



Cairo University

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By

Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By
Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil
Professor, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Gamal Abd El-Moniem El Hariry
Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy
Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By
Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil

Thesis Main Advisors and Member

Professor, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Samy Mourad Morcos

Internal Examiner

Professor, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayek Abd-Rabbo

External Examiner

Professor, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae
Date of Birth: 11 / 01 / 1993
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Mohamed.ahmed.abdel.azzem@gmail.com
Phone: 01111069606
Address: 16 Gesr El Suez, Heliopolis, Cairo
Registration Date: 1 / 10 / 2014
Awarding Date:/...../.....
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Mechanical Power Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil
Dr. Gamal Abd El-moniem El Hariry
Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Samy M. Morcos (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed F. Abd-Rboh (External examiner)
Professor, Mechanical power Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Benha University.

Title of Thesis:

NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT IN
PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS

Key Words:

Fluid Dynamics; Heat Transfer; Twisted Tape; Parabolic Trough Absorption Tube;
Solar Energy

Summary:

In this work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts were analyzed numerically using ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 software. An optical modelling tool that uses Monte-Carlo ray tracing techniques (Soltrace) was used to obtain the non-uniform heat flux distribution on the parabolic trough absorber tube. The results show that the use of twisted tape inserts results in high heat transfer rates due to the increased turbulence intensity and the mixing of the heat transfer fluid from that part of the absorber tube which receive concentrated heat flux with the heat transfer fluid from the part which receive only direct solar heat flux and the heat transfer increases between 17 % and 84 % and friction factor increases between 117 % and 415 %

Acknowledgment

First, I would like to thank Almighty God for the gift of life, provision and protection. Without Him, I would not have come this far.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil, Dr. Gamal Abd El-Moniem El Hariry and Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy for their guidance and unremitting encouragement.

I am grateful to them, and to all my respectful teachers and professors, for mentoring me throughout my under graduate and postgraduate studies. I extend my gratitude to my dear colleagues for their valuable suggestions and noteworthy discussions.

I also would like to thank Dr. Kareem Awany and Eng. Waleed Ahmed for their unconditional support and help.

Finally, I cannot express in words my thanks and gratitude to my family for their motivation through finishing this thesis, their patience, and maintaining a perfect environment for study and research.

LIST OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
NOMENCLATURE	x
Symbols	xi
Greek Letters	xii
Abbreviations	xiii
ABSTRACT	xxi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Energy Consumption in the World	1
1.3 Energy Consumption in Egypt	3
1.4 Solar Energy Applications in Egypt	5
1.5 Introduction to Parabolic Trough Technology	5
1.6 Thesis Outline	7
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Literature Concerned With Optical /Thermal Models of PTCs	8
2.3 Literature Concerned With Different Heat Transfer Enhancement Methods of HCE of Parabolic Troughs	14
2.4 Literature Concerned With Heat Transfer Augmentation Using Twisted Tape Inserts.	25
2.5 Summary and Scope of the Present Work	29
CHAPTER 3: GOVERNING EQUATIONS	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Governing Equation in This Model	30
3.2.1 Mass Conservation Equation (Continuity)	31
3.2.2 Momentum Conservation Equation	31
3.2.3 Energy Equation	33
3.3 Turbulence Modeling	35
3.4 Near-Wall Treatments	38
3.5 Radiation Modelling	39
3.5.1 The P-1 Model Equations	40
CHAPTER 4: NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION	42
PRINCIPLES	
4.1 Introduction:	42
4.2 Parabolic Trough Collector	42
4.2.1 Parabolic Trough Geometry	42
4.2.2 Thermal Analysis of Parabolic Trough Receiver	44
4.3 Validation of Parabolic Trough Receiver Thermal Model	46

4.3.1 Model Description	46
4.3.2 Ray Tracing	47
4.3.3 Boundary Conditions	49
4.3.4 Solution Methodology	50
4.3.5 Results	52
4.3.5.1 Absorption Tube Model Validation	52
4.3.5.2 Plain Absorber Tube Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Validation	55
4.3.5.3 Absorber Tube with Twist Ratio of 3 Pressure Drop Validation	56
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	57
5.1 Introduction	57
5.2 Model Description	57
5.2.1 Physical Model	57
5.2.2 Boundary Conditions	59
5.2.3 Solution Procedure	59
5.2.4 Grid Independence	61
5.2.5 Data Reduction	61
5.3 Results and Discussion	63
5.3.1 Ray Tracing	63
5.3.2 Heat Transfer Performance	65
5.3.3 Friction Factor	69
5.3.4 Performance Evaluation	72
5.3.5 Absorption Tube's Circumferential Temperature Difference	74
5.3.6 Flow Field Contours for Absorption Tube With Twisted Tape Insert	77
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	84
6.1 Introduction	84
6.2 Conclusions of the Present Work	84
6.3 Recommendations and Proposed Future Work	85
REFERENCES	86
APPENDIX A (SYLTHERM 800 DATA SHEET)	89
APPENDIX B (MATLAB CODE)	92
APPENDIX C (MATLAB CODE)	129

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Growth and structure of world energy consumption	2
Table 4.1	Dudley geometrical parameters	50
Table 4.2	Experimental data used in validation of parabolic trough absorption tube model	52
Table 5.1	Absorption tube with twisted tape parameters	58
Table 5.2	Present study cases	60
Table 5.3	Mesh independence test for parabolic trough absorption tube	61

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	World energy consumption, 1980-2030	2
Figure 1.2	Energy consumption in Egypt	4
Figure 1.3	Energy production in Egypt	4
Figure 1.4	Parabolic trough collector	6
Figure 1.5	Concentrated solar power plant	6
Figure 1.6	Parabolic trough collectors with thermal storage system in power generation cycle	7
Figure 1.7	Parabolic trough collectors integrated in combined cycle	7
Figure 2.1	Heat gain and efficiency charts comparing the one and two – dimensional models for different HTF temperatures	9
Figure 2.2	Heat loss chart comparing the one and two – dimensional models for different HTF temperatures	10
Figure 2.3	Local concentration ratio distribution	11
Figure 2.4	Heat flux distribution under different GC on circle direction	11
Figure 2.5	The temperature rising under different GCs	12
Figure 2.6	Heat flux distribution under different rim angles on circle direction	12
Figure 2.7	The temperature rising under different rim angles	12
Figure 2.8	Comparison of HTF outlet temperature from the proposed model with experimental data	13
Figure 2.9	Comparison of PTC thermal efficiency from the proposed model to SNL tests and to NREL model in the case of Cermet selective coating.	13
Figure 2.10	Comparison of PTC efficiency from the proposed model to SNL tests in the case of Chrome selective coating.	14
Figure 2.11	Heat loss predictions vs. experimental data for Cermet and Black Chrome selective coatings.	14
Figure 2.12	Solar parabolic trough receiver with porous inclusions (fins)	15
Figure 2.13	Comparison of Nusselt number of present study with Dittus-Boulter correlation.	15
Figure 2.14	Effect of porous fin thickness on heat transfer coefficient in the receiver.	16
Figure 2.15	Effect of porous fins on pressure drop in the receiver.	16
Figure 2.16	Comparison of heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop with Reynolds number for solid longitudinal fin and porous fin.	17
Figure 2.17	Pressure drop across the absorbing tube of each finned configuration and the reference case (LS-2 #1), vacuum tube, mass flow = 47.7 l/min, DNI = 933.7 W/m ² .	17
Figure 2.18	Mean surface thermal losses across the absorbing tube of each finned configuration and the reference case for an outlet heat transfer temperature of 124 oC, vacuum tube, mass flow = 47.7 l/min and DNI = 933.7 W/m ² .	18
Figure 2.19	Effect of T_f on T_w and q_{lost} , $Re = 3.8 \times 10^4$	19
Figure 2.20	Effect of I_b on T_w and q_{lost} , $Re = 3.8 \times 10^4$	19
Figure 2.21	Effects of LVG parameters on Nusselt number and friction factor.	20
Figure 2.22	Variation of collector modified thermal efficiency with Reynolds number at different value of insert spacing.	20
Figure 2.23	(a) Variation of temperature gradients with Reynolds number and insert spacing and (b) variation of peak temperatures in the receiver's absorber tube with Reynolds number and insert spacing.	21
Figure 2.24	Symmetric outward convex transverse corrugated tubes fabricated by the author	22
Figure 2.25	Variation of heat transfer rate from the metal tube wall to the heat transfer fluid with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR for different p/D values.	22

Figure 2.26	Effective heat transfer coefficient variation with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR for different p/D values.	22
Figure 2.27	Pressure drop variation with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR with different p/D values.	23
Figure 2.28	heat transfer performance as a function of Reynolds number and nano particle volume fraction at an inlet temperature of 600 K.	23
Figure 2.29	Thermal efficiency as a function of Reynolds number and nanoparticle volume fraction At an inlet temperature of 400 K.	24
Figure 2.30	Thermal efficiency as a function of Reynolds number and nanoparticle volume fraction at an inlet temperature of 550 K.	24
Figure 2.31	Methods of heat transfer enhancement.	25
Figure 2.32	Test tube with short-length twisted tape inserts at different tape-length ratios: (a) LR=0.29, (b) LR=0.43, (c) LR=0.57 and (d) LR=1.0 or full-length tape.	26
Figure 2.33	Nusselt number versus Reynolds Number.	27
Figure 2.34	Friction factor versus Reynolds number.	27
Figure 2.35	Effect of dual twisted tape elements in tandem on Nusselt number.	28
Figure 2.36	Effect of single and full-length dual twisted tape inserts on Nusselt number.	28
Figure 3.1	Typical point velocity measurement in turbulent flow	35
Figure 3.2	Subdivisions of the Near-Wall Region	38
Figure 4.1	Geometrical parabolic trough parameters	42
Figure 4.2	Focal length as shape parameter.	43
Figure 4.3	Collector aperture area and receiver aperture area	44
Figure 4.4	Schematic diagram of a parabolic trough receiver tube	44
Figure 4.5	Schematic diagram showing cross-section view of evacuated parabolic trough receiver tube.	45
Figure 4.6	One-dimensional steady-state energy balance.	45
Figure 4.7	Schematic diagram of receiver model A: (a) longitudinal view and (b) cross-section view.	46
Figure 4.8	Flow chart for Monte Carlo ray-tracing method	47
Figure 4.9	Parabolic trough ray tracing result with 6 m aperture width and 80o rim angle	48
Figure 4.10	Comparison of the present study prediction of local concentration ratio (LCR) as a function of circumferential angle (θ) with literature.	49
Figure 4.11	Representative mesh of the developed receiver model	50
Figure 4.12	Validation of absorber tube temperature gain	52
Figure 4.13	Validation of absorber tube efficiency	53
Figure 4.14	Comparison of predicted receiver heat loss for this study with the experimental data.	53
Figure 4.15	Contours of absorber tube heat flux at Re = 4000, inlet temperature of 375.2 K.	54
Figure 4.16	Contours of temperature at the absorber tube's outlet at Re = 4000, inlet temperature of 375.2 K	54
Figure 4.17	Comparison of present study Nusselt number as a function of Reynolds number with Gnielinski's correlation	55
Figure 4.18	Comparison of present study friction factor as a function of Reynolds number with Petukhov's first equation	55
Figure 4.19	Comparison of present study friction factor as a function of Reynolds number with Eiamsa-ard results	
Figure 5.1	Schematic diagram of parabolic trough absorption tube fitted with twisted tape.	57
Figure 5.2	Schematic diagram for detailed absorption tube fitted with twisted tape.	58
Figure 5.3	Absorption tube with twisted tape insert mesh	59
Figure 5.4	Absorption tube with twisted tape insert mesh (wire frame)	60
Figure 5.5	Non-uniform heat flux distribution over the perimeter of the absorption tube.	63

Figure 5.6	Variation of non-uniform heat distribution with the radial angle.	64
Figure 5.7	Contours of absorber tube temperature at $Re = 50000$, inlet temperature of 400 K	64
Figure 5.8	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of is 400 K	65
Figure 5.9	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	65
Figure 5.10	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	66
Figure 5.11	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	67
Figure 5.12	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	67
Figure 5.13	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	68
Figure 5.14	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	69
Figure 5.15	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	70
Figure 5.16	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	70
Figure 5.17	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature is 400 K	71
Figure 5.18	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	71
Figure 5.19	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	72
Figure 5.20	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	73
Figure 5.21	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	73
Figure 5.22	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	74
Figure 5.23	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	75
Figure 5.24	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	75
Figure 5.25	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	76
Figure 5.26	velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	77
Figure 5.27	velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	78
Figure 5.28	absorption tube's outlet velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	79
Figure 5.29	absorption tube's outlet velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	80
Figure 5.30	absorption tube's inlet pressure contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	81
Figure 5.31	absorption tube's inlet pressure contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	82
Figure 5.32	absorption tube's streamlines at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	83
Figure 5.33	absorption tube's streamlines at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	83

NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Quantity
K	Thermal conductivity, W/m.k
$A_{ap,r}$	Absorption tube aperture area, m^2
$A_{ap,c}$	Collector aperture area, m^2
L	Length of the trough, m
f	The focal length, m
a	The aperture width, m
C_G	Geometric concentration ratio
d_{ro}	Absorption tube outer diameter, m
d_{ri}	Absorption tube inner diameter, m
d_{gi}	Glass cover inner diameter, m
d_{go}	Glass cover outer diameter, m
Nu	Nusselt number
Nu_{en}	Nusselt number for enhanced absorber tube
Nu_p	Nusselt number for plain absorber tube
X	Thermal enhancement factor
H	Twist tape pitch, m
W	Twist tape width, m
Y	Twist ratio
T_{sky}	Sky temperature, K
h_w	Wind heat transfer coefficient, $\text{W/m}^2.\text{k}$
c_p	Specific heat of fluid, J/kg.K
g_i	The component of the gravitational vector in the i^{th} direction, m/s^2
G_k	Turbulence kinetic energy generated due to the mean velocity gradients
K	Kinetic energy of turbulence, m^2/s^2
k_p	Turbulence kinetic energy at point P, m^2/s^2
l	Length scale of Turbulence, m
Pr	Molecular Prandtl number, $Pr = C_p \mu / k$
$\dot{q}$	Wall heat flux, W/m^2
S_u	The source term

S_ϕ	The source term
T_i	Inlet temperature, °C
T_p	Temperature at the cell adjacent to wall, °C
T_w	Temperature at the wall, °C
$\vec{u}$	The flow velocity vector, m/s
$\vec{u}_g$	The grid velocity of the moving mesh, m/s
u_i	The fluctuating velocity component, m/s
$\overline{u_i}$	The mean velocity component, m/s
u_j	Mean velocity component, m/s
U_p	Mean velocity of the fluid at point P , m/s
Y_M	The contribution of the fluctuating dilatation to the overall dissipation rate
y_P	Distance from point to the wall, m
y_T^*	The non-dimensional thermal sublayer thickness

Greek Letters

ρ	Density of the fluid, kg/m ³
τ_{ij}	The stress tensor, N/ m ²
ψ	Rim angle, degree
θ	Circumferential angle, degree
μ	Viscosity, kg/m.s
ε	Emissivity,
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta
μ_{eff}	Effective turbulent viscosity
Γ_ϕ	The diffusion coefficient
μ_t	Turbulent viscosity, kg.m/s
ϵ	Turbulence dissipation rate, m ² /s ³
β	Coefficient of thermal expansion, K ⁻¹
δV_j	The volume swept out by the control volume face j
Φ_f	Value of Φ convected through face f
$\nabla \Phi$	Gradient of Φ
σ_ϵ	The turbulent Prandtl numbers for ϵ
σ_k	The turbulent Prandtl numbers for k
$-\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'}$	Reynolds stresses term

ABBREVIATIONS

CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CSP	Concentrated Solar Power Plants
DNI	Direct Normal Irradiance
EES	Engineering Equation Solver
FVM	Finite Volume Method
HCE	Heat Collection Element
HTF	Heat Transfer Fluid
IPH	Industrial Heat Process
LCR	Local Concentration Ratio
LVG	Longitudinal Vortex Generators
MCRT	Monte Carlo Ray Tracing Method
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
PTC	Parabolic trough Collector
RANS	Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equations
RKE	Realizable K- ϵ Turbulence Model
RNG	Re-normalization Group K- ϵ Turbulence Model
SNL	Sandia National Laboratory
mtoe	Million Tonnes of Oil Equivalent

ABSTRACT

Parabolic trough systems are one of the most commercially technologies for concentrated solar power. The main development efforts are concentrating on reducing the cost of this technology. The cost reduction options for parabolic trough systems include: (i) improving parabolic trough concentration ratio by increasing its sizes and (ii) improving the optical efficiency. However increasing the concentration ratio of parabolic trough system will cause more circumferential temperature difference on the absorption tube and will increase the system's thermal losses. So the development of the absorption tube becomes very important to increase the concentration ratio.

In the present work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts at different inlet temperatures, twist ratios and Reynolds numbers are investigated.

In this work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts is analyzed numerically by solving the governing equations using ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 software. An optical modelling tool that uses Monte-Carlo ray tracing techniques (Soltrace) was used to obtain the non-uniform heat flux distribution on the parabolic trough absorber tube. These heat flux distributions were then coupled to the CFD code as a boundary condition by using MATLAB code to convert them to a readable data by the profile function in ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 for the analysis of the thermal performance of the receiver.

The results show that the use of twisted tape inserts for heat transfer enhancement in parabolic trough receivers results in high heat transfer rates due to the increased turbulence intensity and the mixing of the heat transfer fluid from that part of the absorber tube which receive concentrated heat flux with the heat transfer fluid from the part which receive only direct solar heat flux. As the results demonstrated that the heat transfer increases between 17 % and 84 % while friction factor increases between 117 % and 415 % and thermal enhancement factor at a constant pumping comparison with a plain receiver tube was in the range 0.83 - 1.12. Also the results showed that the improved heat transfer performance in the absorption tube due to the use of twisted tape inserts reduces the absorption tube's circumferential temperature difference.