

Comparative study between different incisions of abdominoplasty

Thesis

Submitted for the complete fulfillment of the Master
degree in General Surgery

Ahmed Abdel Azim Hassan

M.B.B.Ch

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Safwat Abdelkader Salem

Professor of General and Plastic Surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Moheb Sameer Kamel

Lecturer of General and Plastic Surgery

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2010

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

CONTENTS

	<i><u>Page</u></i>
▪ Acknowledgment	I
▪ List of figures	II
▪ List of tables	III
▪ Abstract	IV
▪ Review of Literature	1
○ Introduction and aim of the work	1
○ Anatomical considerations	4
○ History and techniques	23
○ Preoperative preparation and patient selection	31
○ Mini-abdominoplasty	49
○ Full abdominoplasty	60
○ High lateral tension abdominoplasty	75
○ Fleur de-Lis abdominoplasty	78
○ Reverse abdominoplasty	85
○ U-M abdominoplasty	91
○ W abdominoplasty	101
○ Complications of abdominoplasty	103
▪ Patients and Methods	114
▪ Results	125
▪ Case Presentation	133
▪ Discussion	146
▪ Summary and conclusion	154
▪ References	156
▪ Arabic Summary	167

Acknowledgment

Praise to Allah who gave me everything, keep me guided and who allowed this work to be done.

*I am greatly honored to express my deep respect and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Safwat Abdel Kader Salem**, the eminent professor of General and Plastic Surgery, Cairo University, for his faithful supervision, understanding, help and encouragement in initiating and completing this work.*

*I am most grateful to **Dr. Moheb Samir Kamel**, Lecturer of General and Plastic Surgery, Cairo University, for his great help and support throughout all of the steps of this work.*

*Also, I want to thank **Dr. Mohammed Abdel-Monaem Ameen**, Lecturer of General and Plastic Surgery, Cairo University for his continuous advice and encouragement throughout this work.*

I am very much obliged to all the staff members and colleagues of department 27 A. for their help, advice, continuous support and guidance.

And of course, I can't find enough words to thank my parents, my family and my friends for their love, care and endless support.

To my parents

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	<i>The superficial structures of the anterolateral abdominal wall.</i>	8
2	<i>Fascial zones of adherence.</i>	10
3	<i>Direction of the fibers of the external and internal abdominal oblique muscle</i>	12
4	<i>Surgery anatomy of the anterior abdominal wall.</i>	13
5	<i>The abdominal wall has an extensive blood supply.</i>	14
6	<i>Huger's zones I, II, and III.</i>	15
7	<i>Nerve supply to the anterior abdominal wall.</i>	17
8	<i>Lymphatic drainage of the anterior abdominal wall.</i>	18
9	<i>Beauty of the abdominal contour and its normal dimensions.</i>	20
10	<i>Aesthetic units of the female abdomen.</i>	22
11	<i>Abdominoplasty techniques; a. Kelly (1899), b. Weinhold (1909).</i>	25
12	<i>Abdominoplasty techniques; a. Babcock (1916), b. Schepelmann (1918), c. Thorek (1924), d. Pick (1949) and Barsky (1950).</i>	25
13	<i>Abdominoplasty techniques; a. Galtier (1955), b. Vernon (1957).</i>	26
14	<i>Abdominoplasty techniques; a. Dufourmental and Mouly (1959), b. Gonzalez-Uloa (1960) and Vilain (1964).</i>	26
15	<i>a. vertical, transverse and combined incisions, b. Pitanguy (1967).</i>	28
16	<i>Abdominoplasty techniques; a. Regnault (1972), b. Grazer (1973).</i>	29
17	<i>Basic dimensions: short- versus long-waisted abdomen.</i>	33
18	<i>The classic "diver's test".</i>	37
19	<i>Abdominoplasty decision-making algorithm.</i>	40

No.	Title	Page
20	<i>Mini-abdominoplasty with triple ligation.</i>	42
21	<i>A patient who is contraindicated to be treated with a mini-abdominoplasty.</i>	53
22	<i>The abdominal flap elevation and rectus fascia plication in a mini-abdominoplasty.</i>	55
23	<i>Pre- and postoperative views of a 46-year-old woman who underwent type II abdominal contouring.</i>	55
24	<i>Skin markings and landmarks of full abdominoplasty.</i>	64
25	<i>Circumumbilical incision.</i>	66
26	<i>Full abdominoplasty excision pattern.</i>	66
27	<i>The extent of abdominal flap elevation and fascial plication in a traditional abdominoplasty.</i>	67
28	<i>Tightening patterns for complete abdominoplasty.</i>	69
29	<i>The patient is flexed prior to demarcation of excess tissue.</i>	70
30	<i>After demarcation each flap is incised, beveling the adipose tissue.</i>	71
31	<i>A cone of subcutaneous tissue is resected.</i>	73
32	<i>Final sutures are done by planes.</i>	73
33	<i>Schematic drawing of the proposed incision in HLT.</i>	77
34	<i>A fleur de lis.</i>	78
35	<i>Illustration of initial full abdominoplasty markings.</i>	81
36	<i>A “pinch test” is performed along the superior border of the previously delineated abdominoplasty incision.</i>	82
37	<i>An inverted “V” or triangle is drawn on the upper abdominal midline.</i>	82
38	<i>Anterior view of patient after completion of "Fleur-de-Lis" abdominoplasty with all wounds closed.</i>	84

No.	Title	Page
39	<i>Reverse abdominoplasty, complete inframammary incision with V-shaped flap and undermining to the level of the umbilicus.</i>	87
40	<i>Reverse abdominoplasty, incomplete inframammary incision limited to the base of the breasts.</i>	87
41	<i>The final scar of the reverse abdominoplasty.</i>	90
42	<i>Traditional incisions of abdominoplasty</i>	92
43	<i>Pre-operative U-M abdominoplasty markings</i>	92
44	<i>Reference lines for U-M abdominoplasty</i>	94
45	<i>Umbilical incision in abdominoplasty</i>	98
46	<i>Myofascial release of the rectus muscle</i>	99
47	<i>Myofascial release of the rectus muscle</i>	99
48	<i>Repair after myofascial release</i>	100
49	<i>Low transverse W incision</i>	102
50	<i>How to reduce the incidence of dog-ears.</i>	105

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	<i>Matarasso's classification based on the level of cutaneous and muscledfascial laxity as well as the quantity of excess fat.</i>	51
2	<i>Ideal candidate for mini-abdominoplasty.</i>	52
3	<i>Contraindications for mini-abdominoplasty.</i>	52
4	<i>Patient's age, gender, BMI and somatotypes.</i>	120
5	<i>Patients associated morbidities.</i>	121
6	<i>Local abdominal examination</i>	122
7	<i>Aesthetic contour improvement in different types of abdominoplasty.</i>	126
8	<i>Scar length, width and complications.</i>	127
9	<i>Aesthetic complications.</i>	130
10	<i>Types and numbers of non-aesthetic complications.</i>	132

Abstract

The contour of the abdomen is the backbone of body contouring surgery. Patients usually seek abdominoplasty for abdominal wall laxity, excess skin, striae, or diastasis of the rectus muscles. The inevitable end scar must be as invisible as possible, symmetrical, and located in regions covered with the minimal clothing. Thirty patients underwent different types of abdominoplasty including; Classic (full) abdominoplasty, HLT, inverted (T) abdominoplasty, (W) abdominoplasty, and mini-abdominoplasty. The significance of the proper choice of the incision of abdominoplasty appears in the aesthetic results and incidence of complications in the cases involved in the practical study in hand.

Key words:

- Abdominoplasty incisions.
- HLT: high lateral tension abdominoplasty.
- (W) Incision abdominoplasty.

Introduction
&
Aim of the work

Introduction

Body image in particular has been proposed as a crucial factor in the motivation to undergo cosmetic surgery. The construct is considered to comprise of two components: body image orientation, referring to how important body image for a person, and body image evaluation, indicating how satisfied a person with his or her own body. It has been suggested that low body image evaluation combined with high body image orientation increases the likelihood to undergo cosmetic surgery.

If the outcome of an operation is consistent with the patient's expectations, one would expect that improved body image evaluation follows as a consequence (**Sarwer et al., 1998**).

Abdominal plastic surgery has evolved greatly since Callia's original description in 1965 in response to demands for better results, smaller scars, faster postoperative recovery and, above all, fewer postoperative complications (**Matos, 2006**).

Abdominoplasty, one of the most commonly performed aesthetic procedures, has undergone a significant evolution over the past several decades. It is targeted at addressing abdominal deformities characterized by excess skin and subcutaneous tissue and laxity of the abdominal wall musculature. Kelly was one of the first surgeons to attempt to correct excess abdominal skin and fat (**Kelly, 1910**).

Since that time, numerous variations have been suggested. Thorek was the first to devise a procedure that preserved the umbilicus (**Thork, 1939**).

Patients usually seek abdominoplasty for abdominal wall laxity, excess skin, striae, or diastasis of the rectus muscles. The ideal patient is within normal limits for his or her weight and height (i.e. body mass

index), has no plans for future pregnancies, has a moderate amount of excess of skin and fat, and has a mild diastasis of the rectus muscles (**Rees, 1980**).

The type of incision is usually determined by the patient's body habitus or by the patient's choice of clothing, (i.e. bathing apparel or shorts). Most incisions are low on the abdomen, allowing the patient to wear fairly brief apparel. Most abdominoplasty incisions are variations of the Regnault, Grazer, or the bicycle-handlebar techniques described by Baroudi (**Baroudi and Moraes, 1995**).

Traditional abdominoplasty techniques, which use primarily transverse incisions, seem to be most beneficial for those patients whose abdominal contour is relatively normal with only a minimal to moderate amount of flaccid skin (primarily vertical excess with minimal horizontal excess (**Matarasso and Belsley, 2005**).

Massive weight loss patients with a large abdominal pannus, however, are usually disappointed with such techniques, as they fail to address the adjacent redundant tissue overlying the upper abdomen, flanks, and hips (**Taylor and Shermak 2004**).

The high lateral tension, reverse, and vertical (fleur-de-lis) abdominoplasties (separately or in combination) have done well, then to improve overall anterior truncal contour in this group of patient (**Lockwood, 1996**).

Aim of the work

The aim of the study is to evaluate the results of different incisions used in abdominoplasty, and to detect the morbidities and complications which may occur after the surgical procedure (pulmonary embolism, seroma, haematoma, flap viability, sensory loss, dog ears, persistent deformity, and wound infection) and comparing the aesthetic outcome, patient satisfaction, operative time, hospital stay.

Thirty patients with selection criteria for abdominoplasty with different incisions, ten patients for each type including the indications, technique, results and complications in a period of six months.

Review of Literature