Role of Ultrasonography and Magnetic Resonance imaging in diagnosis of fetal brain anomalies

Essay
Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.Sc.
in Radiodiagnosis

By Eman Hassaan Alyamani Gabr

Under Supervision of **Prof. Dr. Eman Soliman Metwally**

Professor of Diagnostic Radiology
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

Dr. Remon Zaher Elia

Assistant Professor of Diagnostic Radiology Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2017



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AUAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Eman Soliman**Metwally, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Remon Zaher Elia,** Assistant Professor of Diagnostic Radiology,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her
great help, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Eman Hassaan Alyamani Gabr

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	II
List of Figures	III
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Anatomy of the Fetal Brain	5
Technique Of Examination	25
Pathology and Diagnosis of Brain Anoma	lies 38
• Radiological Manifestations of fetal anomalies	
Cases	97
Summary and Conclusion	104
References	107
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1): Stages of H	Embryonic Development	11
Table (2): Fetal Sulca	ation	22

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Fetal brain. Typical appearances of	f brain at 20
	weeks of gestation	7
Figure (2):	Axial views of the fetal head. (a) Tra	
	plane	8
Figure (3):	A transverse view of vertebrae at var	
	the vertebral column	
Figure (4):	Sagittal view of the spine in mid-sec	
	demonstrating its normal curvature	
Figure (5):	A: Axial SSFSE T2 at 16 week	
	rudimentary ventricles Error! Booki	
Figure (6):	Normal ventricular size on axial imag	
Figure (7):	Coronal T2 image at 24 weeks	
T	normal third ventricle (red arrow)	
Figure (8):	Sagittal T2 image at 27 weeks with	
T ' (0)	aqueduct of Sylvius (white arrowhead	•
Figure (9):	Axial and coronal T2-weighted MRI	
	gestation demonstrate normal appear	
E' - (10)	(arrow) and CC (dotted arrow)	
Figure (10):	Axial T2 image with prominent	
E'anna (11).	extraaxial fluid spaces	
Figure (11):	Normal multilayered magnetic reson	
Figure (12).	(MRI) appearance of fetal brain early	-
Figure (12):	Midsagittal balanced fast field echo fetal brain at 27 weeks of gestation	
	corpus callosum as a C-shaped	
	structure that extends from the rostr	
	(white arrowhead) to the splenium	
	(white arrow)	
Figure (13):	T2-weighted MR images in a fetus a	
- 1841 (10)	gestation	
Figure (14):	Normal posterior fossa at 24 weeks'	
Figure (15):	Schematic representation of the sca	-
9 2 (==)*	used for the study of fetal cerebral an	

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (16):	Axial views of the fetal head	32
Figure (17):	Schematic representation of the	ultrasound
	examination of the fetal posterior fossa	
Figure (18):		
Figure (19):	A midsagittal US scan of the fetal	
	weeks shows the corpus callosum	
	anechoic area interposed bet	
	hyperechogenic triangular velum cis	
	and the large cavum septum pellucidur	,
Figure (20):	Coronal views of the fetal head	
Figure (21):	Holoprosencephaly by axial US image	
Figure (22):	Axial US scan in a fetus v	
	holoprosencephaly revealing the s	
	holoventricle (H) line anteriorly by the	
	cortex and posteriorly by the promi	
Figure (22).	thalami (T)	
Figure (23):	(DS) in a fetus with alobar holoprosend	
Figure (24):	Midcoronal US scan in a holoprosence	<u>.</u>
Figure (25):	Axial US scan at the level of the	
Figure (23).	holoprosencephalic fetus revealing h	
	(straight arrow) and absence of	
	bridge(curved arrow)	
Figure (26):	Holoprosencephalic fetus shows (A)	
g ()·	scan at the presumed level of the	
	holoprosencephalic fetus, reveals an	
	(arrows)	
Figure (27):	Coronal US scan of the face of a	fetus with
_	holoprosencephaly. A single central n	ostril (N) is
	seen within the nasal appendage	64
Figure (28):	(A-D): Alobar holoprosencephaly in a	
	weeks. T2WI sagittal	65

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (29):	Semilobar holoprosencephaly in a	a 26-week-old
	fetus	66
Figure (30):	Axial FSE T2-weighted image in a 3	34-gestational-
	week-old fetus demonstrates minima	al formation of
	the Sylvian fissures (arrowheads) of	consistent with
	classical lissencephaly	
Figure (31):	Axial image of fetal brain at 23 week	
Figure (32):	Laminar heterotopia and lissencep	ohaly in a 33-
	week-old fetus	
Figure (33):	Hemimegalencephaly in a 29-week	
Figure (34):	Chiari II malformation and myelome	_
	weeks' gestation) shows the p	•
	posterior fossa floor and downw	
	herniation (A) (arrows)	
Figure (35):	Cephalocele at 21 weeks of gestation	•
	(A) Longitudinal scan of the upper s	•
	in a 21-week fetus with a si	
	meningocele (M)	
Figure (36):	Cephalocele imaging on MRI	
Figure (37):	(A) True encephalocele on US	
Figure (38):	Occipital meningocele on US, note	
	paracranial mass (M) and the enlar	•
F: (20)	lateral ventricle (LV)	
Figure (39):	US of a 33 week fetus with orbital e	
Figure (40):	Sagittal half-Fourier RARE MR im	_
	fetus with a large encephalocele (s	*
Eigene (41).	22 weeks gestation	
Figure (41):	Twin gestation at 30 weeks, one wi	_
Figure (42).	the corpus callosum	
Figure (42):	(A) Axial US scan at the level of	
	lateral ventricles (LVB) in a 2	
	revealing the typical ventricular co	•
	ACC. A cystic structure is seen on the	ne manne 80

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (43):	(A)Sagittal and coronal (B) views from obtained at 22 weeks' gestation s corpus callosum (arrows).(C) Sagittal (D) MRI FSE images reveals abs corpus callosum	how normal and coronal ence of the
Figure (44): Figure (45):	MR imaging of fetus at 30 weeks with In this 21-week fetus, Dandy-Walker axial US image revealed only by the 1 defect of the inferior vermis (arrow) at the 4th ventricle (4v)	ACC
Figure (46):	Fetus with ventriculomegaly, ab- callosum, Dandy- Walker malform	sent corpus mation, and
Figure (47): Figure (48):	hemorrhage at 25 weeks of gestationa Dandy-Walker malformation	83 nalic fetus
Figure (49):	Anencephaly at 15 weeks of gestation Coronal US of acrania/exencephaly calvarium and protruding brain above	with absent
Figure (50):	Axial US scan of the fetal head, normal anatomy of the vein of Galen	(VG)86
Figure (51):	Fetus at 33 weeks with vein malformation. A: Axial ultrasound de tubular hypoechoic lesion posterior ventricle	emonstrates a to the third
Figure (52):	Hydrancephaly, coronal US image coronal scan in a fetus with hydraner	es shows a ncephaly and
Figure (53): Figure (54):	macrocrania Fetus at 25 weeks with hydranencepha Severe hydrocephalus in a third trime	aly89
3	An axial US scan reveals enlarged bodies of the lateral ventricles (LV)	ment of the

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
T' (FF)	A ' 1 () 1 (1) '(11 16 F) '	DADE MD
Figure (55) :	Axial (a) and (b) sagittal half-Fourier images of a fetal brain with mild ventr	
	(V) at 22 weeks gestation	~ .
Figure (56):	Cerebrocerebellar malformation w	
g ()	midbrain	
Figure (57):	FSE T2-weighted images in the axia	l plane (A)
	show ventriculomegaly with 11-mm	
	the atrium (arrow) and normal sig	
	adjacent parenchyma. Coronal plane	` '
	the normal hypointense signal of the matrix (arrowheads)	•
Figure (58):	Midsagittal US scan. The lateral ven	
119410 (00)	third ventricle (3v), and proximal a	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Sylvius (AS) are dilated Error! Bookman	•
Figure (59):	Communicating hydrocephaly in a 3	30-week-old
	fetus	
Figure (60):	Suprasellar arachnoid cyst in a fetus at	
Figure (61):	Choroid plexus cyst. A: Axial image	_
	sonolucent lesion (arrow) in the cholateral ventricle	
	ומוכומו אכוונווכוכ	90

Abstract

Ultrasound is still the first screening method in pregnancy and it will continue to be. Sonography remains the mainstay of fetal assessment.

However, MRI of the fetal CNS is evolving as a powerful tool for obtaining additional information with which selected patients and their

health-care professionals can make important management decisions. When a CNS anomaly is detected at US, MRI imaging may demonstrate additional findings that may alter patient counseling and case management.

Given its long record of safety, utility, and cost-effectiveness, ultrasound will remain the modality of choice when screening the fetus.

Ultrasound remains far too useful, effective, and widely available, and it also images in real time. Ultrasound is and will remain the primary fetal monitoring modality. A valuable complement to prenatal sonography, fetal MR imaging is a powerful technique used to evaluate the fetal brain. Fetal MR imaging has higher contrast resolution than prenatal sonography and allows better differentiation of normal from abnormal tissue.

Keywards: (Ultrasound, MRI, Fetal Brain anomalies).

Introduction

A Congenital anomaly was defined as "a permanent change produced by an intrinsic abnormality of development in a body structure during prenatal life. A congenital anomaly may be viewed as a physical, metabolic, or anatomic deviation from the normal pattern of development that is apparent at birth or detected during the first year of life (Schroeder, 2013). It was reported that congenital anomalies occur in 3% of all infants worldwide. Congenital anomalies including structural malformations, chromosomal abnormalities and metabolic disorders are becoming the most important cause of perinatal mortality (Fida et al., 2007).

Ultrasound is the primary technique for fetal imaging because of its proven utility, widespread availability & relatively low cost. However, US does have important limitations. First, it is uniquely operator- and interpreter-dependent (*Glenn and Barkovich*, 2012). In addition, relative to MRI, US provides a small field-of-view, and the resolution of US images is restricted by penetration through soft tissues and bone. Thus, the sensitivity of US in evaluating the fetus is reduced in obese patients and in women whose pregnancies are complicated by low amniotic fluid volume. Examination of intracranial anatomy is limited by the attenuation of the US beam through the bony skull in later gestation (*Twickler et al.*, 2012). Finally, US quality is influenced by fetal position

(Garel and Alberti, 2012). A prone, breech fetus may be much more difficult to examine than a vertex supine fetus. If the head is low in the maternal pelvis, it may also be difficult to assess using US (Righini et al., 2011).

A valuable complement to prenatal sonography, fetal MR imaging is a powerful technique used to evaluate the fetal brain. Fetal MR imaging has higher contrast resolution than prenatal sonography and allows better differentiation of normal from abnormal tissue. Structural abnormalities such as cerebral malformations and destructive lesions can be sonographically occult on prenatal sonography yet detectable by fetal MR imaging. Moreover, fetal MR imaging is not susceptible to many of the limitations of sonography. In addition, with continued advances in MR techniques, such as diffusionweighted and parallel imaging, fetal MR imaging offers the promise of contributing to our understanding of normal as well as abnormal brain development (Kok et al., 2012).

Fetal MR imaging is used primarily to confirm and characterize brain abnormalities detected by routine prenatal sonography. When a sonographically suspected abnormality is confirmed, fetal MR is also used to identify any additional sonographically occult CNS abnormalities (Coakley et al., **2012**). One of the more common sonographically detected brain abnormalities, and therefore referral indications for fetal MR, is ventriculomegaly. indications Other common sonographically suspected abnormalities of the corpus callosum



and cerebellar vermis well as complications of as monochorionic twin pregnancies (Raybaud et al., 2012).

Fetal MR imaging has several advantages over prenatal sonography. Fetal MR imaging has improved contrast resolution compared with prenatal sonography. Moreover, fetal MR imaging also allows direct visualization of both sides of the fetal brain. This is an advantage over sonography, where the more anterior cerebral hemisphere is often shadowed by the reverberations from the overlying structures, resulting in visualization of only the more posterior cerebral hemisphere. Additional limitations of sonography, resulting from decreased amniotic fluid volume, fetal positioning, and acoustic shadowing from the ossifying calvaria, can also be overcome by fetal MR imaging. Thus, fetal MR imaging allows a more detailed evaluation of the developing brain, including direct visualization and assessment of the developing cortex and sulcation pattern, which is extremely difficult and often impossible with sonography (Aubryet al., 2013).

With fast MRI sequences it is not necessary to sedate the fetus. It is advisable in cases where US is equivocal concerning congenital anomalies of the fetus to use MR with fast or ultrafast scan technique, especially when the central nervous system is concerned (Filly et al., 2012).

AIM OF THE WORK

To evaluate the role of U/S & MR Imaging in detection of fetal brain anomalies.