient-Prosthesis Mismatch after SAVR	18
Balloon Aortic Valvuloplasty	21
Medical Therapy for the Inoperable Patients	21
Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation	22
Current devices	25
Techniques of implantation	27
Common features of the three approaches	29
Patient selection for TAVI	30
General contraindications for TAVI	32
Results using the Cribier-Edwards valve	33
Results using the Edwards SAPIEN valve	35
Experience with CRS	37
Patient selection for the CRS	39

Results using the CoreValve bioprosthesis	42
Evaluation of the results of TAVI	42
Chapter (2) Natriuretic Peptides in Aortic Stenosis and the Impact of Aortic Valve Replacement	47
Physiological Background	47
Analytic Considerations	49
Natriuretic Peptides and Aortic Stenosis	51
Subjects and Methods	53
Study design and patients	53
Interventions	55
Transcatheter transfemoral aortic valve implantation	55
Surgical aortic valve replacement	58
Hemodynamic Parameters (pre-operatively)	59
Cardiac Catheterization	59
Transoesophageal and transthoracic echocardiography	60
Neurohormonal mediators	61
Natriuretic peptides assay	61
Outcomes	62
Definitions and data collection	62
Statistical analysis	63
Results	64
Baseline Clinical, Hemodynamic and Neurohormonal Characteristics	64
Procedure-related Outcomes	64
30-Day Clinical Outcomes and Complications	69
Hemodynamic and Neurohormonal Response at 30-Day Follow-up	72

The Relation between Natriuretic peptides and Hemodynamic variables	77
Six-Month Clinical Follow-Up	79
Hemodynamic Response at Six-Month	80
Discussion	82
Hemodynamic Performance of the CRS in Comparison to Surgical Bioprostheses	84
Reverse Cardiac Remodeling and Neurohormonal Response after CRS Implantation in Comparison to Surgical Bioprostheses	86
Hemodynamic performance of the CRS in comparison to surgical bioprostheses at 6-month follow-up	87
Study Limitations	89
Conclusions	90
Recommendations	91
Summary	92
References	94
Arabic Summary	116

List of Abbreviations

AS	Aortic valve stenosis
AR	Aortic regurgitation
ANP	Atrial natriuretic peptide
AVA	Aortic valve area
BAV	Balloon aortic valvuloplasty
BNP	B-type natriuretic peptide
BSA	Body surface area
CABG	Coronary artery bypass graft
CNP	C-type natriuretic peptide
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CRS	CoreValve ReValving System
CT	Computed tomography
EOA	Effective orifice area
EF	Ejection fraction
iAVA	indexed aortic valve area

INR	International normalized ratio
iEOA	Indexed effective valve opening area
I-REVIVE	Initial Registry of Endovascular Implantation of Valves in Europe
IVSD	Interventricular septum diameter at diastole
LAO	Left anterior oblique
LVESD	Left ventricular end-systolic diameter
LVEDD	Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter
LVMI	Left ventricular mass index
MACCE	Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events
MAVRE	Major adverse valve-related events
MR	Mitral regurgitation
NYHA	New York Heart Association
PCI	Percutaneous coronary intervention
PARTNER	The Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valves
PPM	Prosthesis-patient mismatch
PWD	Posterior wall diameter at diastole
RAO	Right anterior oblique