

Comparison of root canal preparation using Two rotary Ni-Ti instruments

(An In Vitro Study)

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams
University

For
Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of the Master Degree
in Endodontics

By

Aliaa Mohammad Hesham El-Gayar

B.D.S.(Ain Shams University, 2011)

Supervisors

Dr. Ehab El Sayed Hassanein

Professor of Endodontics

Head of the Endodontic department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Shady Ali Hussein

Lecturer, Endodontic department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

First and for most, thanks are due to **ALLAH**, *the most beneficent and merciful*.

I would to express my sincerest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ehab El Sayed Hassanein**, Professor of Endodontics and Head of the Endodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. The door office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. He consistently allowed this paper to be my own work, but steered me in the right the direction whenever he thought I needed it.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Shady Ali Hussein**, Lecturer in Endodontic department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. For his continuous supervision, guidance, encouragement and support .

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my husband for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process

of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

List of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Literature Review.....	4
1 .Shaping ability.....	4
2. cleaning ability.....	42
Aim of the study	57
Materials and Methods.....	58
1. Materials	58
2. Methods	58
Results	81
1. Shaping ability.....	81
2. cleaning ability.....	89
Discussion.....	96
Summary and Conclusion.....	104
References	107
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

- Figure 1:** Diagram showing Schneider's method for determination of angle of curvature..... 59
- Figure 2:** Digital caliber used to place the gutta percha pieces at 3mm intervals from the apex 61
- Figure 3:**Marks on mesial surface of mesial root to determine levels of gutta puecha.....61
- Figure 4:** Gutta percha attached to the mesial surface of the mesial root. 62
- Figure 5.** Number of teeth was written by permanent marker on the occlusal surface of the crown and marking the mesiobuccal canal..... 62
- Figure 6** attaching small piece of wax to the apex to..... preserve patency..... 64
- Figure 7** Figure(7): Protaper Gold prepared teeth in green acrylic resin.one Gutta percha oriented vertically for first group mold..... 65
- Figure 8.** Protaper Gold prepared teeth in green acrylic resin.two Gutta percha oriented vertically for second group mold.....66

Figure 9. Protaper Universal prepared teeth in White acrylic resin. Three gutta percha oriented vertically for third mold.	67
Figure 10): Protaper Universal prepared teeth in White acrylic resin. four gutta percha oriented vertically for fourth mold.....	68
Figure 11.): Block positioning in the CBCT machine.	69
Figure 12: CBCT image of the block.....	70
Figure 13: Endo-motor used for rotation motion (X- SMART, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues,Switzerland.....	72
Figure 14: protaper Gold.....	72
Figure 15: Protaper universal.. ..	73
Figure 16: F2 Protaper Gold	73
Figure 17: F2 Protaper Universal.....	73
Figure 18: CBCT image, measurement of angle of curvature.	74
Figure 19: Diagram showing preinstrumentation measurement.	75

Figure 20: Diagram showing postinstrumentation measurement.....	75
Figure 21: Measurements of mesial and distal dentin thickness.....	76
Figure 22 : Under the analyze menu item, set measurements was chosen. Then the area fraction checkbox was checked.....	78
Figure 23: A perimeter of the root canal was done with an area selection tool (polygon selection), followed by Edit → Clear Outside.....	78
Figure 24: Under the image menu item, type was chosen, 8-bit. This changed the image to gray scale.....	78
Figure 25: Under the image menu item, adjust threshold was chosen. Both sliders were adjusted until the required area was automatically shaded red.....	79
Figure 26: Under the analyze menu item, measure was chosen. The obtained value of area fraction was transferred to an excel sheet to collect the data	79
Figure 27 : Stereomicroscope with digital camera.....	80
Figure 28: pre and post instrumentation measures of angel of curvature	81

Figure 29:Statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the two groups in curve changes (p= 0.190).82

Figure 30:Column chart showing the mean centering ratio for PTG and PTU at the different levels of the mesiobuccal root canal.....84

Figure 31:showing the measurement in coronal,middle,and apical area.....85

Figure 32Column chart showing the mean centering ratio at different levels of the mesiobuccal root canals for PTGgroup.....86

Figure 33: Column chart showing the mean centering ratio at different levels of the mesiobuccal root canals for PTU group.....88

Figure 34: Column chart showing the mean of friction area of the debris of PTG and PTU at the different levels of the mesiobuccal root canal.....91

Figure 35:showing debris in apical,middle,and cervical area....91

Figure 36: Column chart showing the mean centering ratio at different levels of the mesiobuccal root canals for PTG group.....93

Figure 37: Column chart showing the mean of the friction area of the debris at different levels of the mesiobuccal root canals for PTU group.....95

List of Tables

Table 1: *Comparative analysis of the mean change in the angle of curvature between PTG and PTU82*

Table 2: *Comparative analysis of the mean of centering ratio between PTG and PTU..... 84*

Table 3: *Comparative analysis of the mean centering ratio at different levels of the mesiobuccal canals for PTG group..... 86*

Table 4:*Comparative analysis of the mean centering ratio at different levels of the mesiobuccal canals for PTU group..... 88*

Table 5: *Comparative analysis of the mean of friction area of the debris between PTG and PTU90*

Table 6: *Comparative analysis of the friction area of the debris at different levels of the mesiobuccal canals for PTG group 93*

Table 7: *Comparative analysis of the friction area of the debris at different levels of the mesiobuccal canals for PTU group95*

Introduction

The aim of root canal instrumentation is to create a tapered shape with adequate volume to allow effective irrigation and filling. Many instruments, devices and instrumentation techniques have been recommended but only few seem to be capable of consistently achieving these primary objectives of root canal preparation.

Root canal success is dependent upon two major factors: cleaning and shaping. Proper cleaning is essential in order to provide an adequate seal and to prevent failure. Among the chemical solutions currently used in endodontics, different concentrations of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) are the most common and accepted worldwide due to its properties that contribute to effective chemomechanical debridement of the root canal system. NaOCl acts as a lubricant for instrumentation and can flush loose debris from root canals. NaOCl promotes cleaning, dissolves both vital and non-vital tissue and has antibacterial action.

The removal of vital and/or necrotic pulp tissue, infected dentine and dentine debris to eliminate most of the microorganisms from the root canal system is still one of the most important objectives during root canal instrumentation. It is believed that from a biologic point of view, the presence of a debris contribute to leakage and it is also a source of nutrients for microorganisms

In severely curved canals, traditional stainless steel instruments often fail to achieve the tapered root canal shapes needed for adequate cleaning and filling.

Recently, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) was introduced to evaluate not only cross-sections of roots, but also three-dimensional shapes of canals. This innovation was achieved because new hardware and software were available to evaluate the metrical data created by CBCT, thus allowing geometrical changes in prepared canals to be determined in more detail.

Several investigations have shown the ability of some new rotary Ni–Ti systems to maintain the original root canal curvature well.

The goal of shaping the canal is to develop a continuously shaped three-dimensional conical form from

the apex of the root to the crown. During shaping, it is critical that the canal anatomy be maintained and tooth structure be conserved.

Review of literature

I. Shaping ability of the canal:

A. Protaper Universal:

Paqué et al⁽¹⁾ Twenty-five extracted human mandibular first molars with two separate mesial root canals were selected. Canals were randomly assigned to one of the two experimental groups: Group 1: Rotary conventional preparation using ProTaper and Group 2: Reciprocate instrumentation with one single ProTaper F2 instrument. Specimens were scanned initially and after root canal preparation with an isotropic resolution of 20 µm using a micro-computed tomography system. The following parameters were assessed: changes in dentin volume, percentage of shaped canal walls and degree of canal transportation. In addition, the time required to reach working length with the F2 instrument was recorded.

They found that preoperatively, there were no differences regarding root canal curvature and volume between experimental groups. Overall, instrumentation led to enlarged canal shapes with no evidence of preparation

errors. There were no statistical differences between the two preparation techniques in the anatomical parameters assessed, except for a significantly higher canal transportation caused by the reciprocating file in the coronal canal third. On the other hand, preparation was faster using the single file technique. Shaping outcomes with the single-file F2 ProTaper technique and conventional ProTaper full-sequence rotary approach were similar. However, the singlefile F2 ProTaper technique was markedly faster in reaching working length

McRay *et al* ⁽²⁾ compared ProTaper Universal rotary and WaveOne reciprocating files in their transportation and centering ability in mesial roots of mandibular molars using microCT imaging. Twenty seven extracted mandibular molars with mesial canals have separate foramina were used. Pre-instrumentation scans of all teeth were taken, canal curvatures were calculated, and the teeth were randomly divided into two groups. It has been found that WaveOne stayed slightly more centered at the 1, 3, and 5 mm levels and ProTaper showed less transportation at the 1 and 3 mm levels. Both file systems proved safe for endodontic instrumentation.