



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Mechanical and Physical Behaviour of Polyurethane Foam Sandwich Panel

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the
degree of

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)

by

Mohamed Atef Sayed Ahmed

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Supervised By

Prof.Dr. Mohamed Hazem Abdel Latif

Dr. Ramadan Badawy El Gamsy

Dr. Ayman Aly Abdel Wahab

Cairo - (2017)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Mechanical and Physical Behaviour of Polyurethane Foam Sandwich Panel

by

Mohamed Atef Sayed Ahmed

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Supervising' Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof.Dr. Mohamed Hazem Abdel Latif Design and Production , Ain Shams University	
Dr. Ramadan Badawy El Gamsy Design and Production , Ain Shams University	
Dr. Ayman Aly Abdel Wahab Design and Production , Ain Shams University	

Date: 20 March 2017



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
Design and Production Engineering

Mechanical and Physical Behaviour of Polyurethane Foam Sandwich Panel

by

Mohamed Atef Sayed Ahmed

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering
(Design and Production Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Mohammed Hazem Abdel-Latif Ahmed

Design and Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Prof. Tarek Ahmed Fouad Khalifa

Design and Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering-Shoubra, Benha University.

.....

Prof. Sherif Bahi Meshrf

Design and Production Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Azhar University.

.....

Date: 20 march 2017

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

.....

Mohamed Atef Sayed

Date: 20 March 2017

Acknowledgments

I would like to gratefully acknowledge every person who has helped me to complete this thesis.

First, I wish to express my profound gratitude and appreciation to my advisors *Prof.Dr. Mohamed Hazem Abdel Latif*, *Dr. Ramadan Badawy El Gamsy* and *Dr. Ayman Aly Abdel Wahab* for their remarkable patience, helpful comments and enthusiastic support to finalize this work.

I also would like to express my deepest thank to my family, specially my parents *Mr. Atef Gabr (May God bless his soul, Rest in peace my dad)* & *Ms. Azza Youssef* for their love, constant support, for all the late nights and early mornings, understanding, endless support and encouragement when it was most required. Thanks to my partner *Basma Essam* for being the best soulmate and good supporter.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and colleagues for their support.

Mohamed Atef Sayed

Researcher Data

Name : Mohamed Atef Sayed Ahmed

Date of birth : 22/06/1992

Place of birth : Cairo, Egypt

Last academic degree : Bachelor Degree

Field of specialization : Mechanical Engineering

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : July, 2014

Current job : Teaching Assistant, Design and Production Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University at Cairo, Egypt.

Thesis Summary

Sandwich materials is one of the most commonly used in all applications due to lightness, durability and reliability with proper strength, toughness and rigidity. However, in recent times their use has increased significantly because of their widespread in structural applications. Structural sandwich panels generally used in Egypt comprise polyurethane foam core and high strength flat steel faces bonded together using separate adhesives by reaction injection molding technique. Previously, polyurethane (PU) Foam core was sandwiched with same thin two faces material.

In this work, the Metallic-PU and Hybrid-PU sandwich composites were prepared by hand lay-up technique. Metallic-PU sandwich composites contained commercial purity Aluminum (Al) or Galvanized steel sheets (G.S) with different thicknesses (0.5,1&2 mm) as upper and lower facesheets with Commercial polyurethane (PU) foam ($\rho = 45 \text{ Kg/m}^3$) in core with different thicknesses (10,20&30 mm). Hybrid sandwich composites contained Aluminum/LDPE composite sheets (Al-c) or fiberglass/polyester (F.G) composite sheets (3 mm) as upper facesheet and galvanized steel sheets (0.5 mm) as lower facesheet with PU foam in core with different thicknesses. These modifications lead to enhancing the mechanical and physical properties of metallic and hybrid polyurethane sandwich composites with limited increase of sandwich composite weight.

There are three important parameters should to be investigated in this research. The effect of changing in polyurethane foam core thickness, facesheet thickness and upper facesheet material was studied on the mechanical and physical properties of metallic and hybrid polyurethane sandwich composites. The mechanical properties of metallic and hybrid polyurethane sandwich composites were studied through performing the Compression & 3-point bending tests on different sandwich composites. Specific bending flexural strength was calculated for different sandwich composites. Also, sound and thermal insulation test performed to characterize its physical properties.

Keywords: Polyurethane, Metallic-PU sandwich composite, Hybrid-PU sandwich composite, compression test, 3-pt bending test, specific bending flexural strength, thermal insulation, sound insulation.

Table of Contents

Contents

Thesis Summary.....	i
Table of Contents.....	ii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Abbreviations.....	ix
List of Symbols.....	x
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Literature survey.....	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Historical overview of sandwich composites	3
2.3 Types of sandwich composites	3
2.4 Sandwich composite Preparation techniques	8
2.4.1 Hand lay-up technique.....	8
2.4.2 Vacuum bagging technique.....	8
2.4.3 Vacuum assisted resin transfer molding technique (VARTM)	9
2.4.4 Reaction injection molding(RIM)	10
2.5 Sandwich composite properties	11
2.5.1 The mechanical properties for sandwich composites	11
2.5.2 The physical properties for sandwich composites	12
2.6 Present work	15
3 Experimental Work	16
3.1 Materials.....	16
3.2 Preparation of specimens	17
3.3 Different structures of Metallic and Hybrid PU sandwich composites.....	20
3.4 Testing	21
3.4.1 Three-point bending test	21
3.4.2 Compression test.....	22
3.4.3 Sound insulation test.....	23
3.4.4 Thermal insulation test	28
3.4.4.1 Theoretical study	28
3.4.4.2 Thermal insulation test by using Heat flow meter instrument	30
3.4.4.3 Thermal insulation test by using test rig.....	32
3.4.5 Weight Measurement	34
3.4.6 Density Measurement	34
4 Results and Discussion.....	35
4.1 Mechanical tests	35
4.1.1 Bending tests.....	35
4.1.1.1 Effect of preparation techniques on the bending flexural behavior of G.S- PU sandwich composites	35

4.1.1.2	<i>Bending behavior for Metallic and hybrid PU sandwich composites</i>	35
4.1.1.3	<i>Effect of upper face sheet materials on specific bending flexural strength of PU sandwich composites.</i>	37
4.1.1.3.1	<i>Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	37
4.1.1.3.2	<i>Hybrid-PU sandwich composites</i>	39
4.1.1.4	<i>Effect of changing face sheet thickness on flexural bending behavior of Metallic-PU sandwich composite</i>	40
4.1.1.4.1	<i>G.S-PU sandwich composites</i>	40
4.1.1.4.2	<i>Al-PU sandwich composites</i>	43
4.1.1.5	<i>Effect of changing polyurethane core thickness on specific bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composites</i>	45
4.1.1.6	<i>Cases of failures and proposed solutions</i>	47
4.1.1.6.1	<i>Failure pattern I</i>	47
4.1.1.6.2	<i>Failure pattern II</i>	47
4.1.1.6.3	<i>Failure pattern III</i>	48
4.1.1.6.4	<i>Failure pattern IV</i>	48
4.2	<i>Compression tests</i>	49
4.2.1	<i>Compressive behavior of G.S-PU foam sandwich composite</i>	49
4.3	<i>Physical tests</i>	50
4.3.1	<i>Sound insulation test</i>	50
4.3.1.1	<i>Effect of PU core thickness on sound insulation of Metallic-PU sandwich composite.</i>	50
4.3.1.1.1	<i>Sound insulation in G.S-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness</i>	51
4.3.1.1.2	<i>Sound insulation in Al-PU sandwich composites</i>	54
4.3.1.2	<i>Effect of facesheet thickness on sound insulation of metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	59
4.3.1.2.1	<i>Sound insulation in G.S-PU sandwich composites with different Facesheet thickness</i>	59
4.3.1.2.2	<i>Sound insulation in Al-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness</i>	62
4.3.1.3	<i>Effect of changing upper facesheet material on sound insulation of Metallic & Hybrid PU sandwich composites</i>	67
4.3.2	<i>Thermal insulation test</i>	73
4.3.2.1	<i>Effect of PU core thickness on thermal conductivity of Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	75
4.3.2.2	<i>Effect of Facesheet thickness on Thermal conductivity of Metallic-PU sandwich composites.</i>	76
4.3.2.3	<i>Effect of upper facesheet material on Thermal conductivity of PU sandwich composites</i>	77
4.3.2.3.1	<i>Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	77
4.3.2.3.2	<i>Hybrid-PU sandwich composites</i>	78
4.3.3	<i>Density</i>	79
4.3.3.1	<i>Effect of PU foam core thickness on density of Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	80
4.3.3.2	<i>Effect of Facesheet thickness on density of Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	80
4.3.3.3	<i>Effect of changing upper facesheet material on the density of PU sandwich composites</i>	81
4.3.3.3.1	<i>Metallic-PU sandwich composites</i>	81
4.3.3.3.2	<i>Hybrid-PU sandwich composites</i>	82
5	<i>Conclusion and future work</i>	83
5.1	<i>Conclusion</i>	83
5.2	<i>Future work</i>	84

List of Figures

<i>Figure 1 Hand Lay-up technique[17].....</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Figure 2 Vacuum Bagging Technique[18]</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Figure 3 VARTM technique [20].....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Figure 4 RIM technique</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Figure 5 sound transmission phenomenon.....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Figure 6 work strategy</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Figure 7 shearing machine for face sheets</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Figure 8 spreading of polyurethane adhesive on face sheets</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Figure 9 sandwich composite as separate components before adhering them together</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Figure 10 Hand Compressing of sandwich composite after spreading of the adhesive using Roller</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Figure 11 G.S sandwich panel (Metallic-PU sandwich composite)</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Figure 12 Al sandwich panel (Metallic-PU sandwich composite).....</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Figure 13 F.G/polyester sandwich panel (Hybrid-PU sandwich composite)</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Figure 14 Al/LDPE sandwich panel (Hybrid-PU sandwich composite).....</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Figure 15 three point bending test for test specimen using Universal Testing Machine.....</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Figure 16 Compression test for test specimen using Universal Testing M/C</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Figure 17 schematic drawing for sound insulation test configuration</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>Figure 18 example for sound insulation test result</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Figure 19 sound test specimen.....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Figure 20 wooden duct for sound insulation test.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Figure 21 inserting specimen for sound insulation test.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Figure 22 attaching microphones and speakers to the duct.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>Figure 23 speakers and microphones output connection to the system</i>	<i>26</i>

Figure 24 SIDLAB software interface27

Figure 25 Heat transfer across a composite slab (series thermal resistance)29

Figure 26 Heat transfer for a wall with dissimilar materials (parallel thermal resistance)29

Figure 27 Heat transfer through an insulated wall30

Figure 28 Heat flow meter instrument (Laser Comp).....30

Figure 29 thermal insulation test by the developed test rig.33

Figure 30 Adam Balance used for weighting samples.....34

Figure 31 flexural strength for same test specimen prepared by 2 different preparation techniques.....35

Figure 32 Typical 3-pt Bending test for G.S-PU sandwich composite36

Figure 33 Typical 3-pt Bending test for Al-PU sandwich composite36

Figure 34 Typical 3-pt Bending test for Al-c composite sandwich composite.....37

Figure 35 Typical 3-pt Bending test for F.G sandwich composite37

Figure 36 Bending flexural strength of Metallic-PU sandwich composite.....38

Figure 37 specific bending flexural strength of Metallic-PU sandwich composite38

Figure 38 bending flexural strength of Hybrid sandwich composite39

Figure 39 specific Bending flexural strength for Hybrid sandwich composite40

Figure 40 Typical 3-pt bending test for three different samples galvanized steel material with PU core 10 mm using different facesheet thickness.....41

Figure 41 Stiffness of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness41

Figure 42 Effect of G.S facesheet thickness on Bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composite42

Figure 43 Effect of changing galvanized steel facesheet material thickness on specific bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composite.....43

Figure 44 effect of Aluminum facesheet thickness on bending flexural strength of Al-PU sandwich composite44

Figure 45 Effect of changing Aluminum facesheet material thickness on specific bending flexural strength of Al-PU sandwich composite44

Figure 46 Effect of PU foam core thickness on bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composites...46

Figure 47 Effect of PU foam core thickness on specific bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composites.....46

Figure 48 failure pattern in G.S(0.5) C (10) G.S(0.5).....47

Figure 49 successful failure pattern after localized deformation elimination for G.S (0.5) C (10) G.S (0.5)....47

Figure 50 failure pattern in G.S (0.5)C(10)F.G(3)47

Figure 51 failure pattern in G.S(0.5) C (10) F.G(3)48

Figure 52 failure pattern in G.S(0.5) C (10) Al-c (3).....48

Figure 53 Typical compression test on PU foam and G.S-PU foam sandwich composite.49

Figure 54 Typical compression test on different types of PU sandwich composite.49

Figure 55 perception of sound transmission loss.....50

Figure 56 schematic drawing for orientation of insertion for G.S-PU sandwich composite test specimen in duct51

Figure 57 Typical sound transmission loss of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different core thicknesses ..53

Figure 58 effect of PU foam core thickness on STL_{Avg} . of G.S-PU sandwich composites.....54

Figure 59 schematic drawing for orientation of insertion for Al-PU sandwich composite test specimen in duct54

Figure 60 Typical sound transmission loss for Al-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness56

Figure 61 effect of PU foam Core Thickness on STL_{Avg} . of Al-PU sandwich composites.....57

Figure 62 effect of PU foam core thickness on SASTL of Metallic-PU sandwich composites with different PU foam core thickness.....59

Figure 63 Typical sound transmission loss of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness61

Figure 64 effect of facesheet thickness on STL_{Avg} of G.S-PU sandwich composites 62

Figure 65 Typical sound transmission loss of Al-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness 64

Figure 66 effect of facesheet thickness on STL_{Avg} of Al-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness 65

Figure 67 effect of facesheet thickness on SASTL of Metallic-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness 66

Figure 68 schematic drawing for orientation of insertion for Metallic-PU sandwich composite test specimen in duct 68

Figure 69 schematic drawing for orientation of insertion for Hybrid-PU sandwich composite test specimen in duct 69

Figure 70 sound transmission loss of Metallic-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet material..... 70

Figure 71 sound transmission loss of Hybrid-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet material 71

Figure 72 SASTL for Metallic and Hybrid PU sandwich composites 72

Figure 73 heat flow direction in sandwich composite 73

Figure 74 effect of PU foam core on thermal conductivity of G.s-PU sandwich composites..... 76

Figure 75 effect of facesheet thickness on thermal conductivity of G.S-PU sandwich composites 77

Figure 76 comparison between thermal conductivities of different Metallic-PU sandwich composites..... 78

Figure 77 comparison between thermal conductivities of different Hybrid-PU sandwich composites..... 79

Figure 78 effect of PU foam core thickness on density of G.S-PU sandwich composites 80

Figure 79 effect of changing facesheet thickness on the density of metallic-PU sandwich composites 81

Figure 80 effect of changing upper facesheet on density of Metallic-PU sandwich composite 82

Figure 81 effect of changing upper facesheet on density of Hybrid-PU sandwich composite 82

List of Tables

<i>Table 1 Acceptable exposure response.....</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Table 2 Maximum Allowable Sound level in Different Workstations</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Table 3 chemical composition of galvanized steel sheet.....</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Table 4 chemical composition of aluminum sheet</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Table 5 heat flow meter instrument specifications</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Table 6 Test rig components.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Table 7 Specific Bending flexural strength of Metallic-PU sandwich composite with different facesheet material.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Table 8 Specific Bending flexural strength of Hybrid sandwich composites with different facesheet material.....</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Table 9 Specific Bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness.....</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Table 10 Specific Bending flexural strength of Al-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness.....</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Table 11 Specific Bending flexural strength of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>Table 12 divisions of frequency range</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Table 13 sound properties for G.S-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Table 14 sound properties for Al-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Table 15 Specific average sound transmission loss (SASTL) for Metallic-PU sandwich composite with different core thickness</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Table 16 sound transmission loss of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness....</i>	<i>60</i>

Table 17 sound transmission loss of Al-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness62

Table 18 Specific average sound transmission loss (SASTL) for Metallic-PU sandwich composite with different facesheet thickness65

Table 19 sound properties for PU sandwich composites with different upper facesheet material68

Table 20 Specific average sound transmission loss (SASTL) for Metallic and Hybrid PU sandwich composite with different facesheet material71

Table 21 thermal properties of the used raw materials75

Table 22 thermal conductivity of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different core thickness75

Table 23 thermal conductivity of G.S-PU sandwich composites with different facesheet thickness76

Table 24 theoretical and experimental thermal conductivity of metallic-PU sandwich composite77

Table 25 theoretical and experimental thermal conductivity (K) of Hybrid-PU sandwich composite.....78

Table 26 density of raw materials79

Table 27 density of G.S-PU sandwich composites.....80

Table 28 density of different Metallic-PU sandwich composites80

Table 29 density of G.S & Al-PU sandwich composites81

Table 30 density of different Hybrid-PU sandwich composites82
