# Comparison Between Conventional Methods and Copro-Antigen Detection for Diagnosis of *Giardia lamblia* in Human Fecal Samples

Thesis
Submitted for partial fulfillment of
M.Sc. degree in Parasitology

By Enas Mohamed Ali Mohamed Rizk (*M.B.BCh*.)

#### **Supervised By**

Prof. Dr. Abdalla Michel Boghdadi Professor of Parasitology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Azza Ibrahim El- Adawi Professor of Parasitology Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Azza Hasan Abbas
M.D of medical Parasitology and Immunology
National Hepatology and Tropical
Medicine Research Institute

Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2009

#### **Abstract**

The present work constitutes a study on a total of 85 cases presenting with symptoms that might be suggestive of intestinal giardiasis as abdominal pain, abdominal distension and diarrhea. All fecal samples were examined using the direct wet smear and the formol-ether concentration techniques. Among 85 cases 42 cases were positive for *Giardia*, 30 cases were negative for *Giardia* and other parasites and 13 cases were negative for *Giardia* put positive for other parasites. Rapid immunochromatographic test (Ridaquick kit) and ELISA (Ridascreen kit) were used to detect *Giardia* antigen in all fecal samples and the results were compared to the results of microscopic examination, sensitivity of rapid immunochromatographic test was 95.2% while sensitivity of ELISA was found to be 97.6%.

Keywords: Giardia- ELISA – Coproantigen.

## **Acknowledgment**

I wish to express my gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Hoda Helmy Al-Rahimy**, Head of Parasitology Department, Cairo University, for her continuous encouragement and support.

I feel grateful to **Prof. Dr.Abdalla Michel Boghdadi**, professor of Parasitology, Cairo University, for his great support and advice, I am proud to have him as my main supervisor,

I would like to express my deepest thanks and everlasting gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Azza Ibrahim El-Adawi**, professor of Parasitology, Cairo University, for her constructive advice. I am truly obliged for her kindness, maternal compassion, constant support and endless encouragement through out this work.

I owe special thanks and everlasting gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Azza Hassan Abbas,** Assist. Professor of parasitology, National Hepatology and Tropical Medicine Institute, for giving me the opportunity to carry out most of the practical work of this study and for her constant motivation and guidance.

I feel grateful to **Prof. Dr. Suzan Abd Elrhim Elnaghy**, Head of Pediatric Department, National Hepatology and Tropical Medicine Institute, for her help and care.

I am extremely obliged to **Dr. Fetouh Saad Hassanin**, M.D of pediatrics, Assist. Professor of clinical pharmacy, Faculty of pharmacy Misr international university, for his generosity during this work.

I also thank all the staff members and colleagues of Parasitology department, Cairo University for their appreciated help and support during this work and also I owe special thanks to members of parasitology department, National Hepatology and Tropical Medicine Institute

I want to thank my guiding lights, **my father** and **my mother**, for their never-ending support and ever-lasting care. Without their support I would never made any achievement in my whole life.

Last but not least, I owe much to **my husband** and **my family** for their endless advice, help, care and support that I appreciate so much.

# **Table of contents**

Introduction	1
Aim of work	3
Historical notes	4
Epidemiology	6
Morphology	10
Life cycle	14
Pathology and pathogenesis	18
Clinical picture	22
Differential diagnosis	25
Immunological reaction to Giardia	26
Diagnosis of giardiasis	35
Treatment of Giardia lamblia	47
Materials and methods	48
Statistics	63
Results	64
Discussion	85
Summary and conclusion	95
Recommendation	100
References	101
Arabic summary	

# **List of figures**

Figure 1	Stained Giardia lamblia trophozoite	Page 10
Figure 2	Giardia cyst stained with lugol's iodine	Page 12
Figure 3	Life cycle of Giardia lamblia	Page 15
Figure 4	The collected samples labeled with name age	D 40
	and date	Page 48
Figure 5	Pattern of examination of slides	Page 51
F: (	Test strips and diluent of	Page 54
Figure 6	immunochromatographic test of the used kits	rage 34
Figure 7	The clear supernatant formed in the tubes	Page 55
1 iguic /	containing diluent and stool	1 age 33
Figure 8	Positive result (red and blue bands)	Page 56
Figure 9	Negative result (blue band only)	Page 56
Figure 10	The ELISA reader and washer used in the	Page 60
1 iguic 10	study.	1 age 00
Figure 11	The reagents and plate of ELISA used in the	Page 61
	study	rage or
Figure 12	ELISA plate at first incubation	Page 61
Figure 13	ELISA plate after adding stop solution	Page 62
Figure 14	Age distribution in group I	Page 65
Figure 15	Age distribution in group II	Page 66
Figure 16	Age distribution in group III	Page 67
Figure 17	Clinical presentation in groups I, II, III	Page 68
Figure 18	Clinical presentation in group I	Page 69
Figure 19	Clinical presentation in group II	Page 70
Figure 20	Clinical presentation in group III	Page 71
Figure 21	Parasites detected in fecal samples in group III	Page 73

# **List of tables**

Table 1	Age distribution in group I	Page 65
Table 2	Age distribution in group II	Page 66
Table 3	Age distribution in group III	Page 67
Table 4	Clinical presentation in groups I, II, III	Page 68
Table 5	Clinical presentation in group I	Page 69
Table 6	Clinical presentation in group II	Page 70
Table 7	Clinical presentation in group III	Page 71
Table 8	Parasites detected in fecal samples in group III	Page 72
	Rapid antigen detection results showing Giardia	
Table 9	negative and positive cases in groups I, II and	Page 74
	group III	
Table 10	ELISA readings in groups I, II and III	Page 76
Table 11 ELISA results showing Giardia negative	ELISA results showing Giardia negative and	Page 76
	positive cases in groups I, II and group III	rage 70
	Age, Sex, Clinical data and results of Stool	
Table 12	examination, Rapid test and ELISA of cases in	Page 77
	group I	
	Age, Sex, Clinical data and results of Stool	
Table 13	examination, Rapid test and ELISA of cases in	Page 78
	group II	
	Age, Sex, Clinical data and results of Stool	
Table 14	examination, Rapid test and ELISA of cases in	Page 79
	group III	
Table 15	Age distribution in Microscopy confirmed	Page 80
	giardiasis (n=42)	
Table 16	Clinical presentation in Microscopy confirmed	Page 81
	giardiasis	
T.1.1 17	Comparison of ELISA test in relation to	D 02
Table 17	Results of Microscopic examination for	Page 82
	detection of <i>Giardia</i> in the study group (n = 85)	
Toble 10	Comparison of Rapid Antigen in relation to	Dog 92
Table 18	Results of Microscopic examination for	Page 83
	detection of <i>Giardia</i> in the study group (n = 85)  The Sensitivity and specificity for each method	
Table 19	The Sensitivity and specificity for each method	Page 84
	used in <i>Giardia lamblia</i> diagnosis	

#### List of abbreviations

Abd. Distension: Abdominal distension.

Abd. Pain: Abdominal pain.

AIDS: Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.

ANOVA: Analysis of variants.

CM: Cell membrane.

CRP: Cysteine-rich protein.

DNA: Deoxy ribonucleic acid.

dsRNA: double-stranded ribonucleic acid.

EDTA: Ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid.

EGF: Epidermal growth factor.

E.histolytica: Entamoeba histolytica.

EIA: Enzyme immunoassay.

ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

F: Female.

GIT: Gastrointestinal.

*G.duodenalis*: *Giardia duodenalis*.

GLV: Giardia lamblia virus.

G.lamblia: Giardia lamblia.

G.muris: Giardia muris.

gm: Gram.

HSP: Heat shock protein.

H.nana: Hymenolepis nana.

IFA: Indirect immunofluorescence assay.

IFN-γ: Interferon gamma.

IgA: Immunoglobulin A.

IgE: Immunoglobulin E.

IgG: Immunoglobulin G.

IgM: Immunoglobulin M.

IHA: Indirect haemagglutination assay.

IL: Interleukin.

IMS-IFA: Immunomagnetic separation coupled

with immunofluorescence.

KDa: Kilodalton.

M: Male.

Max: Maximum.

mg: Milligram.

Min: Minimum.

ml: Milliliter.

*n*: Total number.

NaCl: Sodium chloride.

nm: Nanometer.

NO: Nitric oxide.

No.: Number.

NPV: Negative predictive value.

O&P: Ova and parasite.

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction.

PPV: Positive predictive value.

RPM: Round per minute.

S.D: Standard deviation.

TMB: Tetramethylbenzidine.

TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

UV: Ultra-violet.

VSPs: Variant surface proteins.

μl: Micro liter.

μm: Micro meter.

+ve: Positive.

-ve: Negative.

# NTRODUCTION

#### Introduction

Humans are hosts to nearly over 70 species of protozoa, some derived from our primate ancestors and some are acquired from the domesticated animals or animals that came in contact with us during our relatively short history on Earth. Thereafter, the history of human parasitology proceeded along two lines, the discovery of a parasite and its subsequent association with disease and / or the recognition of a disease and the subsequent discovery that it was caused by a parasite (Cox, 2002).

Giardia lamblia is a micro-aerophilic protist, which has long been considered as a model of ancient premitochondriate eukaryotes that causes diarrhea. It is a single cell protist with a motile trophozoite stage and immotile cyst stage (Samuelson, 2002).

Giardia lamblia is a parasite of public health importance as it can be transmitted through several routes including water and fresh food products (Nichols, 2000). In developed countries, Giardia is currently referred as a remerging infectious agent because of its increasing role in outbreaks of diarrhea in day care centers and water and foodborne outbreaks affecting the general population. However, in developing countries located in Asia, Africa and Latin America, approximately 200 million people per year experience symptomatic giardiasis (Thompson et al., 2000).

Most infected individuals show few or no signs of infection, they act as unaffected carrier, but in some, particularly children, there may be malabsorption, diarrhea, and abdominal pain (Cox, 2002).

Conventional microscopical diagnosis of giardiasis is time-consuming, and relies crucially on the microscopist's skills and experience. Furthermore, microscopical examination must be performed on three stool samples to increase sensitivity which leads to problems concerning patient compliance and delays in the final diagnosis. In an attempt to establish sensitive and cost-effective methods to diagnose intestinal infection with *Giardia*, a number of copro-antigen detection tests have been developed (**Johnston et al., 2003**).

# Aim of the work

### **Aim of Work**

This study aims to compare between conventional methods using lugol's iodine stain, concentration technique and two immunological methods using ELISA, quick immunochromoatographic test for detection of *Giardia lamblia* antigen in stool samples, regarding ease of use, total hands on time, incubation and processing time, cost, sensitivity and specificity.