



Cairo University

Experimental Comparison between Conventional Activated Sludge System, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor, and Integrated Fixed Film Activated Sludge System for Municipal Wastewater Treatment

By

Radwa Hanafy Bahr Awad Allah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public Works

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Experimental Comparison between Conventional Activated Sludge System, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor, and Integrated Fixed Film Activated Sludge System for Municipal Wastewater Treatment

By
Radwa Hanafy Bahr Awad Allah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public Works

Under the Supervision of

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khaled Zaher Abdalla

Associate Professor of
Sanitary and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik

Associate Professor of
Sanitary and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Safwat Mahmoud Safwat

Assistant Professor of
Sanitary and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Experimental Comparison between Conventional Activated Sludge System, Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor, and Integrated Fixed Film Activated Sludge System for Municipal Wastewater Treatment

By
Radwa Hanafy Bahr Awad Allah

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public Works

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khaled Zaher Abdalla

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sayed Abdelhalem

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Maha Moustafa El Shafei

External Examiner

Professor of sanitary and Environmental Engineering
Institute Housing & Building National Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Radwa Hanafy Bahr Awad Alla
Date of Birth: 6/4/1991
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: radwa.hanafy@hotmail.com
Phone: 01156400622
Address: 46 Mousa Abn Noseer street, Ard El Gamaya-
Imbaba
Registration Date: 1/3/2014
Awarding Date: .../.../2018
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Civil Engineering – Public Works



Supervisors:
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khaled Zaher Abdalla
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik
Dr. Safwat Mahmoud Safwat

Examiners:
Prof. Dr. Maha Moustafa El Shafei (External examiner)
Professor of sanitary and Environmental Engineering
Institute Housing & Building National Research Center
Prof. Dr. Hisham Sayed Abdelhalem (Internal examiner)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khaled Zaher Abdalla (Thesis main
advisor)

Title of Thesis:

EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON BETWEEN CONVENTIONAL ACTIVATED
SLUDGE SYSTEM, MOVING BED BIOFILM REACTOR, AND INTEGRATED
FIXED FILM ACTIVATED SLUDGE SYSTEM FOR MUNICIPAL
WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Key Words:

Activates Sludge; MBBR; IFAS; Wastewater; Carriers

summary:

This thesis presents a comparison between suspended growth processes, attached growth processes, and hybrid growth processes. The main aim of this study is to compare between conventional activated sludge process (AS), moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR), and integrated fixed film activated sludge system (IFAS). A pilot system was established at Zenein wastewater treatment plant, to simulate AS, MBBR, and IFAS systems. Various parameters, included hydraulic retention time (HRT), different amounts of returned sludge, and concentrations of dissolved oxygen (DO), were studied in order to show their effect on removal efficiencies of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS), and ammonium nitrogen (NH₄-N). The maximum removal efficiencies of COD, BOD₅, and NH₄-N were 64.8%, 80%, and 99.3% respectively in MBBR system and occurred at DO = 5.4 mg/l. The maximum removal efficiencies of TSS and VSS were 86.17% and 86.7% respectively in IFAS system and occurred at DO = 5.4 mg/l.

Acknowledgments

First and above of all, I have to thank Allah for this great chance I have right now. I thank God for providing me with the opportunity to meet such helpful and wonderful people those who helped me from the start of this thesis. All praises to Allah for giving me knowledge, strength, support and patience to present this work.

I would like to express my deepest sense of gratitude to my respectable supervisor; **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khaled Zaher Abdalla**; who offered me the honor to be one of his students. I thank him for his continuous advice and encouragement throughout the course of this thesis. I also thank him for the guidance, caring, patience, and great effort to provide me with an excellent atmosphere for doing this research.

I would like to thank my supervisor; **Dr. Safwat Mahmoud Safwat**; for his understanding, patience, support and extreme care about the work efficiency. He gave me a lot of experience about Wastewater Treatment. He spends very much time instructing me how to collect data and how to write my thesis, as well as providing useful suggestions about the experimental work. I have been lucky to get the opportunity to work under his supervision.

I am also thankful for the kind assistance done by my supervisor; **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ehab Helmy Rozaik**; who helped in conducting the thesis experimental work.

I would also like to express my deep thanks to my super mother; Mrs. Shadia; She was always there inspiring me with her support, love and patience, cheering me up and stood by me through the good and bad times.

For the living memory of my father; Mr. Hanafy; although I didn't get the chance to live with you these moments, your presence is still felt in my heart and your character imprinted in my personality.

Many thanks to my sister; Miss Aya; my soul mate, for encouraging me to keep on following our dreams together. thank you for caring about my well being and believing in me.

I would like to thank my close friends. They are all my true treasure in my life starting of my childhood right now.

Also, I feel very lucky to be surrounded by great colleagues.

Finally, I would like to thank the Zenein Wastewater Treatement Plant, for providing me with the facilities and workman power to implement the research experimental plan.

Dedication

*To my Mother & father's soul,
The reason of what I become today,
Thank you for your love, support and care.*

*To my sister,
I am really grateful to you,
you have been my inspiration and my soul mate.*

*To my family,
All the love and respect to you for your support*

Table of Contents

DEDICATION.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	III
ABSTRACT	X
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objectives of the thesis	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
2.1 Wastewater.....	3
2.1.1 Type of wastewater from household	3
2.1.2 wastewater components	3
2.1.3 Wastewater characterization	4
2.1.3.1 The principal physical properties	4
2.1.3.2 The principal chemical properties	4
2.1.3.2.a Inorganic chemical characteristics	4
2.1.3.2.b Organic chemical characteristics.....	5
2.1.4 Biological characteristics	5
2.2 Methods of aerobic biological wastewater treatment	5
2.2.1 Attached Growth.....	6
2.2.1.1 Static fixed film [Trickling filters]	7
A) Process description of trickling filter	8
B) The important characteristics of media	9
C) Advantages	10
D) Disadvantage	10
2.2.1.2 Dynamic Fixed Film.....	10
2.2.1.2.a Rotating biological contactors (RBC)	10
A) Process description of rotating biological contactors	11
B) Advantages	12
C) Disadvantages.....	12
2.2.1.2.b Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR).....	13
A) Advantages	14
B) Disadvantages.....	14
C) The previous studies	14
2.2.2 Suspended Growth [The Activated Sludge Process]	16

2.2.2.1 The Parameters Affecting the Activated Sludge Process	18
2.2.2.1.a Composition and characteristics of the raw sewage received at the treatment plant.....	19
2.2.2.1.b Plant loading.....	19
A) Hydraulic loading.....	19
B) Organic loading	20
C) Sludge Loading	20
2.2.2.1.c Pretreatment by settling tanks or other devices	21
2.2.2.1.d Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids (MLSS).....	21
2.2.2.1.e Sludge Settleability.....	21
2.2.2.1.f Recirculation of Sludge.....	21
2.2.2.2 Activated Sludge Microbiology Problems	22
2.2.2.2.a Poor floc formation, pin floc and dispersed growth problems	22
2.2.2.2.b Nitrification and Denitrification Problems.....	23
2.2.2.2.c Foaming	24
2.2.3 Hybrid Growth [Integrated Fixed-film Activated Sludge (IFAS)].....	24
2.2.3.1 The Advantages of IFAS system	24
2.2.3.2 The Disadvantages of IFAS system	25
2.2.3.3 The previous studies.....	25
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL WORK.....	27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Zenein Wastewater Treatment Plant	27
3.2.1 Design Data.....	27
3.2.2 Preliminary Treatment Facility	28
A) Screening.....	28
B) Grit Removal	28
C) Pre Aeration.....	29
3.2.3 Primary settlement (Primary Clarification)	29
3.2.4 Secondary treatment	31
A) Aeration.....	31
B) Secondary Clarification.....	31
3.2.5 Effluent chlorination	32
3.2.6 The final effluent	32
3.2.7 Sludge from the treatment processes	33
3.3 Experimental Work Location.....	34
3.4 Description and the Operation of Model.....	34
3.4.1 Biological treatment unit	35

3.4.2 Diffused aeration system	35
3.4.3 Settling Tank.....	36
3.4.4 Polyethylene media.....	37
3.5 operation of the system	39
3.6 The points of samples collection.....	39
3.7 Wastewater Analysis.....	39
3.7.1 Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD).....	39
3.7.2 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	40
3.7.3 Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	41
3.7.4 Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS)	42
3.7.5 Ammonium-Nitrogen (NH ₄ -N).....	42
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
4.1 General	45
4.2 Performance of different systems at DO = 3 mg/l	45
4.2.1 COD Removal.....	45
4.2.2 BOD ₅ Removal	46
4.2.3 TSS Removal	47
4.2.4 VSS Removal.....	48
4.2.5 NH ₄ Removal.....	49
4.3 Performance of different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l	50
4.3.1 COD Removal.....	50
4.3.2 BOD ₅ Removal	51
4.3.3 TSS Removal	53
4.3.4 VSS Removal	54
4.3.5 NH ₄ Removal	55
4.4 Performance of different systems at DO = 7 mg/l	56
4.4.1 COD Removal.....	56
4.4.2 BOD ₅ Removal	57
4.4.3 TSS Removal	58
4.4.4 VSS Removal	60
4.4.5 NH ₄ Removal.....	61
4.5 Performance of different systems based on the amount of dissolved oxygen	62
4.5.1 COD Removal.....	62
4.5.2 BOD ₅ Removal	63
4.5.3 TSS Removal	65
4.5.4 VSS Removal.....	66
4.5.5 NH ₄ Removal.....	68

4.6 Morphology of biofilm.....	69
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	71
5.1 Conclusion	71
5.2 Future Works Recommendations.....	71
References	72
References	73
References	74

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Process loading ranges for the activated sludge process	17
Table 3.1: General information about Zenein plant	27
Table 3.2: Influential wastewater components in the Zenein plant	27
Table 3.3: Characteristics of the carriers.....	38

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Wastewater technology	6
Figure 2.2: Attached growth technologies	7
Figure 2.3: The stages of treatment by trickling filter	7
Figure 2.4: Typical Trickling Filter	8
Figure 2.5: The technology of trickling filter	9
Figure 2.6: Rock Trickling Filter Media (adapted from Metcalf and H. Eddy 1991)....	10
Figure 2.7: Rotating biological contactors	11
Figure 2.8: The mechanism of rotating biological contactors (adapted from Water and wastewater calculations manual 2014).....	12
Figure 2.9: MBBR technology	13
Figure 2.10: Principle of the moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR)	14
Figure 2.11: MBBR experimental setup	15
Figure 2.12: Polypropylene carrier	16
Figure 2.13: The activated sludge wastewater treatment flow diagram	16
Figure 2.14: Activated sludge floc	18
Figure 2.15: Floc formation	22
Figure 2.16: Flocs with abundant dispersed growth	23
Figure 2.17: Pin flocs	23
Figure 2.18: Integrated fixed film activated sludge technology	24
Figure 2.19: General layout of IFAS, AS and MBR mathematical model	25
Figure 3.1: Flow diagram for Zenein Wastewater Treatment Plant units.....	28
Figure 3.2: The primary sedimentation tank	30
Figure 3.3: The effluent weir of primary sedimentation tank	30
Figure 3.4: The aeration basins	31
Figure 3.5: The final sedimentation tank	32
Figure 3.6: The model used for experimental works	34
Figure 3.7: The aeration tank with polyethylene media.....	35
Figure 3.8: The air pipe from the main air pipe line	36
Figure 3.9: The air pipe inside the tanks	36
Figure 3.10: The settling tank connected with the motor	37
Figure 3.11: The polyethylene media.....	38
Figure 3.12: COD Reactor	40

Figure 3.13: BOD₅ measurement device.....	41
Figure 3.14: TSS measurement device.....	42
Figure 3.15: Spectrophotometer.....	43
Figure 4.1: COD removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 3 mg/l	45
Figure 4.2: BOD₅ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 3 mg/l.....	46
Figure 4.3: TSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 3 mg/l.....	47
Figure 4.4: VSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 3 mg/l	48
Figure 4.5: NH₄ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 3 mg/l.....	49
Figure 4.6: COD removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l	51
Figure 4.7: BOD₅ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l.....	52
Figure 4.8: TSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l.....	53
Figure 4.9: VSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l	54
Figure 4.10: NH₄ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 5.4 mg/l.....	55
Figure 4.11: COD removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 7 mg/l	56
Figure 4.12: BOD₅ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 7 mg/l.....	58
Figure 4.13: TSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 7 mg/l.....	59
Figure 4.14: VSS removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 7 mg/l	60
Figure 4.15: NH₄ removal efficiency for different systems at DO = 7 mg/l.....	61
Figure 4.16: COD removal efficiency for different systems at different DO	63
Figure 4.17: BOD₅ removal efficiency for different systems at different DO.....	64
Figure 4.18: TSS removal efficiency for different systems at different DO.....	65
Figure 4.19: VSS removal efficiency for different systems at different DO	67
Figure 4.20: NH₄ removal efficiency for different systems at different DO	68
Figure 4.21: The sample of blank carrier	69
Figure 4.22: The sample of carrier covered with biofilm	70

Abstract

This thesis presents a comparison between suspended growth processes, attached growth processes, and hybrid growth processes. The main aim of this study is to compare between activated sludge process (AS), moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR), and integrated fixed film activated sludge system (IFAS).

A pilot system was established at Zenein wastewater treatment plant, to simulate AS, MBBR, and IFAS systems. The experimental work was batch experiment.

The main objective was to investigate the performance of suspended growth systems, attached growth systems, and hybrid growth systems in biological treatment of municipal wastewater. This had been done through performing a comparison between conventional Activated sludge system, Moving bed biofilm reactor, and Integrated fixed film activated sludge.

Various parameters, included hydraulic retention time (HRT), different amounts of returned sludge, and concentrations of dissolved oxygen (DO), were studied in order to show their effect on removal efficiencies of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS), and ammonia nitrogen (NH₄-N).

The maximum removal efficiencies of COD, BOD₅, and NH₄-N were 64.8%, 80%, and 99.3% respectively in MBBR system and occurred at DO = 5.4 mg/l. The maximum removal efficiencies of TSS and VSS were 86.17% and 86.7% respectively in IFAS system and occurred at DO = 5.4 mg/l.

Chapter1: Introduction

1.1- General

Municipal wastewater in general is comprised of water (99.9%) together with relatively small concentrations of suspended and dissolved organic and inorganic solids. The cloudiness of sewage is caused by suspended particles which in untreated sewage ranges from 100 to 350 mg/l. This small percentage of organic matter is the cause of the spread of diseases and epidemics; therefore, it must be eliminated. Sewage treatment consists of three main stages: preliminary treatment, primary treatment, and secondary treatment (biological treatment). In primary treatment, the flow enters a settling tank for a period of two to three hours to remove suspended particles, and that after the passage of wastewater through screens and grit removal chambers which represent the preliminary treatment. In these two stages, around 40% of the dissolved organic matter and 60% of the suspended solids are eliminated. The role of biological treatment comes after that, where about 90% of the dissolved organic matter and the suspended solids are eliminated in it. This stage depends on the presence of microorganisms that consume organic matter in the presence of oxygen. There are several methods used around the world for biological treatment, some use suspended growth systems (e.g., the activated sludge system), some use attached growth systems (e.g., the moving bed biofilm reactor), and recently, the two systems have been combined (e.g., Integrated Fixed Film Activated Sludge system (IFAS)). Finally, the wastewater which almost treated is passed through another tank for settlement. After that, the water becomes free from chemicals and harmful substances. The effluent that come out from the final sedimentation tank is disinfected by chlorine (the most common method). This is done by sending water through a series of basins for a sufficient time, and that for killing microorganisms that were not removed during previous treatment processes. Now the effluent has been treated and can be reused for several purposes. It can be discharged into the groundwater, also it can be used for non-edible crop irrigation and landscape irrigation. It can also be used for construction activities and dust control. But it is not allowed to be used for drinking.

1.2- Objectives of the thesis

The main objective is to investigate the performance of suspended growth systems, attached growth systems, and hybrid growth systems in biological treatment of municipal wastewater. This will be done through performing a comparison between conventional Activated sludge system, Moving bed biofilm reactor, and Integrated fixed film activated sludge. The specific objectives are:

1. Investigate the performance of Conventional activated sludge system with different amount of return sludge in removal of Chemical oxygen demand (COD), Five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS), and ammonium-nitrogen (NH₄-N).
2. Investigate the performance of Moving bed biofilm reactor system in removal of Chemical oxygen demand (COD), Five-day biochemical oxygen demand