

# **STUDIES ON SOME FACTORS AFFECTING ACQUIRED IMMUNITY IN CHICKENS**

**By**

**AHMED HASSAN MOHAMED HARIDY**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Poultry Production), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 1996**

**M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Avian Physiology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2003**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirement for the Degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**In**

**Agricultural Sciences  
(Poultry Sciences)**

**Department of Animal Production  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Cairo University  
EGYPT**

**2010**

**SUPERVISION SHEET**

**STUDIES ON SOME FACTORS AFFECTING  
ACQUIRED IMMUNITY IN CHICKENS**

**Ph.D. Thesis  
In  
Agric. Sci. (Poultry Sciences)**

**By**

**AHMED HASSAN MOHAMED HARIDY**  
**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Poultry Production), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 1996**  
**M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Avian Physiology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2003**

**SUPERVISION COMMITTEE**

**Dr. ABD ELRAHMAN MOHAMED ATTA**  
**Professor of Avian Physiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

**Dr. FATMA RASMY MOHAMED**  
**Professor of Poultry Production, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

**Dr. ALAA ELDIN MOHAMED ABDO**  
**Assistant Professor of Poultry Breeding, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

APPROVAL SHEET

**STUDIES ON SOME FACTORS AFFECTING  
ACQUIRED IMMUNITY IN CHICKENS**

**Ph.D. Thesis  
In  
Agric. Sci. (Poultry Sciences)**

**By**

**AHMED HASSAN MOHAMED HARIDY**  
**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Poultry Production), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 1996**  
**M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Avian Physiology), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2003**

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

**Dr. AHMED RADWAN ABO ELSEOUD.....**  
**Professor of Avian Physiology, Fac. Agric., Benha University**

**Dr. AHMED MOHAMED EL KAIATY.....**  
**Professor of Avian Physiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

**Dr. ABD ELRAHMAN MOHAMED ATTA.....**  
**Professor of Avian Physiology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University**

**Date     /     /**

# دراسات على بعض العوامل التي تؤثر على المناعة المكتسبة في الدجاج

رسالة مقدمة من

أحمد حسن محمد هريدى

بكالوريوس فى العلوم الزراعية (إنتاج دواجن) - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة، ١٩٩٦  
ماجستير فى العلوم الزراعية (فسيولوجيا الدواجن) - كلية الزراعة جامعة القاهرة، ٢٠٠٣

للحصول على درجة

دكتور الفلسفة

فى

العلوم الزراعية  
(علوم دواجن)

قسم الانتاج الحيوانى  
كلية الزراعة  
جامعة القاهرة  
مصر

٢٠١٠

# دراسات على بعض العوامل التي تؤثر على المناعة المكتسبة فى الدجاج

رسالة دكتوراه الفلسفة  
فى العلوم الزراعية  
(علوم دواجن)

مقدمة من

**أحمد حسن محمد هريدى**

بكالوريوس فى العلوم الزراعية (إنتاج دواجن) - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة، ١٩٩٦  
ماجستير فى العلوم الزراعية (فسيولوجيا الدواجن) - كلية الزراعة جامعة القاهرة، ٢٠٠٣

لجنة الإشراف

**دكتور/ عبدالرحمن محمد عطا**

أستاذ فسيولوجيا الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة

**دكتور: فاطمه رسمى محمد**

أستاذ إنتاج الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة

**دكتور: علاءالدين محمد عبده**

أستاذ مساعد تربية الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة

**Name of Candidate:** Ahmed Hassan Mohamed Haridy **Degree:** Ph.D.  
**Title of Thesis:** Studies on Some Factors Affecting Acquired Immunity in Chicken  
**Supervision:** Dr. Abdel Rahman Mohamed Atta  
Dr. Fatma Rasmy Mohamed  
Dr. Alaa Eldin Mohamed Abdo  
**Department:** Poultry Science  
**Branch:** Avian Physiology **Approval:** / /

### ABSTRACT

**Experiment 1.** One-day-old grandparent chicks were used to determine the effect of vaccination programs and immunomodulation by prebiotic (IM-104) or probiotics (protexin) on grandparents immune response, maternal antibody transfer to progeny and day-old-chicks produce without detectable maternal antibody. At 21 weeks of age, 184 hens and 20 males were randomly chosen from female line females and female line males. These birds were randomly divided into four groups. Group 1. Vaccinated at 21 and 42 weeks of age using tetravalent emulsion inactivated vaccine against (IBDV + NDV + IBV + Reo) and treated with prebiotic at 42 weeks of age. Group 2. Vaccinated at 21 and 42 weeks of age using the same tetravalent emulsion inactivated vaccine and treated with probiotics at 42 weeks of age. Group 3. Vaccinated at 21 and 42 weeks of age using the same tetravalent emulsion inactivated vaccine. Group 4. Vaccinated only at 21 weeks of age using tetravalent emulsion inactivated vaccine and served as a control group. Blood samples were collected from 184 grandparent hens every three weeks from 42 till 66 weeks of age to determine antibody titers against NDV, IBV, IBDV and Reo virus. Hatching eggs of grandparents were collected separately from each group three times a day. To detect maternal antibody titers, blood samples were collected from 184 day-old chicks.

**Experiment 2.** At 51 weeks of grandparents age, 184 chicks (46 chicks from each group) were used to determine the effect of vaccination programs and immunomodulators on profile of anti-NDV, anti-IBDV, anti-IBV and anti-Reo maternal antibodies decline. Blood samples were collected from 40 chicks at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 days of age. ELISA was used to evaluate, humoral titers, maternal antibody titers and profile of maternal antibody decline.

The current results indicated that, in general, the revaccinated and supplemented with a prebiotic (IM-104) or a probiotic (Protexin) groups had significantly higher antibody titers against the four studied viruses as compared to those not revaccinated hens. The revaccinated groups produced chicks with significantly higher maternal antibody titers and lower percentage of chicks without detectable maternal antibodies (UMA chicks) than those produced from the fourth group. The relative level of maternal antibodies that was transmitted from dams to their progeny, represent about 41.1 – 51.2 % from that of their dams. Theses result explained that maternal antibody declined with chicks' age. This finding may be attributed to normal catabolism and increasing in body weight that induces increasing in blood volume which cause maternal antibody dilution.

**Key words:** poultry, immune response, maternal antibody, immunomodulator.

<p>الدرجة: دكتور الفلسفة</p> <p>تاريخ منح الدرجة: / /</p>	<p>أسم الطالب: أحمد حسن محمد هريدي</p> <p>عنوان الرسالة: دراسات على بعض العوامل التي تؤثر على المناعة المكتسبة في الدجاج</p> <p>المشرفون: دكتور: عبدالرحمن محمد عطا</p> <p>دكتور: فاطمه رسمى محمد</p> <p>دكتور: علاء الدين محمد عبده</p> <p>قسم: علوم الدواجن</p> <p>فرع: فسيولوجيا الدواجن</p>
<p><b>المستخلص العربى</b></p> <p>التجربة الأولى الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تحديد تأثير برامج التحصين المختلفة وكذلك المنشطات المناعية (البروبيوتيك أو البروبيوتيك) على كل من الاستجابة المناعية في الجذود و المناعة الأمية المنقولة للكتاكيت عمر يوم . تم تقسيم ١٨٤ جده (إناث خط الإناث) و ٢٠ جد (ذكور خط الإناث) إلى أربعة مجاميع و ذلك على عمر 21 أسبوع : المجموعة الأولى تم تحصينها ضد مرض النيوكاسيل ومرض الإلتهاب الشعبي المعدي ومرض الجمبورو ومرض الريو باللقاح الزيتي الخامل عند عمر ٢١ و ٤٢ أسبوع و كذلك تمت معاملتها بالبروبيوتيك (IM-104) في ماء الشرب بمعدل ٤ مل لكل لتر ماء و لمدة ٧ ايام عند الأسبوع ٤٢. المجموعة الثانية تم تحصينها ضد الأمراض السابقة عند عمر ٢١ و ٤٢ أسبوع و كذلك تمت معاملتها بالبروبيوتيك (Protexin) في ماء الشرب بمعدل ٢ جم لكل لتر ماء و لمدة ٧ ايام عند الأسبوع ٤٢ . المجموعة الثالثة تم تحصينها ضد الأمراض السابقة عند عمر ٢١ و ٤٢ أسبوع . أما المجموعة الرابعة فتم تحصينها ضد هذه الأمراض مرة واحدة فقط عند عمر ٢١ أسبوع . بداية من الأسبوع ٤٢ تم تجميع عينات الدم من الجذود مرة كل 3 أسابيع حتى الأسبوع ٦٦ وذلك لتقدير الأجسام المناعية المتكونة ضد الأمراض تحت الدراسة . تم تجميع البيض الناتج من كل مجموعة منفصلا وتم إرساله إلى معمل التفريخ وبعد تفريخه تم أخذ عينات الدم من الكتاكيت عمر يوم لتقدير المناعة الأمية ضد الأمراض السابق ذكرها.</p> <p>التجربة الثانية عند الأسبوع ٥١ من عمر الجذود تم أخذ عدد ١٨٤ كتكوت من الكتاكيت الناتجة بواقع ٤٦ كتكوت من كل مجموعة وذلك بهدف تحديد تأثير برامج التحصين المختلفة وكذلك المنشطات المناعية (البروبيوتيك و البروبيوتيك) على نمط انخفاض المناعة الأمية مع تقدم عمر الكتاكيت. تم تجميع عينات الدم من عدد ٤٠ من الكتاكيت عند عمر ١ و ٣ و ٥ و ٧ و ٩ و ١١ و ١٣ و ١٥ يوم من عمر الكتاكيت.</p> <p>تم تقدير مستوى الأجسام المناعية في الجذود وتقدير مستوى المناعة الأمية في الكتاكيت الناتجة منها باستخدام تقنية ELISA .</p> <p>و أشارت النتائج إلى الاتى : كان مستوى الأجسام المناعية في المجموعات التى أعيد تحصينها عند الأسبوع ٤٢ و التى تمت معاملتها بالبروبيوتيك أو البروبيوتيك أعلى معنويا عن المجموعة التى لم يعاد تحصينها .</p> <p>كان مستوى الأجسام المناعية الأمية أعلى معنويا فى الكتاكيت عمر يوم الناتجة من المجموعات التى أعيد تحصينها فقط و التى تمت معاملتها بالبروبيوتيك أو البروبيوتيك عن الكتاكيت الناتجة من المجموعة التى لم يعاد تحصينها و كذلك كانت نسبة الكتاكيت عمر يوم و التى لم يظهر بها أجسام مناعية أمية و الناتجة من المجموعات الثلاث الأولى أقل معنويا عن مثيلتها الناتجة من مجموعة المقارنة .</p> <p>حصلت الكتاكيت على مناعة أمية عند عمر يوم تعادل نسبتها من ٤١.١% - ٥١.٢% من المناعة الموجودة في أمهاتها.</p> <p>تتخفص المناعة الامية فى الكتاكيت مع العمر ويرجع هذا الى حدوث هدم طبيعى لبروتينات الدم ومنها الجلوبيولين المناعى و كذلك زيادة وزن الكتوت تؤدي الى زيادة حجم الدم بالتالى يحدث تخفيف للأجسام المناعية فى الدم.</p> <p><b>الكلمات الداله:</b> الدواجن ، الاستجابة المناعية ، المناعة الامية ، المحفزات المناعية</p>	

## DEDICATION

*I am especially indebted to my beloved great Father who taught me how to be a good man with any success in my life. Finally and by no means least, I am especially grateful to my beloved Mother, Sisters, wife, daughter and my son for their patience and moral support throughout this study.*



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

*First of all, all thanks, gratitude and Al-Hamd to Allah Almighty and the utmost for everything.*

*I would like to express my great thanks and all my respects to my supervisor, Dr. Abd El Rahman Atta, Professor of Avian Physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for continuous support, encouragement, and useful advices since the beginning of the work on this thesis.*

*My special thanks to Dr. Fatma Rasmy, Professor of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for her support and sincere help, keen interest and encouragement during the work of thesis.*

*I would like to express my grateful thanks to Dr. Alaa Abdo, Assistant Professor of Poultry Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his help and support.*

*I would like to express my deep thanks to Dr. Hassan Biomy, Assistant Professor of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his advices as well as continuous support and encouragement along out this thesis work, I would like to thank my friend Dr. Hosam Safaa, Assistant Professor of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, for his advices and help.*

*I would like to express my gratefulness to Dr. Nabil Darweesh, Chairman of Poultry Producers Union & Director of grandparents sector of CPC group for his unlimited support. My deep thanks to Mr. M. Samy head of technical support department as well as to my colleagues; Mr. H Mohammed, Mr. I Raslan, Dr. A Metwaly and Mrs. E Saad, in CPG Company, for their support and encouragement.*

# CONTENT

	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1. Avian Immune response.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Maternal immunity.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3- Immunomodulation.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>4- Viral diseases.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>1-Antibody Titers of Grandparent Hens.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>2-Maternal Antibody Titers of Chicks.....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>3-Unmaternal antibody (UMA) chicks.....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>4-Relative maternal antibody titer.....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>5-Grandparent Hens Performance.....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>6-Profile of maternal antibody decline.....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY.....</b>	

## List of Abbreviations

<b>ACH</b>	Achyranthan, a low-molecular-weight polysaccharide
<b>AE</b>	Avian encephalomyelitis
<b>AIV</b>	Avian influenza virus
<b>ALV</b>	Avian leukosise virus
<b>ANV</b>	Avian nephritis virus
<b>APC</b>	Antigen preseuting cell
<b>APS</b>	Astragalan, a high-molecular-weight polysaccharide
<b>BF</b>	Burse of fabricious
<b>BSA</b>	Bovine Serum Albumin
<b>CAA</b>	Chicken Anemia Agent
<b>CAV</b>	Chicken Anemia Virus
<b>ChGG</b>	Chicken gamma globulin
<b>CD</b>	Cluster of Differentiation
<b>cIg</b>	Immunoglobulin containing cells
<b>CO</b>	Corn oil
<b>CTL</b>	Cytotoxic T lymphocyte
<b>D.W</b>	Drinking water
<b>E.</b>	Eimeria species
<b>ED</b>	Embryonation day
<b>E.D</b>	Eye droop
<b>EDS</b>	Egg Drop syndrome
<b>EID</b>	Embryonic incubation day
<b>ELISA</b>	Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay
<b>FC</b>	Fragment of crystallization
<b>FPV</b>	Fowl pox virus
<b>GALT</b>	Gut-associated lymphoid tissue
<b>GICs</b>	Glandular cells
<b>GM-CSF</b>	Granulocyte/macrophage-colony stimulating factor
<b>HA</b>	Haemagglutinin
<b>HE</b>	Haemorrhagic enteritis
<b>HI</b>	Haemagglutination inhibition
<b>HVT</b>	Herpes virus turkey

<b>IBDV</b>	Infectious Bursel Disease Virus
<b>IBV</b>	Infectious Bronchitis Virus
<b>IgA</b>	Immunoglobulin A
<b>IgG</b>	Immunoglobulin G
<b>IgM</b>	Immunoglobulin M
<b>IFN</b>	Interferon
<b>IL</b>	Interlukens
<b>I/M</b>	Intramuscularly
<b>ISGNAS</b>	An International Study Group on Antimicrobial Strategies
<b>Kcal</b>	Kilo calories
<b>LAK</b>	Lymphokine-activated killer cells
<b>LPS</b>	Lipopolysaccharide
<b>MAB</b>	Maternal Antibodies
<b>MDA</b>	Maternally derived antibodies
<b>MDV</b>	Marek's disease virus
<b>ME</b>	Metabolizable energy
<b>MGF</b>	Mammalian granulocyte-colony stimulating factor
<b>MHC</b>	Major histocompetability complex
<b>MOS</b>	Manan Oligo saccharide
<b>NK</b>	Natural killer cell
<b>NO</b>	Nitric oxide
<b>ORT</b>	Ornithobacterium RhinoTracheitis
<b>PBS</b>	Phosphate buffer solution
<b>PFC</b>	Haemolytic plaque forming cells
<b>PH</b>	Post hatching
<b>P.I.</b>	Postimmunization
<b>ppm</b>	Part per million
<b>ReoV</b>	Respiratory enteric orphan virus
<b>REV</b>	Reticuloendotheliosis virus
<b>S. enteritis</b>	Salmonella enteritis
<b>S/C</b>	Subcutaneous
<b>SECs</b>	Superficial epithelial cells
<b>SIG</b>	Surfce immunogloboline
<b>SO</b>	Sunflower oil
<b>SPF</b>	Spicofic pathogen free
<b>SRBC</b>	Sheep Red Blood Cell
<b>TC</b>	Cytotoxic T-cell

<b>TCR</b>	T-cell receptor
<b>TH</b>	Tcell helper
<b>TNF</b>	Tumor necrosis factor
<b>TRT</b>	Turkey Rhinotracheitis
<b>TT</b>	Tetanus toxoid
<b>UMA</b>	Unmaternaled antibody
<b>VA</b>	Viral arthritis

## INTRODUCTION

Representatives of all the classes of vertebrates have the capacity to react immunologically, but it is only in relation to birds and mammals that our knowledge of the extent of this capacity is substantial. Immunity is highly developed in birds, both cellular immunity, displayed for example in tissue graft rejection reactions, and serological immunity; the fowl being a particularly good producer of antibodies. It has been known that passive immunity to tetanus toxin is transmitted from mother to offspring in the fowl. The newly hatched chick is, in fact, well equipped with maternal immunity. Moreover it is known that immunity is transmitted from mother to young in many other species of birds. Clearly the transmission of passive immunity can occur only by way of the egg and there is ample evidence that it does so by way of the yolk, and the white of the egg (Rogers, 1970).

The immune system of newly hatched chick is only partially mature and therefore is not capable of providing complete protection against pathogens upon its first encounter with the external environment after hatching. Innate immune mechanisms seem to be fully functional in the neonate but optimal adaptive immune responses only develop during the first few weeks after hatching (Fred Davison *et al.*, 2008). The protection of newly hatched chicks against pathogenic organisms depends on immunity acquired passively from their parents, as well as development of active cellular and humoral immune defenses by chicks. Maternal immunity in the form of antibodies is transferred to the chick through the egg. In chickens, serum IgG from the hen is

actively transferred into the egg yolk during folliculogenesis. This IgG is absorbed into the circulation of the chick during development, reaching a peak just prior to hatching. Immunoglobulins M and A are accumulated in the hen's oviduct secretions, then incorporated into the albumin protein of the egg (Nancy *et al.*, 1996).

Although control of diseases in chickens is attainable through vaccination, chicks aged 21 days or younger may respond poorly to vaccination because their immune system has not matured (Schwartz, 1994). Furthermore, the presence or absence of maternal antibody can contribute to a maternal effect for viability of progeny, especially during the early period after hatch. (Nordskog and Pevzner, 1977; Pinard and van der Zijpp, 1993). Higher antibody in 1-day-old broilers resulted in few vaccine-induced reactions, less vaccine virus shed, and decreased duration of vaccine-induced immunity from vaccination. Birds receiving a booster dose of vaccine before the onset of laying, both serum as well as yolk antibody titer increased and in turn enhanced the maternal antibody levels in the progeny during the susceptible period (Prabhakar, 2002).

It is thought that the application of immune stimulators with vaccine could improve the efficacy of vaccination (Kong *et al.*, 2006). Prebiotics substance has been defined as a non-digestible feed ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating the immunity and neutralizing the toxin. The more common and well studied prebiotic are Lipopolysaccharides (Avanee Choudhari *et al.*, 2008).