

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Hearing Profile in Hepatitis C Patients Treated With Interferon and Ribavirin

Thesis Submitted to Kasr El-Aini Faculty of Medicine Cairo University in
partial fulfillment of the Requirements of the degree of Master in Audiology

By:

Dr. Amani Ahmed Al-Sunni

MBBCh

SUPERVISORS:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ebrahim Shabana

Professor of Audiology,

Faculty of Medicine,

Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ayman Rashad Amer

Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine and Hepatology,

Faculty of Medicine,

Cairo University

Dr. Abeir Osman Dabbous

Assistant Professor of Audiology,

Faculty of Medicine,

Cairo University

Cairo University

2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to “Allah”, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful who Guides me to the right way.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and gratefulness to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ebrahim Shabana**, Professor of audiology, Audiology unit, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. For his kind supervision and constant encouragement. In fact, it has been a great honor to work under his supervision.

I am greatly indebted and appreciating to **Prof. Dr. Ayman Rashad Amer**, Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine and Hepatology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. His useful suggestions, generous help and enthusiastic cooperation have made it possible to complete this work.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Abeir Osman Dabbous**, Assistant Professor of Audiology, Audiology unit, Faculty of Medicine. For her tremendous effort and meticulous supervision to complete this work. To her therefore, I express my sense of gratitude which she will find everlasting.

Finally, I thank all staff members and colleagues of audiology unit, and my family who were always supportive during the conduction of all steps of this work.

Dr. Amani Ahmed Al- Sunni

2010

ABSTRACT:

METHODS: Hearing assessment using pure tone audiometry and TEOAEs was done for 3 adult middle-aged groups: Group **A**: 30 chronic active hepatitis C virus (HCV) patients receiving treatment with PEG- interferon and ribavirin, for at least three months; Group **B**: 30 chronic HCV patients who did not receive treatment; and Group **C**: 10 healthy normal hearers as controls.

OBJECTIVE: to study the effect of Interferon and Ribavirin combination on hearing in chronic HCV patients treated with these medications.

RESULTS: Group A showed mild high frequencies sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) in (63.33%) of cases (unilateral in 36.67% & bilateral in 26.67%). The onset was sudden in 6.67% of cases. Group B showed SNHL which was unilateral in 13.33 % of cases. All subjects in this study had present TEOAE. In group A the TEOAE Pass was 48.33%, and Partial Pass was 51.67% of ears. There was a statistically significant difference among the three groups as regards the hearing threshold at different frequencies and TEOAE overall wave reproducibility. This difference was found between groups A and B and groups A and C in both ears but not between groups B and C. This suggests that HCV itself did not affect hearing or cause outer hair cells damage as much as did the treatment used for the disease. Normal hearing ears of the unilateral SNHL showed lower pass rate (27.27%) than those of the bilateral normal hearing (54.55%), which suggests subtle changes in the cochlea.

CONCLUSION: Interferon and ribavirin combination used in treatment of HCV patients, could affect the cochlea causing outer or inner hair cells damage. So we *recommend* that the HCV patients should be aware of the possibility of occurrence of clinical hearing loss or sub-clinical cochlear damage from dual therapy with interferon and ribavirin. We recommend that the Egyptian Ministry of Health add

audiological testing and monitoring in the guidelines of treatment and follow-up of treatment in HCV patients, i.e. HCV patients, scheduled for dual therapy with interferon and ribavirin, must have their hearing and inner ears assessed by both TEOAEs and pure tone audiometry before, during and after cessation of treatment, as well as a long term follow-up.

Key Words: audiometry; cochlea; Interferon, hearing; hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections; Ribavirin; transient evoked otoacoustic emissions.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II.AIM OF THE WORK	3
III.REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
A. Hepatitis C Virus infection.....	4
B. Diagnosis of Hepatitis C Virus.....	15
C. HCV Treatment Options.....	17
D. Hearing Loss and Hepatitis.....	22
E. Audiological Monitoring For Ototoxicity	25
IV. SUBJECT AND METHODS	33
V. RESULTS	38
VI. DISCUSSION	87
VII. CONCLUSIONS.....	99
VIII. RECOMMENDATION	99
VIII. SUMMARY	100
REFFRENCES	104
ARABIC SUMMARY	

ABBREVIATIONS

ABR	Auditory Brainstem Response
ALT	Alanine Amino-Transferase
AST	Aspartate Amino-Transferase
ASHA	American Speech Hearing Association
CHC	Chronic Hepatitis C
DPOAE	Distortion Products Otoacoustic Emissions
HAV	Hepatitis A Virus
HBV	Hepatitis B Virus
HCC	Hepato-Cellular Carcinoma
HCV	Hepatitis C Virus
IFN	Interferon
IFN-α	Interferon Alpha
IFN-γ	Interferon Gamma
LDL	Low Density Lipoprotein
NS	Non-Structure Protein
OAE	Otoacoustic Emissions
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PTA	Pure Tone Audiometry
TEOAE	Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions
SNHL	Sensorineural Hearing Loss
SOAE	Spontaneous Otoacoustic Emissions
WHO	World Health Organization

LIST OF TABLES

Number	Title of table	Page
1	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards gender	38
2	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards age	39
3a	Mean and standard deviation and range of the right ear hearing threshold level (in dBHL) for pure tones at different frequencies in the 3 groups	41
3b	Statistical significance between each 2 groups: at different frequencies for the right ear	41
4a	Mean and standard deviation and range of the left ear hearing threshold level (in dBHL) for pure tones at different frequencies in the 3 groups	42
4b	Statistical significance between each 2 groups: at different frequencies for the left ear	42
5a	Comparison between the three studied groups according to pure tone average (PTA#)	45
5b	Right ear PTA result in both genders of group A	46
5c	Left ear PTA result in both genders of group A	46
5d	Distribution of the laterality of hearing in group A (n=30)	47
5e	Distribution of the hearing status in both genders of group A (n=30)	49
5f	Distribution of the laterality of hearing loss in both genders of groups A	50
5g	Right ear PTA result in group B	51
5h	Left ear PTA result in group B	51
5i	Distribution of the laterality of hearing in group B (n=30)	52

5j	Distribution of the hearing status in both genders of group B (n=30)	52
6	Comparison between the three studied groups according to speech discrimination %	53
7a	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards the overall wave reproducibility in percentage	56
7b	TEOAE result in group A	58
7c	Distribution of TEOAE results in patients with SNHL (n=19) in group A according to its onset	59
8	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage at 1000 Hz	60
9	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage at 1500 Hz	61
10	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage at 2000 Hz	62
11	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage at 3000 Hz	63
12	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage at 4000 Hz	64
13	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs amplitudes (SNR) in dBSPL at 1000 Hz	65
14	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs amplitudes (SNR) in dBSPL at 1500 Hz	66
15	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs amplitudes (SNR) in dBSPL at 2000 Hz	67
16	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs	68

	amplitudes (SNR) in dBSPL at 3000 Hz	
17	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards TEOAEs amplitudes (SNR) in dBSPL at 4000 Hz	69
18	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards the mean AB value in dBSPL	71
19	Comparison among the three studied groups as regards the overall response in dBSPL	72
20a	Distribution of the TEOAE results among the different PTA results ears of group A (n=60)	73
20 b	Distribution of the TEOAE results among the different PTA results ears of group B (n=60)	74
21 a	Comparison between male and female right ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group A	75
21 b	Comparison between male and female Left ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group A	75
22a	Comparison between male and female right ear TEOAE values in group A	76
22 b	Comparison between male and female left ear TEOAE values in group A	77
23 a	Comparison between male and female right ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group B	78
23 b	Comparison between male and female left ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group B	78
24 a	Comparison between male and female right ear TEOAE values in group B	79
24 b	Comparison between male and female left ear TEOAE values in group B	80

25 a	Comparison between male and female right ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group C	81
25 b	Comparison between male and female left ear PTA threshold values at different frequencies in group C	81
26a	Comparison between male and female right ear TEOAE values in group C	82
26 b	Comparison between male and female left ear TEOAE values in group C	83
27	Correlation between age and TEOAE values in group A	84
28	Correlation between age and TEOAE values in group B	85
29	Correlation between age and TEOAE values in group C	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Number	Title of figures	Page
1	HCV genome	4
2	Hypothetical model of the HCV replication cycle	6
3	Comparison among the three studied groups according to gender distribution	39
4	Comparison among the three studied groups according to mean age	40
5	Average right ear hearing thresholds at different frequencies in the three groups	43
6	Average left ear hearing thresholds at different frequencies in the three groups	44
7	Distribution of the degree of hearing in right and left ears of group A	47
8	Distribution of the laterality of hearing loss in group A	48
9	Distribution of patients with SNHL according to onset of hearing loss	48
10	Mean speech discrimination % of the right and left ears in the three groups	53
11	Overall TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage in the three studied groups	54
12	TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage in the right ear at different octave bands in the three studied groups	55
13	TEOAEs wave reproducibility in percentage in the left ear at different octave bands in the three studied groups	55
14	Percentage of TEOAE pass results in group A	57

15	TEOAE result in both genders in group A	58
16	Mean TEOAEs in spectra of the right ear in the three groups	70
17	Mean TEOAEs in spectra of the left ear in the three groups	70
18	Overall response (dBSPL) in the three studied groups	72

INTRODUCTION AND RATIONALE

Interferon alpha (**IFN alpha**) is a cytokine with antiviral, antiproliferative and immunomodulator effects. It has been widely used for the treatment of many systemic disorders, especially in acute and chronic viral illness (**Woo and Burnakis, 1997**). The antiviral immune response to the antiviral effect of interferon may also lead to autoimmune pathological consequences, mediated by antibodies formed in response to viral infection, or by immune Complexes produced during an infection (**Tinghitella, 1990**). Although IFN alpha may have many mild to severe side effects such as flu-like syndrome, anosmia, haematological, infectious, cardiovascular, renal, autoimmune, and psychiatric problems, auditory complaints due to IFN alpha administration are rare and reversible (**Chung and Older, 1997**). The mechanisms of this side effect are not known, but may be a result of vasculitis affecting small arterioles.

PEG-interferon and ribavirin combination therapy for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) is well known to be associated with significant adverse effects. Sensorineural hearing loss, that in most cases is unilateral, but may be bilateral (**Piekerska et al., 2007**) has been reported as a consequence of therapy with both non-pegylated and pegylated interferon (PEG-INF) but is not a well-known adverse effect. Sudden hearing loss may occur in about 1% of patients on PEG-IFN/ribavirin combination therapy. This rate was not different to that observed in an untreated population. Possible mechanisms involved include direct ototoxicity of

IFN, autoimmunity, and hematological changes. In contrast to published cases on auditory disability due to standard IFN, hearing loss did not fully resolve after discontinuation of therapy with PEG-IFN. On the other hand, symptoms did not worsen on continued treatment (**Formann et al., 2004 and wong et al., 2005**). Therefore, the decision whether to continue or to stop the treatment when signs of ototoxicity appear is based on the clinical judgment of the treating physician (**Elloumi et al., 2007**).

Rationale:

In literature, few studies evaluated the effect of these drugs on hearing, and to the best of our knowledge, no studies evaluated the effect of these drugs on cochlear outer hair cells.

Moreover, the Egyptian Ministry of Health guidelines for HCV therapy are not specifying screening of the hearing level by audiometry or monitoring cochlear function in their treatment and follow-up protocol.

So in this study we investigated the effect of dual treatment with interferon and ribavirin on hearing and on cochlear hair cells in chronic hepatitis C virus patients.