Recent advances in management of biliary injuries after laparoscopic cholecystectomy

A study submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree in **General surgery**

Submitted by

Mohamed Ismail Mohamed Ismail

M.B., B. CH.

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hossam El-Deen Hussein El-Azazi

Professor of general surgery Faculty of medicine Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Gamal Abd El-Rahman El-Mowaled

Ass. Prof. of general surgery Faculty of medicine Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hamed Hussein Abo Setit

Ass. prof. of general surgery Faculty of medicine Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University 2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are all to **GOD** for blessing me this work until it reached its end. Thanks to my family for their great help and support.

I wish to express my deepest gratitude and honor to **Professor Dr. Hossam El- Azazi**, Professor of General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help and supervision.

My special thanks and appreciation for **Prof. Dr. Gamal El-Mowaled**, Assistant Professor of General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts and his valuable guidance throughout this work.

My deepest thanks are to **Prof. Dr. Hamed Abo Steit**, Assistant professor of General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his generous instruction all through the work.

Mohamed Ismail Mohamed.

CONTENTS

	Pa	ige
INTRODUCTION	•••	1
AIM OF THE WORK	•••	4
ANATOMY	•••	5
LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY	•••	29
ETIOLOGY & CLASSIFICATION OF BILE DUCT INJURIES	•••	48
DIAGNOSIS OF BILIARY INJURIES		59
MANAGEMENT OF BILIARY INJURIES	•••	74
SUMMARY	•••	117
REFERENCES	•••	119
ARABIC SUMMARY		_

List of figures

Fig. No.	Title Page
1	Anterior aspect of biliary anatomy 5
2	Arterial supply of biliary system 9
3	The eight most common variations in the anatomy of the arterial supply (cystic artery) to the gallbladder 10
4	(A) Venous drainage of the gallbladder. (B) The lymphatic drainage of the gallbladder towards the coeliac axis
5	Calot`s triangle 11
6	Schematic shows anatomic features of hepatic hilum 13
7	The critical view
8	Rouviere sulcus
9	Anatomical variations of LHD
10	Anatomical variations of RHD 23
11	Diagram shows CD classification based on the course of CD and the location of the confluence to CBD 24
12	Pattern of accessory hepatic duct 24
13	Variations in GB
14	Tortuous hepatic artery (so-called caterpillar turn or Moynihan's hump)

15	Variations of origin and course of cystic artery 28
16	Patient positioning and operating staff for a laparoscopic cholecystectomy
17	Port sites
18	Port placement and retraction strategies
19	Exposure of calots triangle
20	The gallbladder infundibulum is retracted laterally and posteriorly placing the cystic duct at a right angle to the common bile duct
21	Window of dissection
22	(A) Calot's original description of his triangle (CTa). (B) Modern understanding of Calot's triangle (CTb) 41
23	The triangle of Calot
24	The critical view is completed by dissecting the intervening tissue between the cystic artery and duct
25	Clipping of cysting duct
26	The peritoneum overlying the cystic artery "elbow" is released. This maneuver straightens the cystic artery facilitating clip application
27	Exposure of calot s triangle
28	Clipping of cystic artery 47
29 a	Diagram illustrating of Bismuth's classification 51
29 b	Strasberg classification of laparoscopic bile duct injuries 54
30	Stewart-Way classification of laparoscopic bile duct injuries 55
31	Cholangiogram of a class II bile duct injury. Note clips 63

	causing biliary stricture	
32	Cholangiogram detects the misidentification and the small defect in the common bile duct is stented	63
33	Ultrasound and CT scan of a patient with extensive fluid collection after laparoscopic cholecystectomy (biloma)	64
34	Computed tomographic scan of a patient with bile leak after cholecystectomy. The short arrows indicate the intraperitoneal collections. Both air and bile are seen in the gallbladder bed (long arrow) as well as a surgical clip	67
35	A triple bile duct injury, with right and left hepatic duct ligation and common bile duct cross-section (Bismuth type V), was revealed in magnetic resonance imaging	66
36	41-year-old woman with Bismuth type III injury 8 days after laparoscopic cholecystectomy	67
37	63-year-old man with Bismuth type IV injury 10 days after laparoscopic cholecystectomy	67
38	75-year-old woman with bile leak from cystic duct remnant 1 week after laparoscopic cholecystectomy	68
39	75-year-old woman with bile leak from cystic duct remnant 1 week after laparoscopic cholecystectomy	68
40	Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography	70
41	An endoscopic retrograde cholangiography	70
42	An endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography	71
43	An endoscopic retrograde cholangiography	71
44	An abdominal CT scan demonstrated a subhepatic fluid collection and a hepatobiliary	76
45	Percutaneous cholecystostomy	77

46	ERCP image shows partial stricture	79
47	An endoscopic sphincterotomy	81
48	Balloon dilation of the common hepatic duct stricture with a 6-mm balloon	83
49	Intra-operative cholangiogram	83
50	Stenting of the common hepatic duct stricture with 3 10-F stents	84
51	The initial step in the creation of hepaticojejunostomy	
	Roux-en-Y	91
52	Construction of an end-to-end biliary enteric anastomosis in a very dilated biliary system	94
53	Anastomosis to a small bile duct	97
54	The approximation of separated right and left hepatic duct at the hilus before hepaticojejunostomy	98
55	The technique of choledochoduodenostomy	99
56	Example of the sump syndrome with debris filling the distal bile duct	100
57	Technique for end-to-side anastomosis of the bile duct below the hilus to jejunum	102
58	15-cm segment of jejunum is isolated approximately 30 cm from the ligament of Treitz, the jejunum being reconstructed by end-to-end anastomosis	103
59	Biliary enteric anastomoses	106
60	Left hepatic duct opened out in preparation for	107

	hepaticojejunostomy after E6 bile duct injury sustained during laparoscopic cholecystectomy	
61	The left hepatic duct and the jejunum are incised. And the anastomosis is performed	108
62	Segment III ductal approach	109
63	Roux en-Y-hepaticojejunostomy to the segment III duct postcholecystectomy stricture initially treated by hepaticojejunostomy using a mucosal graft technique with transhepatic tube through the right liver	110

List of abbreviations

ALT Alanine aminotransferase

AP Alkaline phosphatase

BBS Benign biliary stricture

BDI Bile Duct Injury

BPP Biliary percutaneous procedure

EBF External biliary fistula

EBS Endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy

HP Hartmann pouch

LFTs Liver function tests

MRA Magnetic resonance angiography

MRI Magnetic resonance imaging

MS Mirrizi syndrome

NBT Nasobiliary tube

OC Open cholecystectomy

RPLD Right postero-lateral duct

US Ultra-sound

CBD Common bile duct

CHD Common hepatic duct

CT Computed tomography

CD Cystic duct

ERCP Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

EBDs Extra hepatic bile ducts

GB Gall bladder

HA Hepatic artery

HJ Hepaticoo-jejunostomy

HIDA Hepatobiliary iminodiacetic acid scan

IBDs Intra hepatic biliary ducts

IOC Intraoperative cholangiography

LC Laparoscopic cholecystectomy

LHA Left hepatic artery

LHD Left hepatic duct

MRCP Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography

MPD Main pancreatic duct

PTC Percutaneus trans-hepatic cholangiography

PV Portal vein

RASD Right anterior sectoral duct

RHA Right hepatic artery

RHD Right hepatic duct

RPSD Right posterior sectoral duct

Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the late1980s has replaced open cholecystectomy as the gold standard for surgical treatment of benign gallbladder disease. Despite the advantages of laparoscopic cholecystectomy over open cholecystectomy, the incidence of common bile duct injury, which is the most common and serious complication during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, has increased from 0.2% to 0.7% compared with 0.1% to 0.4% during open cholecystectomy (**Zhi-Bing et al., 2009**).

Biliary injury during cholecystectomy is frequently missed at the time of surgery and may remain unrecognized in the postoperative period, often leading to a significant delay in identifying the problem (Thomson et al., 2006).

Risk factors for biliary injuries include training and experience ,anything interfering with visualization intraoperative, acute inflammation ,fat in portal area ,chronic inflammation with dense scarring , operative bleeding, aberrant anatomy which is a common danger in biliary operations (Soper et al., 1995).

Biliary injuries may be caused either by misidentification of anatomical structure or technical difficulties (Soper et al., 1995).

It has been suggested that the commonest cause of common bile duct injury is misidentification of biliary anatomy (70–80 per cent of injuries) (Hugh, 2002).

The most used system to classify bile duct injuries during open cholecystectomy is the bismuth classification, this classification is based on the length of remaining bile duct and doesn't include bile leaks from the cystic duct stump or liver bed, and it also neglects lateral injuries to the bile duct. These injuries have all been reported following laparoscopic cholecystectomy, so there is new classification for bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy (Soper et al., 1995).

Clinical presentation of biliary injuries includes: abdominal pain, fever and abdominal tenderness caused by bile collecting locally or generally in the peritoneal cavity. However, a few patients present with vague symptoms such as anorexia or failure to thrive (Soper et al., 1995).

Initial symptoms may be nonspecific; patients are discharged from hospital frequently reappearing a few days later with classical symptoms and signs of biliary leak or transection of the bile duct. These include jaundice, biloma, sepsis, biliary fistula (with or without jaundice) and biliary peritonitis (Lee et al., 2000).

Late presentation includes recurrent cholangitis and secondary biliary cirrhosis. It has not been established if routine placement of drains allows earlier detection of a bile leak, but any patient remaining unwell 48 h after surgery should be investigated for possible bile duct injury (De Wit et al., 1999).

Injury to the biliary tree during laparoscopic surgery remains a significant problem. Successful repair has been reported in 84–92 per cent of patient (Boerma et al., 2001).

When an injury is recognized during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, however, immediate open conversion and repair by an experienced surgeon is associated with reduced morbidity, shorter illness and lower cost (Thomson et al., 2006).

Management depends on the timing of recognition of injury and may be considered as intraoperative, early and delayed. If a bile leak from a duct is

identified within the proximal gallbladder fossa or hilum, a major injury should be suspected, as it is known that outcome is improved when an experienced hepatobiliary surgeon is present. As for early postoperative management for a partial defect in the duct, the best option is primary closure with fine absorbable sutures and subhepatic drainage, rather than placement of a T-tube, also endoscopic stenting or sphincterotomy can be performed in the event of postoperative bile leak and have a 57–70 per cent chance of success. For delayed management, initial treatment should focus on resuscitation of the patient, drainage of any collections to create a controlled enterocutaneous fistula and treatment of sepsis. Nutritional support should be maintained during subsequent definition of the anatomy and definitive repair (Conner et al., 2006).

There is several techniques to prevent injury: a 30° telescope, avoidance of diathermy close to the common hepatic duct, avoidance of dissection close to the common bile duct—cystic duct junction, and conversion to an open approach when uncertain (**Troidl, 1999**).

Aim of the work

The aim of this study is to outline the biliary injuries after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, how to diagnose and new ways of management whether surgical or with interventional radiology.