



A Comparative Study Between Nebulized Unfractionated Heparin Versus Nebulized N-Acetylcysteine in Acute Lung Injury After Smoke Inhalation Injury

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D Degree in
Anesthesiology

By

Mostafa Mohamed Awad Nour

M.B.B.Ch., M.Sc. anesthesia
Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Naglaa Mohammad Ali

Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Walid Abd Elmagid Eltaher

Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Abdelaziz Abdallah Abdelaziz

Lecturer of Anesthesia and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Rania Hassan Abd Elhafiez

Lecturer of Anesthesia and Intensive Care
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2017**



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thanks to **Allah** whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work.

I would first like to express my unlimited gratitude and thankfulness to my **Prof. Dr. Naglaa Mohammad Ali**, Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her acceptance to supervise my work and for her continuous support, her valuable advices and encouragement, without his encourage and help I would not have been able to finish this work.

Many thanks to **Prof. Dr. Walid Abd Elmagid Eltaher**, Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University, who showed me the way and the first steps for going on into this work, who helped me much and for his continuous guidance.

Many thanks to **Dr. Abdelaziz Abdallah Abdelaziz**, Lecturer of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine ~ Ain Shams University and **Dr. Rania Hassan Abd Elhafiez**, Lecturer of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for their efforts, without them, this work could not have been completed.

Many thanks to all my professors and colleagues who helped me so much to collect the data of this study.

Contents

Subjects	Page
List of abbreviations.....	II
List of figures.....	V
List of tables.....	IX
• Introduction	1
• Aim of the work	4
• Review of Literature	
♦ Chapter (1): General Burn Concerns	5
♦ Chapter (2): Pathophysiology of Acute Inhalational Lung Injury	13
♦ Chapter (3): Role of Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy in Management of Smoke Inhalation Lung Injury	34
♦ Chapter (4): Role of Heparin in Treatment of Inhalational Lung Injury	40
♦ Chapter (5): Role of N-acetylcysteine in Treatment of Inhalational Lung Injury	47
• Patients and Methods	52
• Results	58
• Discussion	96
• Summary and Conclusion	107
• References	109
• Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

- **ADP:** Adenosine diphosphate
- **AIS:** Abbreviated injury score
- **ALI:** Acute lung injury
- **AMPA:** α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4 isoxazolepropionic acid
- **APTT:** Activated partial thromboplastin time
- **ARDS:** Acute respiratory distress syndrome
- **ASA:** American Society of Anesthesiologists
- **AT:** Antithrombin
- **ATP:** Adenosine triphosphate
- **BSA:** Burn Surface Area
- **BALF:** Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid
- **CO:** Carbon monoxide
- **COHB:** Carboxyhemoglobin
- **CT:** Computerized tomography
- **DNA:** Deoxyribonucleic acid

List of Abbreviations

- **FBO:** fiberoptic bronchoscopy
- **HFOV:** High Frequency Oscillatory Ventilation
- **HIF-1:** Hypoxia-inducible factor-1
- **H₂O₂:** Hydrogen peroxide
- **ICU:** Intensive care unit
- **IL-8:** Interleukin 8
- **INOS:** Isoform nitric oxide synthase
- **LIS:** Lung Injury score
- **LPS:** Lipopolysaccharide
- **mGLUR2\3:** Metabotropic glutamate receptor
- **MPO:** Myeloperoxidase
- **NAC:** N-acetylcysteine
- **NAD:** Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
- **NF-kB:** Nuclear factor kB
- **NMDA:** N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor
- **NO:** Nitric oxide
- **NOS:** Nitric oxide synthase

List of Abbreviations

- **OH[•]**: Hydroxyl radicals
- **O₂⁻**: superoxide anions
- **PaO₂/FIO₂ ratio**: Hypoxic index
- **PAPAR 1**: Poly-(ADP ribose) polymerase-1
- **PEEP**: Positive end-expiratory pressure
- **RNS**: Reactive nitrogen species
- **ROS**: Reactive oxygen species
- **SIJ**: Smoke inhalational injury
- **TBSA**: Total burn surface area

List of Figures

<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>1</u>	Lund–Browder burn diagram and table indicate the varying proportions in surface area in persons with different ages.	10
<u>2</u>	Respiratory injury from burn.	17
<u>3</u>	Pathophysiology of airway and parenchyma damage.	21
<u>4</u>	Lung Injury Score.	28
<u>5</u>	Gender distribution in the two groups	59
<u>6</u>	Age distribution in the two groups	60
<u>7</u>	Weight distribution in the two groups	60
<u>8</u>	Total burn surface area and depth of burn distribution in the two groups.	62
<u>9</u>	Surgical interventions distribution in the two groups.	64
<u>10</u>	Tracheostomy distribution in the two groups.	64
<u>11</u>	Average respiratory rate per day (in ventilated patient) between two groups.	66
<u>12</u>	Average respiratory rate per day (in spontaneous patients).	66
<u>13</u>	Mean arterial blood pressure in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups .	68
<u>14</u>	Temperature range in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups.	70
<u>15</u>	Average pulse rate per day in day1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	72

List of Figures

<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>16</u>	Total leucocytic count in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups.	74
<u>17</u>	Platelet count in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups.	74
<u>18</u>	The sputum culture in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	76
<u>19</u>	Carbon monoxide level in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups.	78
<u>20</u>	aPTT level in day 1, day 7, day 14 distribution in the two groups.	80
<u>21</u>	The lung injury score difference in day 1, day 7 and in day 14 between two groups.	82
<u>22</u>	The chest roentgenogram score difference in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	84
<u>23</u>	The PEEP score had no significant difference in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	86
<u>24</u>	Hypoxic index score difference in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	88
<u>25</u>	The respiratory compliance score difference in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	90
<u>26</u>	The Bronchoscopic finding had difference in day 1, day 7 and day 14 between two groups.	92
<u>27</u>	ICU stay in the two groups.	93
<u>28</u>	The mortality and outcome in the two groups.	95

List of Tables

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>1</u>	Abbreviated injury score (AIS) for bronchoscopic gradation of inhalation injury.	35
<u>2</u>	Visual classification of mucosal airway injury.	37
<u>3</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard sex, age and weight.	59
<u>4</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard total burn surface area and depth of burn.	61
<u>5</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard interventions such as surgery or tracheostomy.	63
<u>6</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard average respiratory rate per day.	65
<u>7</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard mean arterial blood pressure.	67
<u>8</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard temperature.	69
<u>9</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard pulse.	71
<u>10</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard Total leucocytic count and platelet count.	73
<u>11</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard positive sputum culture.	75
<u>12</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard Carbon monoxide level.	77

List of Figures

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>13</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard aPTT.	79
<u>14</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard lung injury score.	81
<u>15</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard chest roentgenogram score.	83
<u>16</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard PEEP score.	85
<u>17</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard hypoxic score.	87
<u>18</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard respiratory compliance score.	89
<u>19</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard Bronchoscopic finding.	91
<u>20</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard ICU stay.	93
<u>21</u>	Comparison between the two groups as regard outcome and mortality.	94

Introduction

Smoke inhalation injury is a major contributor to morbidity and mortality in severely burned patient, in which risk for development of fatal respiratory failure is greater than 50%, the co-presence of bronchopulmonary injury with cutaneous burn covering at least 30% of total body surface area increases mortality rate to more than 70%. Inhalational injury may further predispose burned victim to pneumonia which increase the mortality rate by 90% (*Thai et al., 2010*).

Patient with inhalation injury has a 73% incidence of respiratory failure (hypoxemia, multiple pulmonary infections, or prolonged ventilator support) and a 20% incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). SIJ occurs through a variety of mechanisms, including direct thermal injury to the respiratory tract mucosa, the magnitude of exposure, the type and properties of the toxic gases and chemicals comprising the smoke, the inhalant's water solubility, and the patient's underlying respiratory function (*Andrew et al., 2009*).

The airways and lungs receive continuous first-pass exposure to irritant or toxic gases via inhalation. Irritant gases, dissolve in the water of the respiratory tract mucosa

and provoke an inflammatory response and inflammatory mediators (*Bessac and Jordt, 2010*).

The inflammatory mediators lead to intrapulmonary leukocyte aggregation following activation of the classic complement cascade releases even more chemokines and cytokines, leading to the production of oxygen free radicals. The combination of these effects contributes to tissue injury and increased pulmonary vascular permeability, leading to decreased diffusion, oedema and V/Q mismatch. Furthermore, neutrophil infiltration and fibrinogen activation by inflammatory mediators causes airway cast formation and widespread plugging (*Michael et al., 2010*).

Nebulization of heparin and intratracheal installed heparin were found to attenuate pulmonary coagulopathy. Nebulization of heparin, as expected, was not found to affect fibrinolysis. Intratracheal-installed heparin reduced pulmonary inflammation and endothelial permeability. In addition intratracheal-installed heparin improved survival, reduced bacterial outgrowth and bacterial adherence to lung epithelium. Furthermore, nebulization of heparin did affect systemic coagulation (*Hofstra et al., 2010*).

A regimen of aerosolized solution of 20% N-acetylcysteine was studied on adult populations with inhalation injury diagnosed by bronchoscope which act as a mucolytic agent, and diminish airway cast formation. One retrospective case–control study found a significantly decreased mortality, occurrence of atelectasis, and need for reintubation in the treatment group. In a small single-centre study in adults, it was found the nebulized therapy attenuated lung injury and progression of ARDS compared with historical controls (*Preea and Rebecca, 2014*).

Aim of the Work

This study was conducted to compare nebulized unfractionated heparin to nebulized n-acetylcysteine in attenuating lung injury and inhibition of the progression of acute respiratory distress syndrome in ventilated adult patients with acute lung injury following smoke inhalation.

General Burn Concerns

Successful management of the patient with burn injury begins at the scene of injury and continues in the emergency department with a thorough trauma assessment based on the Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines. This requires a combined strategy of airway assessment and protection, initiation of resuscitation, and evaluation for coexisting injuries (*Rosenkranz and Sheridan, 2002*).

Assessing the airway is the first priority during the initial evaluation. The presence of airway injury, signs of airway obstruction, and the presence of preexisting airway abnormality should be assessed as soon as the patient arrives at the hospital. Airway injuries may not be evident initially, but with massive fluid resuscitation airway edema can result. As a general rule, when indicated, it is safer to intubate the patient early than risk a difficult intubation after airway swelling has occurred (*Edward et al., 2015*).

Different causes of burn:

1. Thermal

In the United States, fire and hot liquids are the most common causes of burns. Of house fires that result in death, smoking causes 25% and heating devices cause 22%. Almost half of injuries are due to efforts to fight a fire. Scalding is caused by hot liquids or gases and most